
Two Dimensional Speckle Tracking Echocardiography Assessment of Left Ventricular Remodeling in Patients After Myocardial Infarction

Moustafa Kamal Eldin Ibrahim, Khalied Ahmad Emam El-khashab, Tamer Mosaad Elsaed Ragab

Cardiology Department, Faculty of Medicine, Fayoum University, Fayoum, Egypt

Email address:

mki11@fayoum.edu.eg (M. K. E. Ibrahim), moustafa19688@mail.com (K. A. El-khashab), dr_tamerragab@yahoo.com (T. M. Ragab)

To cite this article:

Moustafa Kamal Eldin Ibrahim, Khalied Ahmad Emam El-khashab, Tamer Mosaad Elsaed Ragab. Two Dimensional Speckle Tracking Echocardiography Assessment of Left Ventricular Remodeling in Patients After Myocardial Infarction. *Cardiology and Cardiovascular Research*. Vol. 4, No. 2, 2020, pp. 59-66. doi: 10.11648/j.ccr.20200402.15

Received: March 26, 2020; **Accepted:** April 10, 2020; **Published:** April 30, 2020

Abstract: Background: Adverse left ventricular remodelling (LVR), defined as progressive ventricular dilatation, distortion of chamber shape, myocardial hypertrophy, and deteriorating function, which if uninterrupted leads to congestive heart failure (CHF) and a poor clinical outcome, begins in some patients with acute myocardial infarction (AMI) even after successful percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI). Aims: This study aims at evaluating the value of speckle tracking echocardiography in predicting LVR after successful PCI in AMI patients. Materials and Methods: Eighty-four acute myocardial infarction patients. A thorough physical examination, electrocardiography (ECG) and a complete echocardiographic assessment, including speckle tracking study, was performed two days after PCI and then a follow up echocardiography with speckle tracking study was done two months afterwards. Patients were then divided into two groups based on the presence of remodelling (R+, R-). RESULTS: at baseline study global longitudinal strain (GLS) (-11.14 ± 0.5 VS -16.78 ± 0.4 , $P < 0.0001$), longitudinal strain rate (LSr) (-1.01 ± 0.05 VS -1.07 ± 0.04 , $P < 0.0001$), culprit longitudinal strain (CulLS) (-9.74 ± 0.59 VS -15.68 ± 0.49 , $P < 0.0001$), culprit longitudinal strain rate (CulLSr) (-0.95 ± 0.05 VS -1.02 ± 0.04 , $P < 0.0001$) were all lower in group R+ than in R-. In the follow up study, all strain parameters studied were significantly lower in the R+ group than R- group. The most sensitive and specific parameters were the GLS and CulLS (sensitivities of 91.7% and 95.8% respectively) and (specificities of 95% and 96.7% respectively). CONCLUSION: Our findings show that impaired indices LV deformation detected two days after successful PCI for AMI may provide predictive value in detecting LV remodelling.

Keywords: Left Ventricular Remodelling, Acute Myocardial Infarction, Speckle Tracking Echocardiography

1. Introduction

Improvement in the early diagnosis, invasive and medical treatment of acute myocardial infarction (AMI) lead to reduction in the mortality rates associated with early and late complications of AMI. However even complete revascularization doesn't exclude the risk of adverse left ventricular remodeling (LVR). [10].

Left ventricular remodeling is characterized by progressive enlargement and change in the shape of the LV cavity leading to systolic dysfunction. It occurs, as an adaptation to the tissue infarction, as a result of macro and microscope changes at the cardiomyocyte leading to structural and functional changes. Left ventricular remodeling is associated

with worse outcomes and predisposes to heart failure [3].

Although various studies evaluated multiple clinical factors and routine echocardiographic parameters to try to detect LVR as a consequence of myocardial infarction, there are still gaps in our data, and some of the clinical factors or diagnostic parameters failed to identify patients prone to LVR [26].

Speckle tracking echocardiography (STE) is an echocardiographic method for evaluating and measuring global and regional strain, longitudinal, circumferential, radial and transverse—the precise indices of ventricle function The main advantages of speckle tracking echocardiography technique over strain assessment based on Doppler tissue imaging are that measurements are angle independent, and that it can

distinguish active from passive movement of wall segments. Strain-based parameters have been validated in experimental and human studies as sensitive indicators of regional and global cardiac function [21].

Our aim was to evaluate the value of speckle tracking echocardiography in the prediction of left ventricular remodeling in patients after primary coronary angioplasty in acute coronary syndrome.

2. Methods

This study was a prospective cohort study conducted in Fayoum university hospital including patients from the emergency department and the in-patient cardiology department, after approval of research and ethical committee.

