

Diagnostic Methods and Surgical Treatment of Patients with Thoracoabdominal Traumas (Review of Literature)

Gulomidin Minkhodzhievich Khodzhimatov, Sardorbek Mamasobir Ugli Yakhyoev

Department of General Surgery and Anesthesiology-resuscitation, Andijan State Medical Institute, Andijan, Uzbekistan

Email address:

prof.XGD-56@mail.ru (Gulomidin Minkhodzhievich Khodzhimatov),

yahyoevsardorbek@gmail.com (Sardorbek Mamasobir Ugli Yakhyoev)

To cite this article:

Gulomidin Minkhodzhievich Khodzhimatov, Sardorbek Mamasobir Ugli Yakhyoev. Diagnostic Methods and Surgical Treatment of Patients with Thoracoabdominal Traumas (Review of Literature). *American Journal of Clinical and Experimental Medicine*.

Vol. 10, No. 4, 2022, pp. 92-97. doi: 10.11648/j.ajcem.20221004.12

Received: April 30, 2022; **Accepted:** May 24, 2022; **Published:** August 17, 2022

Abstract: Thoracoabdominal traumatism is one of the topical problem of modern medicine due to its wide prevalence, the most severe course and unfavorable outcome. This article presents an overview of the epidemiology, diagnosis, and surgical treatment of patients with thoracoabdominal injuries. It shown that, current incidence of thoracoabdominal injuries is reached to 13.7-16.4% of all chest and abdominal injuries. Lethality in thoracoabdominal injuries range from 3.13% to 20%. In most cases these are patients of working age, with an average age of 27.5-34.8 years, men to comprise (71.2%). Nowadays, the amount of patients with thoracoabdominal injuries tends to increase in the Uzbekistan and other economically developing countries of the world because of raising of transportation, construction and crime. Video-thoracoscopy and video-laparoscopy are one of the widespread methods of diagnosing and treatment of thoracoabdominal traumas. According to many authors, specificity of video-laparoscopy and video-thoracoscopy is 100%, the sensitivity is 87.5–99.3%, and the predictive efficiency is 96.8 percent and the need for widespread use for performing minimally invasive medical manipulations as a reasonable alternative to open operations, indications for emergency and urgent thoracotomy and laparotomy, some new methods of operative surgical technique are proposed. However, in case of profuse bleeding into the pleural or abdominal cavity is indication to thoracotomy and laparotomy. Therefore researchers continue to search for promising ways to improve outcomes for patients with thoracoabdominal injuries. Researchers define rapid and accurate diagnostics aimed primarily at determining the volume of injuries of the chest, abdomen and diaphragm with subsequent selection of the best sequence of therapeutic measures.

Keywords: Thoracoabdominal Injuries, Video-Laparoscopy, Video-Thoracoscopy, Damage Control, Thoracotomy, Laparotomy

1. Introduction

Injuries of the chest and abdomen cause severe disorders of the basic vital functions of the body immediately at the time of injury and within minutes after it. The presence in the thoracoabdominal region of the human body of the main vital organs, main vessels, the specificity of the anatomical structure, the proximity of intestinal contents and enzymes in case of damage leads to massive internal bleeding, shock, cardiorespiratory disorders and purulent-septic complications [1, 4]. Mortality in thoracoabdominal injuries varies from 3.13 to 20% [7]. The main causes of death in thoracoabdominal trauma are acute blood loss, shock, peritonitis, and respiratory distress syndrome [15].