Eighty four patients diagnosed with acute myocardial infarction, either ST segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) or non ST segment myocardial infarction (NSTEMI), were included. All patients underwent percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) with stent implantation according to the ACC/AHA guidelines for STEMI and NSTEMI. Patients were then followed for two months for the occurrence of left ventricular remodeling and prediction factors including number of diseased vessels, and time to reperfusion. Patients were then divided into two groups (Remodeling (R+) and Non remodeling (R-)) according to the occurrence of remodeling. Inclusion criteria included typical chest pain, elevated cardiac biomarkers (Troponin and CK-MB) and then classification into ST elevation myocardial infarction or non ST segment elevation myocardial infarction was done based on the electrocardiogram.

Exclusion criteria included history of prior myocardial infarction, heart muscle disease (cardiomyopathy) with

regional or global hypokinesia, valvular heart disease, significant arrhythmias (including atrial fibrillation), previous pacemaker or cardioverter defibrillator implantation, patients who have undergone coronary artery by-pass grafting or previous PCI, and very poor image quality.

All patients received best guideline directed medical therapy as per the ACC/AHA guidelines for STMEI and NSTEMI. These medications included angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors (ACE-I), β -blockers, statins, aspirin, and ADP receptor blocker, in target doses as per the ACC/AHA guidelines.

3. Data Entry and Statistical Analysis

Data was collected, coded and analyzed using SPSS (Statistical Package for Social sciences) software (Version 25) on Windows 7, and a simple descriptive analysis in the form of percentage distribution, means and S. D. (Standard Deviation) was executed. Categorical data was analyzed by computing percentages, and consequent differences were tested statistically by applying chi square tests for comparisons between groups; students' T-test to compare between two groups, a p-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant. For sensitivity and specificity a ROC curve was obtained and data were derived from it.

4. Results

This study was conducted on eighty four patients from the emergency department and in-patients who attended the Fayoum university teaching hospital in the duration from May 2017 till September 2018, fulfilling the inclusion and exclusion criteria and also agreed to participate in the study.

Table 1. Basic characteristics and risk factors according to remodeling (N=84).

Variables	Patients with Remodeling (R+) (N=24)		Patients without remodeling (R-) (N=60)		P-value [#]
	Mean	\pm SD	Mean	\pm SD	
Age	58.92	9.38	58.32	6.99	0.749
Variables	N	%	N	%	P-value ^{##}
Sex					
Male	17	70.8	41	68.3	0.823
Female	7	29.2	19	31.7	
Smoking					0.624
Yes	15	62.5	34	56.7	
No	9	37.5	26	43.3	
Hypertension					0.889
Yes	14	58.3	34	56.7	
No	10	41.7	26	43.3	
Diabetes					0.629
Yes	13	54.2	29	48.3	
No	11	45.8	31	51.7	

[#]Independent t-test.

^{##}Chi-squared (χ^2) test.

Table 1 shows the basic characteristics and risk factors in both groups (R+, R-), where the mean age of R+ group patients was 58.9 ± 9.3 and that of the R- group was 58.3 ± 6.9 . In the R+ group 70.8% were males and 29.2% were females, while in the R- group 68.3% were males and 31.7% were

females.

In regards to the different risk factors, in the R+ group 62% were smokers, 54% were diabetic and 58% were hypertensive, while in the R- group 56% were smokers, 48% were diabetic and 56% were hypertensive.

There was no statistical significant difference between both of the groups in regards to the basic characteristics and different risk factors.

Table 2. Angiographic results in relation to remodeling (N=84).

Variables	Patients with Remodeling (N=24)		Patients without remodeling (N=60)		P-value [#]
	Mean	± SD	Mean	± SD	
Number of diseased vessels	1.83	0.82	1.77	0.76	0.725
Time to reperfusion	18.75	8.89	5.55	2.45	<0.0001
Variables	N	%	N	%	P-value ^{##}
IRA					
LAD	12	50.0	29	48.3	
LCX	4	16.7	13	21.7	0.868
RCA	8	33.3	18	30.0	

[#]Independent t-test.

^{##}Chi-squared (χ^2) test.

IRA- infarct related artery, LAD-Left anterior descending artery, LCX- left circumflex artery, RCA- Right coronary artery.

Table 2 Shows the angiographic results in both groups (R+, and R-), where the only statistical significant difference between both groups was in the time to reperfusion which was longer in the R+ group than in the R- group with means of 18.75±8.8 hours and 5.55±2.45 hours respectively and a P value of <0.0001.