Postoperative complications in thoracoabdominal injuries occur in 14.9-53% of patients [8]. Difficulties in the timely diagnosis of this pathology are due to the polysymptomatic nature of the clinical picture, the severity of the condition of the patients, the absence of specific symptoms of diaphragm damage, and the presence of combined injuries of the organs of the chest and abdominal cavities [15]. As other traumas, an injury to the thoracoabdominal region requires accurate and rapid diagnosis, thoughtful and adequate surgical tactics, the ability to predict the dynamics of the development of pathological changes that occur in the parenchymal organs and the gastrointestinal tract, operations are complex and laborious, outcomes depend on timing surgical intervention, the need for careful management of the patient in the

postoperative period. And also, monitoring the prevalence of thoracoabdominal injury in the population with an assessment in the dynamics of risk factors, causes of development, diagnosis and approaches of surgical treatment is an urgent problem of modern medicine, which allows us to study the epidemiological situation in relation to thoracoabdominal injuries and requires continuing the search for optimal diagnostic measures. And the choice of the correct surgical tactics at all stages of treatment.

The purpose of article is that analysis of diagnostic methods and surgical treatment of patients with thoracoabdominal injuries and to determine the directions for improving the surgical tactics of this pathology.

2. Epidemiology of Thoracoabdominal Traumas

At the moment, the frequency of thoracoabdominal injuries is 13.7-16.4% of the total number of traumas of the chest and abdomen [5]. Mortality of patients from thoracoabdominal injuries is 4.5 times higher than from other types of traumas. With this type of injury, 52.3% of deaths occur at the scene of an accident, up to 38.8% occur in a hospital, 6% in emergency rooms, and 2.5% during transportation of patients [4]. Thoracoabdominal injury, depending on the mechanism of injuries is divided into open and closed. Open injuries are represented mainly by stab and gunshot wounds. According to Gao J. M. [14] the frequency of open injuries of the chest and abdomen in the structure of peacetime injuries has increased by 2.5-3 times over the past 10 years. The frequency of thoracoabdominal injury consisted 61.5-92.6% men among the allpatients [10]. In most cases, these are patients of working age, on average 27.5-34.8 years. The main reason for the development of thoracoabdominal injury are the traumatic impacts: motor vehicle accidents, penetrating wounds with a sharp object, gunshot wounds, sports injuries, falls from a height and accidents at work [9]. According to the World Health Organization (WHO) in 2020; 29% of thoracoabdominal injuries are caused by road traffic accidents, 12.6% by falls and 9.16% by individual crashes. These data may differ depending on the country and region [13]. In addition, the fact that road traffic accident is more common among men. High mortality (from 11% to 77.6%) in the first hours after the injury is due to both the severity of injuries and often errors in the provision of care at the prehospital stage, in diagnosis and surgical tactics in a hospital setting [11]. While penetrating situations such as traffic accidents, violence and self-harm are the main causes of injury in people between the ages of 25 and 35, this declines after age 45 and blunt injury mechanisms such as domestic accidents and falling especially after 45 years [8]. In recent years, the number of patients with thoracoabdominal trauma tends to increase in the Republic of Uzbekistan and other economically developing countries of the world.

3. Characteristics of Clinical Pictures of Thoracoabdominal Traumas

Reasonably distinguish three types of symptom complexes in thoracoabdominal traumas: 1) injuries with a predominance of symptoms of damage to the organs of the chest cavity, 2) injuries with a predominance of symptoms of damage to the organs of the abdominal cavity, 3) injuries with evenly expressed symptoms of damage to the organs of the chest and abdominal cavities [7]. Patients with a predominance of symptoms of damage to the chest organs in thoracoabdominal wounds range from 25 to 32%. They usually develop syndromes of acute cardiovascular and/or acute respiratory failure associated with intrapleural bleeding, cardiac tamponade, pulmonary collapse and contusion, and upper airway obstruction. Most often, the lungs are damaged (up to 80% of cases), in 10-15% - the pericardium, heart, large vessels, in 5% - the trachea and/or esophagus [6]. With such injuries, open or intense pneumothorax, hemothorax, contusion of the lung and / or heart, injury and cardiac tamponade, subcutaneous and mediastinal emphysema, and violations of bronchial patency may occur. The general condition of patients is severe, many have signs of shock II-III degree. The second group is characterized by trauma in the abdominal organs; according to various authors, they range from 35 to 62% [3]. In the clinical picture, the classic signs of injury to parenchymal or hollow organs, blood loss and shock come to the fore. The absolute local clinical signs of a penetrating wound of the abdomen are the loss of a strand of the greater omentum or intestinal loop from the wound, as well as the release of small intestine, feces, bile or urine [18]. Damage of the internal organs of the abdomen, the syndrome of intra-abdominal bleeding (hemorrhagic) and the syndrome of perforation of a hollow organ (peritoneal) develop and prevail already at the prehospital stage. In addition, if the pancreas is damaged, a pancreatogenic syndrome can gradually form, associated with general (endotoxemia) and local (including enzymatic peritonitis, retroperitoneal phlegmon) manifestations of traumatic pancreatitis [4]. The third group occurs in 20-40% of patients with thoracoabdominaltraumas, characterized by the same severity of symptoms in the chest and abdominal cavities [17]. Patients usually present in a serious or extremely serious condition with severe respiratory and cardiovascular disorders against the background of signs of massive blood loss, peritonitis and shock. Clinical manifestations, especially when the abdominal organs move into the pleural cavity, are quite pronounced, and therefore diagnosis, as a rule, does not cause any particular difficulties. An example of a thoracoabdominal injury without damage of internal organs is a pleural sinus injury with a penetrating wound to the diaphragm. The clinical picture that occurs when the diaphragm itself is damaged develops with relatively rare isolated wounds. However, in these cases, the symptoms of diaphragm damage in some patients may be completely absent, and the wound of the diaphragm remains, as a rule, unrecognized [15]. Pain in the area of xiphoid process is

considered a characteristic sign of a diaphragm injury. At the same time, pain in the abdomen, tension in the abdominal muscles, a positive Blumberg sign do not always indicate damage to the diaphragm in thoracic injury, since they can often occur in a reflex way. The same applies to the phrenicus sign and hiccups, since they can manifest themselves when the diaphragmatic pleura is irritated by outflowing blood [13, 14].

4. Special and Non-specialised Diagnostic Methods

4.1. Laboratory Methods

In thoracoabdominal injuries, laboratory methods are used primarily to assess the amount of total blood loss, the state of the coagulation, anticoagulation and fibrinolytic systems, proteins, electrolytes, gas composition and acid-base state of the blood, liver and kidney function, the severity of endotoxemia, the general resistance of the body (immunogram) and microbial contamination of wounds and cavities (bacteriological diagnostics). Physical and laboratory methods of examination do not always allow to accurately establish the diagnosis and determine the nature of the injuries received.

4.2. Instrumental Methods

Emergency radiation diagnostics may include traditional ultrasound examination, X-ray examination (fluoroscopy, radiography, etc.), X-ray computed tomography, magnetic resonance imaging, angiography, radionuclide studies. Each of the methods has its own advantages and disadvantages, the consideration of which determines the possibility and necessity of their use. The task of urgent radiological examination in thoracoabdominal wounds is early recognition and determination of the nature of all injuries, without which it is impossible to provide adequate therapeutic measures.

4.2.1. Ultrasonography

Emergency ultrasound is the basic method in deciding the order of surgical intervention on the organs of the chest and abdomen in the combined variant of their injury [7]. At the same time, even small (50 ml) accumulations of free fluid (blood) are visualized in typical places - the hepatic-renal fossa, near the liver and gallbladder, between the loops of the intestines, in the lateral canals of the abdomen, in the small pelvis. In addition, the ultrasonic method of investigation makes it possible to reliably detect injuries of parenchymal organs [4]. This method of research makes it possible to obtain certain information about the morphological changes in the internal organs and determine the amount of fluid in the free abdominal cavity. It is a non-invasive and fast diagnostic method. The main object of the pathology detected by ultrasound is the blood that has poured into the abdominal cavity in the zones ("acoustic windows") adjacent to the internal organs with a relatively dense surface (kidneys,