On the other hand, there were no statistical significant difference between both groups in regards to the number of diseased vessels or the infarct related artery (IRA), where in the R+ group 50% had the LAD as the IRA, 16% had the LCX and 33% had the RCA as the IRA. While in the R- group 48% had the LAD as the IRA, 21% had the LCX and 30% had the RCA as the IRA.

Table 3. Basic echocardiographic results in relation to remodeling (N=84).

Variables	Patients with Remodeling (N=24)		Patients without remodeling (N=60)		P-value [#]
	Mean	± SD	Mean	± SD	
Two days after PCI					
EF	50.63	2.03	51.29	3.96	0.322
EDV	87.25	9.04	89.55	8.15	0.261
ESV	43.08	4.91	43.70	5.94	0.654
WMSI	1.58	0.08	1.23	0.07	<0.0001
After 2 months					
EF	50.67	2.27	54.81	4.17	<0.0001
EDV	106.36	11.32	87.59	8.23	<0.0001
ESV	52.46	6.08	39.69	5.98	<0.0001

[#]Independent t-test.

EF-ejection fraction, EDV- end diastolic volume, ESV- end systolic volume, WMSI- wall motion score index.

Table 3 shows the basic echocardiography results in both groups. In the baseline echocardiography study only the wall motion score index (WMSI) showed statistically significant difference where its value was higher in the R+ group than in the R- group, with the means of 1.58±0.08 and 1.23±0.07 respectively, and a P value of <0.0001.

The EF, EDV and ESV were less in the R+ group than in the R- group of patients in the baseline echocardiography, but there was no statistical significant difference.

The follow up echocardiography study showed that there was statistically significant difference between both groups in regards to the EF, EDV and ESV, Where the EF was less in the R+ group than in the R- patients (means of 50.6±2.27 and

54.8±4.1, and a P value of <0.0001), the EDV was higher in the R+ group than in the R- group (means of 106.3±11.3 and 87.5±8.2 respectively and a P value of <0.0001). the ESV was also higher in the R+ group than in the R- group (with values of 52.4±6, and 39.6±5.9 respectively and a P value of <0.0001).

Table 4. Doppler results two days after PCI in relation to remodeling (N=84).

Variables	Patients with Remodeling (N=24)		Patients without remodeling (N=60)		P-value [#]
	Mean	± SD	Mean	± SD	
E	81.04	3.06	80.18	4.94	0.431
A	67.54	2.57	74.27	2.52	<0.0001
E/A	1.20	0.03	1.08	0.08	<0.0001
DT	151.83	8.82	183.17	6.83	<0.0001
Tissue Doppler	Mean	±SD	Mean	±SD	P-value [#]
e'	5.24	0.33	6.22	0.64	<0.0001
E/e'	15.52	1.01	13.02	1.49	<0.0001
a'	8.59	0.38	8.65	0.40	0.554
s'	6.69	0.35	6.84	0.41	0.138

[#]Independent t-test.

Table 4 shows that in regards to the Doppler study done at the baseline echocardiography, there were statistically significant difference between both groups in regards to (A, E/A and DT) where the A wave was lower in the R+ group than in the R- group (67.5±2.5, and 74.2±2.5 respectively with a P value of <0.0001), the E/A ratio was higher in the R+ group than in the R- group (1.2±0.03, and 1.08±0.08 respectively and a P value of <0.0001). The DT was less in the R+ group than in the R- group (151.8±8.8, and 183.1±6.8 respectively, and a P value of <0.0001) while there was no statistical significant difference in the E wave value between both groups.

In regards to the tissue Doppler study, the e' was significantly lower in the R+ group than in the R- group (5.2±0.3, and 6.2±0.6, respectively with a P value of <0.0001). The E/e' ratio was significantly higher in the R+ group than in the R- group (15.5±1, and 13.02±1.4 respectively and a P value of <0.0001).

The a' and s' values didn't show a statistically significant difference between both groups.

Table 5. Speckle tracking echocardiography results in relation to remodeling (N=84).

Variables	Patients with Remodeling (N=24)		Patients without remodeling (N=60)		P-value [#]
	Mean	± SD	Mean	± SD	
Two days after PCI					
GLS	-11.14	0.59	-16.78	0.49	<0.0001
LSr	-1.01	0.05	-1.07	0.04	<0.0001
GCS	-16.62	0.38	-16.71	0.57	0.492
CSr	-1.23	0.05	-1.24	0.06	0.06
CuLS	-9.74	0.59	-15.68	0.49	<0.0001
CuLSr	-0.95	0.05	-1.02	0.04	<0.0001
After 2 months					
GLS	-11.48	0.59	-17.90	0.51	<0.0001
LSr	-0.99	0.05	-1.14	0.04	<0.0001
GCS	-17.03	0.39	-17.32	0.57	0.023
CSr	-1.26	0.05	-1.29	0.06	0.010
CuLS	-10.14	0.59	-16.63	0.49	<0.0001
CuLSr	-0.96	0.08	-1.10	0.04	<0.0001

[#]Independent t-test.

GLS-global longitudinal strain, LSr-Longitudinal strain rate, GCS- global circumferential strain, CSr- circumferential strain rate, CuLS- culprit longitudinal strain, CuLSr- culprit longitudinal strain rate.

Table 5 shows the speckle tracking echocardiography data from baseline and follow up studies.

In the baseline study, there was a statistically significant difference in the following values:

1. The global longitudinal strain (GLS) was lower in the R+ group than in the R- group (means of -11.14 ± 0.59 , and -16.78 ± 0.49 respectively, and a P value of <0.0001).
2. The Longitudinal strain rate (LSr) was lower in the R+ group than in the R- group (means of -1.01 ± 0.05 , and 1.07 ± 0.04 respectively and a P value of <0.0001).
3. The culprit longitudinal strain (CuLS) was lower in the R+ group than in the R- group (means of -9.74 ± 0.59 , and -15.68 ± 0.49 respectively and P value of <0.0001).
4. The culprit longitudinal strain rate (CuLSr) was lower in the R+ group than in the R- group (means of -0.95 ± 0.05 , and -1.02 ± 0.04 , respectively and P value of <0.0001).

But the global circumferential strain and the circumferential strain rate didn't show a statistically significant difference between both groups.

In the follow up LV deformation study done after 2 months, all the parameters showed statistically significant difference, where;

1. The Global longitudinal strain was lower in the R+ group than in the R- group (means of -11.48 ± 0.59 , and -17.9 ± 0.51 respectively with P value of <0.0001).
2. The longitudinal strain rate was lower in the R+ group than in the R- group (means of -0.99 ± 0.05 and -1.14 ± 0.04 respectively with P value of <0.0001).
3. The Global circumferential strain was lower in the R+ group than in the R- group (means of -17.03 ± 0.39 and -17.32 ± 0.57 respectively with P value of <0.023).
4. The circumferential strain rate was lower in the R+

group than in the R- group (means of -1.26 ± 0.05 and -1.29 ± 0.06 respectively with P value of <0.01).

5. The culprit longitudinal strain was lower in the R+ group than in the R- group (means of -10.14 ± 0.59 and -16.63 ± 0.49 respectively with P value of <0.0001).
6. The culprit longitudinal strain rate was lower in the R+ group than in the R- group (means of -0.96 ± 0.08 and -1.1 ± 0.04 respectively with P value of <0.0001).

Table 6. Echocardiographic parameters at baseline study predicting LV remodeling in the 2-months follow-up.

	AUC	Cut off point	Sensitivity%	Specificity%
Doppler 2 days after PCI				
A	0.832	70.5	79.2	80
E/A	0.815	1.15	79.2	86.3
e'	0.883	5.55	75	81.3
E/e'	0.813	13.69	83.3	68.3
DT	0.930	172.5	87.5	90
Strain Echo 2 days after PCI				
GLS	0.890	-14.0	91.7	95
LSr	0.825	-1.06	83.3	65.0
CuLS	0.951	-12.0	95.8	96.7
CuLSr	0.873	-0.99	83.3	73.3
WMSI	0.957	1.4	87.5	93.3

DT-Deceleration time GLS-global longitudinal strain, LSr-Longitudinal strain rate, CuLS-culprit longitudinal strain, CuLSr-culprit longitudinal strain rate, WMSI-wall motion score index.

Table 6 show the receiver operating characteristics curves of variables from the baseline echocardiography done two days after PCI that showed significant difference between the remodeling and non -remodeling groups.

The most sensitive and specific parameters were the Culprit longitudinal strain (CuLS) and the global longitudinal strain (GLS) where both showed sensitivities of 95.8% and 91.7% respectively, and specificities of 96.7% and 95% respectively.

Out of the non-strain derived parameters, the WMSI and the DT showed the highest specificity, where the specificities were 90% and 93.3% respectively.

5. Discussion

Adverse ventricular remodelling occurs after myocardial infarction (MI) due to loss of viable myocardium, inflammatory response, increased wall stress at the border zones of the infarction and neuro-hormonal activation. Adverse remodelling is characterized by regional and global structural and functional changes in the heart. The term "adverse" refers both to the changes from a hemodynamic standpoint and to the negative prognostic implications of the process [22]. Due to the progress in the field of percutaneous coronary intervention, the incidence and extent of adverse ventricular remodelling have significantly declined. Acute in-hospital MI mortality has markedly decreased, and therefore the majority of MI survivors have preserved left ventricular (LV) systolic function and excellent 1-year survival rate. Patients who experience adverse ventricular remodelling suffer a significantly higher in-hospital and short-term

mortality [27].