spleen, filled bladder). Separation of the parietal and visceral layers of the peritoneum in sloping areas of the abdomen is a sign of the presence of free fluid in the abdominal cavity [6]. The use of ultrasound makes it possible to monitor the state of these parenchymal organs in dynamics and set indications for surgical treatment in time with an increase in the size of an intraorgan hematoma with the threat of a breakthrough. And also, the Doppler method makes it possible to establish the presence or absence of blood flow in these formations, which is crucial for determining surgical tactics [4]. Plain x-ray is sufficient to detect gas in the abdominal cavity. Free gas in the abdominal cavity is located in the highest located departments [5]. When examining the victim in a vertical position, free gas is determined under the diaphragm. Free fluid (blood) in the abdomen can also be seen on plain radiographs.

4.2.2. Computed Tomography

This method makes it possible to detect pathological contents in the pleural cavity with a high degree of reliability, measure the density of the detected pathological formations and evaluate its nature, and detect fragmentation of the blood clotting [14]. In this regard, computed tomography is a mandatory and most accurate method for diagnosing clotted hemothorax and late complications of chest trauma, such as fragmented pleurisy and pleural empyema, traumatic pulmonitis, intrapulmonary hematomas, pulmonary atelectasis, post-traumatic pneumonia. Magnetic resonance imaging in the recognition of damage to the parenchymal organs of the abdomen and lungs has no advantages over X-ray computed tomography, and in the presence of metallic foreign bodies in the body, it is generally contraindicated.

4.2.3. Video-thoracoscopy and Video-laparoscopy

Reasonable widespread use of video-thoracoscopy and video-laparoscopy in the hospital allows almost completely eliminating diagnostic errors. The specificity of video-laparoscopy and video-thoracoscopy is 100%, the sensitivity is 87.5–99.3%, and the predictive efficiency is 96.8% [6]. Thoracoscopy can reveal damage to the organs of the chest cavity even in the absence of obvious clinical and radiological symptoms, especially if the wound of the chest wall is located in the anatomically dangerous "cardiac" and "thoracoabdominal" zones. During the examination, even in the absence of hemothorax and pneumothorax, a pericardial wound or hemopericardium can be detected [2, 4]. On the basis of literature data and our own clinical experience, we found that the most optimal indications for video-thoracoscopy in chest injuries and thoracoabdominal injuries, including those accompanied by shock, are; medium and large hemothorax, ongoing intrapleural bleeding with drainage from the pleural cavity of blood in an amount of less than 500 ml per hour, penetrating wounds of the chest in the projection of the heart and large vessels (in the "cardiac" zone, in the absence of convincing signs of cardiac tamponade and intense mediastinal hematoma, penetrating wounds of the chest in the "thoracoabdominal" zone, growing and intense emphysema of the mediastinum,

intractable tension pneumothorax, persistent, not stopped within 3 days after injury and recurrent pneumothorax, clotted hemothorax, foreign bodies in the pleural cavity, lung and mediastinum. six indications, thoracoscopy is performed on an emergency basis, for the rest - urgently (during the first day after the injury) and delayed (scheduled) order [3]. The results of surgical treatment: to reduce mortality by 4.7%, the number of complications by 2.9 times and completely avoid unjustified "diagnostic" thoracotomies. Emergency video-laparoscopy is carried out according to the generally accepted method for the timely diagnosis of the nature of the injury of the abdominal organs in patients with thoracoabdominal injuries. Indications for emergency laparoscopy are: 1) the absence of reliable signs of damage to the organs of the abdominal cavity; 2) indirect signs of damage to the diaphragm; 3) the presence of a penetrating wound of the chest in the "zone of thoracoabdominal wounds" [4, 5]. In case of thoracoabdominal injuries or their suspicion, video-laparoscopy is performed only after video-thoracoscopy, suturing of the diaphragm wound and adequate drainage of the pleural cavities. If these conditions are not met, "laparoscopy in case of rupture or injury of the diaphragm is accompanied by the development of tension pneumothorax in 100% of the patients. Possible complications of this are a decrease in saturation, mixing of the mediastinum with a turn of the heart around its axis, heart rhythm disturbance, up to ventricular fibrillation and none systole. Preliminary drainage of the pleural cavity and temporary obturation of the diaphragm wound with a strand of omentum during laparoscopy saves the patient from the development of these complications" [19].