5.1. Prevalence of Adverse Ventricular Remodelling

The goal of this study was to analyse the prevalence, clinical characteristics, and predictors of left ventricular remodelling after acute myocardial infarction in the era of primary percutaneous coronary intervention and modern medical therapy. Despite aggressive treatment, including reperfusion and anti-remodelling strategies, ventricular enlargement is still common. Our study shows that out of the eighty-four patients with AMI, twenty-four patients (30%) suffered from left ventricular remodelling defined as $\geq 20\%$ increase in the end diastolic or end systolic volumes of the LV on follow up echocardiography, in comparison to the baseline echocardiography. In a study done by Liszka et al, 2014, adverse LV remodelling was detected in 27% of the AMI population of the study. In a meta-analysis by Huttin et al 2016, including 23 prospective studies, it was found that despite the high success rate of revascularization by PCI, adverse LV remodelling occurred in one third of the patients following AMI (12 to 44%). The variability in the prevalence of remodelling between our study and other studies, although minor, may be due to differences in study populations, and timing of imaging [19, 15].

Therefore, despite modern treatment, our data suggest that ventricular remodelling remains a frequent event. It is important to consider that adequate reperfusion in patients with acute myocardial infarction salvages myocardium and reduces mortality. However, successful restoration of epicardial coronary patency doesn't always cause adequate reperfusion at the microvascular level (phenomenon of no-reflow) [9]

5.2. Time to Reperfusion

Our study shows that there was a significant longer mean time from first symptoms occurrence to reperfusion in the subgroup of AMI patients who suffered from adverse LV remodeling, where the mean duration was 18.75 hours \pm 8.89 versus 5.55 hours \pm 2.45 in the non-remodeling subgroup, with a P value of <0.0001 . This result is comparable to the results of a study done by Hsiao et al, 2016, in which the door to balloon time was longer in the remodeling group. Also this same finding was detected by Liszka et al 2014, but other studies done by Bolognese et al 2002 and Cerisano et al 1999, didn't detect such a finding [19, 5, 7].

The first symptoms-reperfusion time consists of three parts: a) time to first medical contact, b) time to medical center and c) door to balloon time. The relatively long overall time to reperfusion found in our study was mainly due to their unnecessary emergency call delay despite clear symptoms or ambiguous and atypical general symptoms, which patients primarily did not associate with their cardiovascular system (e.g. gastrointestinal-like symptoms in inferior wall ischemia). The LVR occurring despite successful reperfusion may be a consequence of a lower rate of viable cardiomyocytes in the area of infarction unable to

prevent adverse remodeling [23, 4].

5.3. Wall Motion Score Index

Our study shows that there was a significantly higher wall motion score index (WMSI) value in the remodeling subgroup than in the non-remodelers. This finding is comparable to the results of a study done by Lobo-Grudzien et al. 2007, which found that a WMSI value of ≥ 1.5 was predictive of progressive left ventricular dilatation (PLVD) after myocardial infarction [20].

In a study done by Eek et al, 2010 it was found that a WMSI value of more than 1.44 indicated an infarct size of more than 12% of the myocardium (infarct size was calculated as infarct volume as a percentage of total myocardial volume as quantified by MRI) [8]. Final infarct size is a strong predictor of mortality and major adverse cardiovascular events. Current reperfusion therapy is effective resulting in a relative reduction of infarct size achieved of typically 40% by thrombolysis and 60% by primary PCI. The reduced mortality rate observed in the reperfusion era is largely attributable to reduction of final infarct size. This WMSI value is comparable to the cutoff value in our study which is 1.4, at which both the sensitivity and specificity for the prediction of LV adverse remodeling were 87.5% and 93.3% respectively.

5.4. Doppler Echocardiography

In the study done by Cerisano et al, 1999 it was found that the assessment of LV filling pattern on Doppler echocardiography provides additional and important information in the setting of AMI, allowing identification of patients at high risk for progressive LV dilation after AMI. A restrictive filling pattern, as expressed by a short DT, was the most powerful predictor of LV remodeling, and the degree of LV dilation was related to the severity of impairment of LV filling [7].

Previous studies have demonstrated that infarct size is one of the major factors that promotes LV remodeling [11] and [24]. On the other hand, the size of the infarct zone has been shown to influence the diastolic filling pattern, with the large infarcts exhibiting a "restrictive" filling pattern. Therefore, a short DT, indicative of a restrictive filling pattern, might simply reflect an increasing infarct size and consequently a higher risk of LV dilation [25].