5. Surgical Tactics in Thoracoabdominal Traumas

Currently, the vast majority of surgeons believe that endovideosurgical methods occupy an important place in the diagnosis and treatment of this pathology. Based on the analysis of literature data, indications and contraindications for video-thoracoscopy and video-laparoscopy in thoracoabdominal injuries, data are given in favor of their high diagnostic significance and the need for widespread use for performing minimally invasive medical manipulations as a reasonable alternative to open operations, indications for emergency and urgent thoracotomy and laparotomy, some new methods of operative surgical technique are proposed. Surgical tactics in thoracoabdominal injuries, based on the results of a multifactorial assessment of the severity and outcomes of traumatic shock, makes it possible to identify patients who are indicated not only for resuscitation, but also for corrective operations [16]. This contributes to the early activation of the patients and reduces the frequency of various complications. The survival rate of patients with polytrauma has increased in the last decade due to the development of a system of emergency specialized medical care. This was influenced by the creation in the 80s of the

20th century in the United States, and then in Western Europe, the "damage control" system, which received comprehensive approval at the 37th World Congress of Surgeons in 1997. "Damage control", involves a programmed multi-stage surgical treatment patients admitted to the hospital in critical condition, in whom the use of traditional approaches is invariably associated with an unfavorable outcome [12].

5.1. Scales Used in Traumas

Currently, many systems have been developed to assess the severity of injury according to various criteria. The following scales were built according to the anatomical principle: Abbreviated Injury Scale (AIS), Injury Severity Score (ISS), New Injury Severity Score (NISS), Polytrauma Score (Hannover) (PTS), New Injury Severity Score (NISS), Organ Injury Scale (OIS), International Classification Injury Severity Score (ICISS), VPH-P (MT), VPH-P (OR), VPH-P (R); according to functional - a) sorting: Trauma Score (TS), Revised Trauma Score (RTS), Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS), VPH-Sort; b) dynamic evaluation: Evaluation (Apache II, Apache III), SOFA, VPH-SP, VPH-SG, VPH-SS; combined - Trauma and Injury Severity Score (TRISS), TRISSAN, A Severity Characterization Trauma (ASCOT), MODS. The implementation of the modern doctrine of the treatment of thoracoabdominal injuries is complemented by the tactics of programmed multi-stage surgical treatment.

5.2. Damage Control in Thoracoabdominal Traumas

Therapeutic tactics for "damage control" is divided into three phases [14]. According to their ideas, the first stage includes an emergency, as soon as possible, surgical intervention in order to stop ongoing bleeding and prevent contamination of the abdominal cavity with microbial agents, using packing of the abdominal cavity and its subsequent temporary closure without tension in order to prevent the development of intra-abdominal hypertension syndrome (abdominal compartment syndrome). The second stage of the Damage Control concept includes complex intensive antishock therapy in the conditions of the intensive care unit, which consists in correcting water and electrolyte disorders, hypoxia, hypothermia, hemocoagulation disorders, etc. The third stage, the beginning of which corresponds to the period of 24-36 hours after the injury, implies an exhaustive surgical aid consisting, as a rule, of removing hemostatic tampons, performing reconstructive and restorative interventions in the required volume and subsequent suturing of the abdominal wall.

5.3. Dilemma: Laparotomy or Thoracotomy

The volume and type of surgical intervention for injuries of the thoracoabdominal region depends on the severity of the patient, organ damage and blood loss. The sequence of intervention is dictated by the nature of the existing injuries, if profuse bleeding into the pleural cavity is expected, then thoracotomy is performed first, in case of profuse bleeding

into the abdominal cavity, the intervention begins with laparotomy, it is preferable to perform separate thoracotomy and laparotomy as the least traumatic [14]. According to K. G. Zhestkov et al. [5], absolute indications for thoracotomy should be considered reliable signs of damage to the heart and main vessels: large and total hemothorax, hemopericardium and cardiac tamponade, hemomediastinum with compression of the airways and main blood vessels. With reliable clinical and radiological signs of rupture of the trachea, large bronchi, an emergency thoracotomy is also necessary. Clinical experience shows that laparotomy is indicated for all patients with a predominance of symptoms of abdominal injury, as well as a significant proportion of patients with severe symptoms of injuries in both cavities. In typical cases, laparotomy involves the removal of pathological exudate from the abdominal cavity, identification of the source of bleeding and peritonitis, stopping intra-abdominal bleeding, suturing wounds of hollow and parenchymal organs, draining the small intestine (according to indications), sanitizing the abdominal cavity and taking measures to ensure its prolonged perfusion in the postoperative period [8]. Quite often, during thoracotomy for diaphragmatic rupture, the need for revision of the abdominal organs is revealed due to various findings that were not diagnosed before the operation. B. K. Altiev et al. [1] offer a differentiated approach to choosing the type of operation in the presence of hemoperitoneum: with hemoperitoneum of more than 400 ml and multiple thoracoabdominal injuries, they recommend performing laparotomy, and in patients with single thoracoabdominal injuries, with hemoperitoneum of less than 400 ml, perform laparoscopy. Kukushkin A. V. et al. [6] believe that in thoracoscopic visualization of a diaphragm injury and sonographic signs of fluid in the abdominal cavity, the criterion for choosing between laparoscopy and laparotomy is the stability of hemodynamics. With stable hemodynamics, laparoscopy should be performed, and with unstable, laparotomy. Kones O. et al. [15] identified two indications for the choice of laparotomy for thoracoabdominal injuries - hemodynamic instability and peritonitis. In other cases, the author recommends laparoscopy. Kukushkin A. V. [6] makes the choice of intra-abdominal intervention based on the data of diagnostic laparocentesis, which he performed in 98 patients with thoracoabdominal injury. In 86 (87.8%) cases, he found an admixture of blood or the contents of the hollow organs of the abdominal cavity. He considered the discovery of the contents of hollow organs as an indication for laparotomy, and the detection of blood impurities as an indication for laparoscopy. According to Mukhin A. S. [7], criteria for the conversion of laparoscopy to laparotomy are hemoperitoneum more than 500 ml, ongoing bleeding with an unvisualized source. Kukushkin A. V. [6] considers damage to the hollow organs of the abdominal cavity as an indication for conversion. At the same time, a number of researchers seek to suture wounds of hollow organs during laparoscopy. In rare cases, researchers report the use of thoracophrenolaparotomy for injuries in the area of the caval gate of the liver in patients with

thoracoabdominal injury. However, other authors consider laparotomy and thoracotomy less traumatic for such injuries [17]. Most authors use the combination of thoracotomy and laparotomy occasionally, in specific clinical situations. For example, in cases of heavy bleeding in both anatomical cavities, investigators perform simultaneous thoracotomy and laparotomy with two surgical teams. If there is only one team, Abakumov M. M. [4] recommends starting surgical treatment with thoracotomy.