In agreement with the aforementioned observations, in our study the subgroup of patients with remodeling showed a significantly lower value of the A wave, shorter deceleration time and a higher E/A value. The tissue Doppler also showed a significantly lower e' and a higher E/e' values in the remodeling subgroup.

Our results thus also suggest a restrictive filling pattern in the remodeling group, with the DT being the most specific Doppler parameter (at a cutoff value of 172.5ms, sensitivity of 87.5% and specificity of 90%) for detection of LV remodeling after 2 months.

Our study thus shows that early noninvasive assessment of

trans-mitral flow velocity by Doppler echocardiography allows identification of patients at high risk for progressive LV dilation within 2 months after reperfused AMI. A restrictive filling pattern is a powerful predictor of LV remodeling, with the deceleration time being the most Doppler specific parameter.

5.5. Speckle Tracking Echocardiography Derived Strain Parameters

Speckle tracking echocardiography derived strain parameters: There has been extensive research about global longitudinal strain (GLS), global circumferential strain (GCS) and therefore the longitudinal and circumferential strain rates (LSr, CSr) and that they were proposed as predictors for reworking, however, most of them had limitation of small patient number. Some studies demonstrated that GLS may be a powerful prognosticator for reworking while other studies claimed GCS is that the best prognosticator and even other studies claimed the circumferential strain rate to be the simplest predictor of transforming. Our study shows that, out of the various strain parameters measured 2 days after the PCI, the GLS, LSr, CulLS and therefore the CulLSr were significantly lower within the subgroup of patients that afterwards suffered from adverse LV remodelling. In 2008 Park et al. demonstrated that GLS predicts remodelling. Although remodelling was defined by > 15% increase in EDV and that they targeted only anterior wall infarct with patient population of fifty. Lacalzada et al (2015) also illustrated that GLS predicts remodeling in STEMI with ninety-seven low risk patient group. albeit only 20 out of 97 patients developed remodelling therein study [18]. A large study by Joyce et al (2014) reported an association between GLS and adverse LV dilatation after STEMI in 1041 patients. The population was divided into 2 groups consistent with a median value of GLS of -15.0%. Patients with baseline GLS less than -15.0% exhibited greater LV dilatation at 3- and 6-month follow-ups compared with patients with GLS equal or quite -15.0%. this is often almost like our study that showed that at a cutoff value of -14%, the sensitivity and specificity of GLS to predict LV remodeling were 91.7% and 95% respectively [17]. On the opposite hand, Bonios et al (2014) stated that in their research on potential predictors of LV remodelling in patients with acute anterior wall myocardial infarct, apical CS was a stronger predictive marker of LV remodelling as compared to LS. One potential pathophysiological explanation of this finding is that LV CS plays a more pivotal role in maintaining LV structure, which worse circumferential CS might contribute to LV remodeling [6]. In support of this hypothesis are the results of the study by Aikawa et al. (2001), where in patients with an anterior infarction apical regional wall stress was an independent predictor of subsequent LV remodelling after AMI [2]. But it's worth mentioning that in both of these studies only patients with anterior myocardial infarct were included. The VALIANT Echo study, in contrary to our study, demonstrated that circumferential

strain rate was predictive for LV remodelling but that GLS and LSr weren't. That study investigated 603 patients with LV dysfunction or coronary failure after myocardial infarct. Only 311 cases had adequate image quality to allow assessment of all longitudinal and circumferential strain and strain rate. The GLS and LSRs were derived only from the mean of apical 4- and 2-chamber views. Probably, the mean value of a complete of 12 segments wasn't representative of worldwide values. it's worth mentioning that those patients were enrolled before the systematic use of primary percutaneous coronary intervention and other guideline - based anti-remodeling therapies [15]. In our study, we also investigated the culprit longitudinal strain and strain rate (CulLS, CulLSr) which were defined as the average longitudinal strains and strain rates of the territories of the culprit vessels, and both showed significant difference between the groups of remodelers and non-remodelers (both were significantly lower). These results are in partial concordance with results of the study by Hsiao et al (2016). which demonstrated that, out of both of these values, only the CulLSr showed significant difference (was significantly lower within the adverse remodeling group) [14].