5.4. Using Angiography in Thoracoabdominal Traumas

Angiography began to be used for injuries and wounds long before the appearance of the term and specialty "X-ray endovascular surgery". Until now, in some areas, angiography remains the "gold standard" for diagnosing vascular lesions. In case of damage to the chest and abdomen, as a rule, a femoral puncture access is performed for angiography. Optimal is the transition from diagnostic angiography directly to therapeutic intervention according to indications. Increasingly, CT angiography is performed for the purpose of diagnosis, and if damage is detected, therapeutic endovascular intervention is immediately performed [11, 13]. Despite this, often the quality of the obtained tomogram does not allow making a tactical decision, which requires angiography. Often, the extremely serious condition of the victim does not allow transporting the patient to the CT department. In this case, angiography performed on the C-arm in the anti-shock operating room may be useful.

6. Conclusion

Thus, the above indicates that the improvements of surgical approaches to patients with thoracoabdominal traumas are not completed today. Researchers continue to search for optimal combinations of classical and minimally invasive operations in relation to a variety of clinical situations that arise during the treatment of patients with thoracoabdominal traumas.

References

- [1] Altiev B. K., Shukurov B. I., Kuchkarov O. O. Choice of tactics of surgical treatment of thoracoabdominal wounds. Bulletin of emergency and reconstructive surgery. 2016; 1 (1): 18-25. [Алтыев Б. К., Шукуров Б. И., Кучкаров О. О. Выбор тактики хирургического лечения торакоабдоминальных повреждений. Вестник неотложной и восстановительной хирургии. 2016; 1 (1): 18-25.].
- [2] Bagnenko S. F., Minnullin I. P., Chikin A. E., Razumny N. V., Fisenko V. S. Improving medical care for victims of road traffic accidents. Bulletin of Roszdravnadzor. 2013; (5): 25-30. [Багненко С. Ф., Миннуллин И. П., Чикин А. Е., Разумный Н. В., Фисенко В. С. Совершенствование медицинской помощи пострадавшим при дорожно-транспортных происшествиях. Вестник Росздравнадзора. 2013; (5): 25-30.].

- [3] Bagnenko S. F., Tulupov A. N. Actual problems of diagnosis and treatment of severe closed chest trauma. *Ambulance* 2009; (2): 4-10. [Багненко С. Ф., Тулупов А. Н. Актуальные проблемы диагностики и лечения тяжелой закрытой травмы груди. *Скорая медицинская помощь* 2009; (2): 4-10.]
- [4] Ermolov A. S., Abakumov A. M., Pogodina A. N. [et al.] Diagnosis and treatment of post-traumatic clotted hemothorax. *Surgery*. 2006; 1: 22-28. [Ермолов А. С., Абакумов А. М., Погодина А. Н. [и др.] Диагностика и лечение посттравматического свернувшегося гемоторакса. *Хирургия*. 2006; 1: 22-28.]
- [5] Zhestkov K. G. Thoracoscopic surgery. The current state of the issue: successes, problems, prospects. Review of materials presented at the 7th ROEKh congress.- М. 2005; 19. [Жестков К. Г. Торакоскопическая хирургия. Современное состояние вопроса: успехи, проблемы, перспективы. Обзор материалов, представленных на VII съезд РОЭХ. – М. 2005; 19.]
- [6] Kukushkin A. V. Thoracoabdominal wounds. *Bulletin of the Ivanovo Medical Academy*. 2011; 16 (1): 39-45. [Кукушкин А. В. Торакоабдоминальные ранения. *Вестник Ивановской медицинской академии*. 2011; 16 (1): 39-45.]
- [7] Mukhin A. S., Otdelnov L. A., Simutis I. S., Prilukov D. B. Abdominal injury: analysis of mortality for the correction of diagnostic and treatment protocols. *Media Al Journal*. 2014. 1 (11): 11-13. [Мухин А. С., Отдельнов Л. А., Симутис И. С., Прилуков Д. Б. Травма живота: анализ летальности для коррекции диагностико-лечебных протоколов. *Журнал МедиАль*. 2014. 1 (11): 11-13.]
- [8] Styazhkina S. N., Pelina N. A., Pronichev V. V., Neganova O. A. Influence of surgical tactics and transfusion component on the course of the early period of traumatic disease. *Bulletin of modern clinical medicine*. 2018; 11 (2): 51-54. [Стяжкина С. Н., Пелина Н. А., Проничев В. В., Неганова О. А. Влияние хирургической тактики и трансфузионной составляющей на течение раннего периода травматической болезни. *Вестник современной клинической медицины*. 2018; 11 (2): 51-54.]
- [9] Styazhkina S. N., Pelina N. A., Khabibulina L. I., Garafieva I. D., Pashkov Yu. Yu., Akhtarieva V. V. The place of videolaparoscopy in urgent surgery for abdominal trauma. *Modern problems of science and education*. 2017; (3): 63. [Стяжкина С. Н., Пелина Н. А., Хабибулина Л. И., Гарафиева И. Д., Пашков Ю. Ю., Ахтариева В. В. Местовидеолапароскопии в ургентной хирургии и при травме органов брюшной полости. *Современные проблемы науки и образования*. 2017; (3): 63.]
- [10] Khatkov I. E., Izrailov R. E., Pankratov A. A., Zhdanov A. V. Endovideosurgical interventions for trauma of the chest and abdomen. *Surgery*. 2016. (1): 15-19. [Хатьков И. Е., Израйлов Р. Е., Панкратов А. А., Жданов А. В. Эндовидеохирургические вмешательства при травме груди и живота. *Хирургия*. 2016. (1): 15-19.]
- [11] Berg R. J., Karamanos E., Inaba K. et al. The persistent diagnostic challenge of thoracoabdominal stab wounds. *J. Trauma. Acute. Care. Surg*. 2014; 76 (2): 418-423.
- [12] Fair K. A., Gordon N. T., Barbosa R. R., Rowell S. E., Watters J. M., Schreiber M. A. Traumatic diaphragmatic injury in the American College of Surgeons National Trauma Data Bank: a new examination of a rare diagnosis. *Am. J. Surg*. 2015; 209 (5): 864-869.
- [13] Fente B. G., Nwagwu C. C., Ogulu B. N., Orukari G. I., Okere E. O., Miss Ouserigha O. E. Management of a severe thoracoabdominal injury from motorized sawing machine in a temporary semi-urban university teaching hospital: a case report. *Niger. J. Med*. 2012; 21 (2): 246-248.
- [14] Gao J. M., Du D. Y., Liu C. P., Liang S. Y., Xiao Q et al. Traumatic diaphragmatic rupture with combined thoracoabdominal injuries: difference between penetrating and blunt injuries. *Traumatol*. 2015; 18 (1): 21-26.
- [15] Kones O., Akarsu C., Dogan H., Okuturlar Y., Dural A., Karabulut M et al. Is non-operative approach applicable for penetrating injuries of the left thoraco-abdominal region. *Turk. J. Emerg. Med*. 2016; 16: 22-25.
- [16] Koto M. Z., Mosai F., Matsevych O. Y. The USE of laparoscopy in managing penetrating thoracoabdominal injuries in Africa: 83 cases reviewed. *Afr. J. Surg*. 2017; 55 (2) 60.
- [17] Liao C. H., Hsu C. P., Kuo I. M., Ooyang C. H., Wang S. Y., Huang J. F. et al. Factors affecting outcomes in penetrating diaphragmatic trauma. *Int. J. Surg*. 2013; 11 (6): 492-495.
- [18] Mjoli M, Oosthuizen G, Clarke D, Madiba T. Laparoscopy in the diagnosis and repair of diaphragmatic injuries in left-sided penetrating thoracoabdominal trauma: laparoscopy in trauma. *Surg. Endosc*. 2015; 29: 747-752.
- [19] Radjou A. N., Balliga D. K., Uthrapathy M, Pal R, Mahajan P. Injury to the diaphragm: Our experience in Union Head quarters Hospital. *Int. J. Crit. Illn. Inj. Sci*. 2013; 3 (4): 256-261.