Which is the best parameter for prediction of adverse LV remodeling? In our study, the CulLS and therefore the GLS (longitudinal strain parameters) were the foremost sensitive and specific parameters for the prediction of LVR with sensitivities of 95.8% and 91.7% respectively and specificities of 96.7% and 95% respectively. Gjesdal et al 2008, discovered that the GLS level identified by 2D speckle tracking echocardiography is closely correlated with myocardial infarction size as determined by contrast-enhanced resonance imaging during chronic ischemic heart condition. A strain value of -15% has 83% sensitivity and 93% specificity at the worldwide level and 76% sensitivity and 95% specificity at the territorial level (territory of the culprit vessel) to spot infarction [12]. The contractility of segments within the remote zone could compensate to maintain LV systolic function. We assume abnormal segments play a more important role in adverse LVR in patients with AMI [16]. This might partially explain why the CulLS in our study had a better sensitivity and specificity than the GLS. STE-derived parameters are markers of LV function which more closely reflect intrinsic and subclinical myocardial impairment than traditional parameters by assessing the active component of myocardial deformation.

6. Limitations of the Study

1. Our cohort of patients was relatively small, and it was limited to patients with few complications. Patients who required mechanical ventilation were difficult to be included due to poor image quality.
2. Deformation imaging based on speckle tracking technique relies on above-average image quality for good data extraction, and continuous endocardial border motion would be the major determinant in enrollment.

7. Conclusion

Adverse LV remodeling occurred in 30% of AMI patients even after PCI. The 2D speckle tracking echocardiography proved to be a promising, feasible, and noninvasive modality to evaluate myocardial deformation in this cohort. In our study, CulS and GLS were the most sensitive and specific predictors of adverse LV remodeling.

Ethics

This study was reviewed and approved by the Fayoum University-Faculty of Medicine (Research Ethical Committee). The official approval was obtained from the general director of hospital and the manager of the outpatient clinic and the head of the Cardiology department.

Consent to Participate

The study was performed after explaining its objectives and confidentiality was expressed to the participants. Written consent was taken from the participants as an agreement to join the study before clinical examination and laboratory investigation. All participants had the right to not participate in the study.

Declaration

The authors declare that this manuscript did not previously publish or considered for publication in any other journal. The authors do not hold any stocks or shares, fees, funding or salary from any organization that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future.

Competing Interests

There is no conflict of interest as there are no commercial or financial relationships from any institution or organization that could be construed as a potential conflict and all the expenses are covered by the authors.

Consent to Publish

Not applicable.

Availability of Data and Materials

All the data are available on an excel sheet and also SPSS format and if needed the corresponding author is welcome to send it upon request.

References

- [1] Abate E, Hoogslag GE, Leong DP, et al. 2014: Association between multilayer left ventricular rotational mechanics and the development of left ventricular remodeling after acute myocardial infarction. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr*. Mar; 27 (3): 239–48.
- [2] Aikawa Y, Rohde L, Plehn J, et al. (2001) Regional wall stress predicts ventricular remodeling after anteroseptal myocardial infarction in the Healing and Early Afterload Reducing Trial (HEART): an echocardiography-based structural analysis. *Am Heart J*. 141: 234-242.
- [3] Arnold SV, Spertus JA, Masoudi FA, et al. (2013): Beyond medication prescription as performance measures: optimal secondary prevention medication dosing after acute myocardial infarction, *J Am Coll Cardiol* 62: 1791–1801.
- [4] Bertini M, Mollema SA, Delgado V, et al 2009: Impact of time to reperfusion after acute myocardial infarction on myocardial damage assessed by left ventricular longitudinal strain. *Am J Cardiol*; 104: 480-5.
- [5] Bolognese L, Neskovic A, Parodi G (2002): Left ventricular remodeling after primary coronary angioplasty. *Circulation*; 106: 2351-7.
- [6] Bonios MJ, Kaladaridou A, Tasoulis A, et al (2014): Value of apical circumferential strain in the early post-myocardial infarction period for prediction of left ventricular remodeling. *Hellenic J Cardiol*. Jul Aug; 55 (4): 305-12.
- [7] Cerisano G, Bolognese L, Carrabba N, et al (1999). Doppler-derived mitral deceleration time. An early strong predictor of left ventricular remodeling after reperfused anterior acute myocardial infarction. *Circulation*; 99: 230-6.
- [8] Eek C, Grenne B, Brunvand H, et al 2010: Strain echocardiography and wall motion score index predicts final infarct size in patients with non-ST-segment-elevation myocardial infarction. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging*. Mar; 3 (2): 187-94.
- [9] Farah E, Cogni AL, Minicucci MF, et al. (2012): Prevalence and predictors of ventricular remodeling after anterior myocardial infarction in the era of modern medical therapy. *Med Sci Monit*; 18 (5): CR276-81.
- [10] Funaro S, Galiuto L, Boccacini F, et al. (2011): Determinants of microvascular damage recovery after acute myocardial infarction: Results from the Acute Myocardial Infarction Contrast Imaging (AMICI) multi-centre study, *Eur J Echocardiogr* 12 (4): 306–312.
- [11] Gaudron P, Eilles C, Kugler I, et al. 1993 Progressive left ventricular dysfunction and remodeling after myocardial infarction: potential mechanisms and early predictors. *Circulation*; 87: 755–763.
- [12] Gjesdal O, Helle-Valle T, Hopp E, et al. (2008): Noninvasive separation of large, medium, and small myocardial infarcts in survivors of reperfused ST-elevation myocardial infarction: a comprehensive tissue Doppler and speckle-tracking echocardiography study. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging*. Nov; 1 (3): 189–96.
- [13] Grabka M, Wita K, Tabor Z, et al. (2013): Prediction of infarct size by speckle tracking echocardiography in patients with anterior myocardial infarction. *Coron Artery Dis*. 2013 Mar; 24 (2): 127–34.
- [14] Hsiao J-F, Chung C-M, Chu C-M, et al. (2016) Two-Dimensional Speckle Tracking Echocardiography Predict Left Ventricular Remodeling after Acute Myocardial Infarction in Patients with Preserved Ejection Fraction. *PLoS ONE* 11 (12): e0168109. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0168109.

- [15] Huttin O, Coiro S, Selton-Suty C, et al. (2016) Prediction of Left Ventricular Remodeling after a Myocardial Infarction: Role of Myocardial Deformation: A Systematic Review and Meta Analysis. *PLoS ONE* 11 (12): e0168349. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0168349.
- [16] Ingul CB, Malm S, Refsdal E, et al. 2010: Recovery of function after acute myocardial infarction evaluated by tissue Doppler strain and strain rate. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr. Apr*; 23 (4): 432–8.
- [17] Joyce E, Hoogslag GE, Leong DP, et al. (2014): Association between left ventricular global longitudinal strain and adverse left ventricular dilatation after ST-segment-elevation myocardial infarction. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging. Jan*; 7 (1): 74–81.
- [18] Lacalzada J, de la Rosa A, Izquierdo MM, et al. (2015): Left ventricular global longitudinal systolic strain predicts adverse remodeling and subsequent cardiac events in patients with acute myocardial infarction treated with primary percutaneous coronary intervention. *Int J Cardiovasc Imaging*; 31: 575–84.
- [19] Liszka J, Haberka M, Tabor Z, et al. (2013): Two dimensional speckle tracking echocardiography assessment of left ventricular remodeling in patients after myocardial infarction and primary reperfusion. *Arch Med Sci* 6 (10): 1091–1100.
- [20] Lobo-Grudzien k, Kowalska A, Brzezinska B, et al 2007: Early predictors of adverse left ventricular remodelling after myocardial infarction treated by primary angioplasty. *Cardiol J*; 14 (3): 238–45.
- [21] Marwick TH, Leano RL, Brown J, et al. (2009) Myocardial strain measurement with 2-dimensional speckle-tracking echocardiography: definition of normal range. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging. 2* (1): 80–4.
- [22] Na HM, Cho GY, Lee JM, et al. 2016: Echocardiographic Predictors for Left Ventricular Remodeling after Acute ST Elevation Myocardial Infarction with Low Risk Group: Speckle Tracking Analysis. *J Cardiovasc Ultrasound. Jun*; 24 (2): 128–34.
- [23] Nicolosi GL, Golcea S, Ceconi C 2009: Effects of perindopril on cardiac remodeling and prognostic value of pre-discharge quantitative echocardiographic parameters in elderly patients after acute myocardial infarction: the PREAMI echo substudy. *Eur Heart J*; 30: 1656–65.
- [24] Pipilis A, Meyer TE, Ormerod D, et al. 1992. Early and late changes in left ventricular filling after acute myocardial infarction and the effect of infarct size. *Am J Cardiol.*; 70: 1397–1401.
- [25] Popovic AD, Neskovic AN, Marinkovic J, et al 1996: Serial assessment of left ventricular chamber stiffness after acute myocardial infarction. *Am J Cardiol.*; 77: 361–364.
- [26] Seropian IM, Sonnino C, Van Tassel BW, et al. (2015): Inflammatory markers in ST-elevation acute myocardial infarction, *Eur Heart J Acute Cardiovasc Care*.
- [27] Takemura G, Nakagawa M, Kanamori H, et al. (2009): Benefits of reperfusion beyond infarct size limitation. *Cardiovasc Res. 2009 Jul* 15; 83 (2): 269–76.