

# Manuring Effects on the Performance of Maize (*Zea mays* L.) and Groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) in a Relay Intercropping in Akure, Ondo State, Nigeria

Obabire Sadiq Ola<sup>1,\*</sup>, Ayelari Peter Olaiya<sup>2</sup>, Agele Samuel Oyiekiena<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pest Management Technology, Rufus Giwa Polytechnic, Owo, Nigeria

<sup>2</sup>Department of Crop, Soil and Pest Management Technology, Federal University of Technology, Akure, Nigeria

## Email address:

roofbestalum@gmail.com (Obabire Sadiq Ola)

\*Corresponding author

## To cite this article:

Obabire Sadiq Ola, Ayelari Peter Olaiya, Agele Samuel Oyiekiena. (2024). Manuring Effects on the Performance of Maize (*Zea mays* L.) and Groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) in a Relay Intercropping in Akure, Ondo State, Nigeria. *International Journal of Bioorganic Chemistry*, 9(1), 1-7. <https://doi.org/10.11648/j.ijbc.20240901.11>

Received: August 27, 2023; Accepted: September 27, 2023; Published: January 11, 2024

**Abstract:** Maize and groundnut are crops that are produced under diverse cropping systems using different manuring methods to improve productivity. The effects of poultry manure and NPK fertilizer on the performance of maize and groundnut in a relay intercropping was investigated in Akure, South Western, Nigeria with geographical coordinates 70° 30' 0" N, 50° 14' 0" E. The 3 x 2 factorial experiment was arranged in a Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with three replications. Factor A (NPK at 200kg/ha, poultry manure at 5t/ha and no manure (control)) and factor B (Sole maize, sole groundnut and groundnut/maize intercropped at 3 weeks after groundnut establishment) were allocated with balloting method. Parameters of plant height, number of leaves, number of grains/cobs, weight of 100 grains and weight of 1000 grains were subjected to statistical analysis. Results showed that manures (poultry and NPK fertilizer) significantly increased both the growth and yield characters of maize and groundnut, though not significantly different at  $p < 0.05$  from each other but both were significantly higher at  $p < 0.05$  at all categories of measurements. Also, intercropping maize/groundnut at 3 WAP significantly influenced the growth and yield values of companion crops than sole maize or groundnut. Manure and intercropped systems interacted to increase groundnut/maize yield significantly than control and groundnut/maize, control and sole maize and control/sole groundnut yield. Maize/groundnut relayed at 3 WAP with application of 5t/ha of organic manure is therefore advocated in place of 200kg of fertilizer for farmers in the study area.

**Keywords:** Relay – Intercropping, Manure, Sole, Growth, Yield

## 1. Introduction

Maize (*Zea mays* L.) is one of the economically important crops among the cereals. It grows well in various agroecologies due to its ability to adapt to diverse environments, high yielding potential and ease of processing, readily digested and costs less than other cereals [1]. Large amounts of maize cultivated yearly are eaten by man as it serves as a vital source of proteins and calories to billions of people in developing countries, particularly in Africa, Mesoamerica and Asia [2]. Maize is a basis of many vitamins (especially vitamin B group) and essential minerals, in addition to fiber.

Groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) is a leguminous oil seed crop cultivated in the semi-arid and subtropical regions of the world. It serves as a cash crop for local and foreign trade owing to its diverse uses in many parts of the world [3]. Groundnut seeds contain 40-50% fat, 20-50% protein, and 10-20% carbohydrate depending on the variety and some essential minerals and vitamins [4]. It can be eaten raw, boiled, blanched, roasted or crushed and used as additives in local dishes. Its cake and haulms serve as livestock feed and nutrient supplement [5]. It's a source of protein, vitamins (E, K and B) and especially thiamine (B1) and niacin which are less available in cereals.

Despite the importance of these crops, many factors are

limiting their production which prevents farmers from getting maximum yield. Low soil fertility is the major factor militating against their production in Tropical Sub Sahara Africa [6]. Soil acidity leads to an increase in nitrogen (N), inadequate calcium (Ca) and phosphorus (P) thereby limiting the growth and rate of rhizobium infection on plant roots [7]. To circumvent the problem of inadequate soil nutrients, the application of manure is introduced.

Manure is an important Manures agricultural resource [8]. Manures consist of organic and inorganic compounds. Animal manures are considered to be of agricultural importance as they are constituents of micro and macronutrients. Increased crop production largely depends on the types of fertilizer applied to supplement essential nutrients required by plants. In addition, an increase in plant yield highly depends on the available nutrients in the soil which must be sufficient for plants to attain optimum growth [9].

However, only a small portion of the nutrient is released annually through biological activities or chemical processes. Therefore, fertilizers are designed to supplement the nutrients available for plants in the soil [10]. Moreover, both organic and inorganic fertilizers provide plants with the nutrients needed to grow healthy and strong; each contains different ingredients and makes available these nutrients in different ways. Manures work overtime to create a healthy environment, while inorganic fertilizers provide rapid nutrition. Determining which is better depends largely on the plant's needs and preferences in terms of cost and environmental impact. Manures are slow in releasing nutrients deprive crops of adequate nutrients due to immobility and are required in large quantities by crops [11]. However, inorganic sources pose a substantial residual effect on succeeding crops [12]. Furthermore, the indiscriminate use of inorganic fertilizers in modern agriculture has unanticipated environmental impacts [13], along with soil fertility degradation, depletion of soil organic matter, and reduction in water and nutrient holding capacities and nutrient use efficiency [14].

Relay cropping is a cropping system that allows the cultivation of many crops where one crop is seeded into an established crop field before harvesting [15]. This system enables the farmers to cultivate two crops in a growing season, especially in areas with inadequate land for farming [16]. However, intercropping is a multiple-cropping practice that involves the cultivation of two or more crops simultaneously on the same field [17]. Whereas, relay intercropping of multiple cropping in which a sequential crop is planted when the first crop has reached its reproductive stage [17]. Cereal-legume relay intercropping is capable of reducing risk, efficient use of resources by plants of different morphology (different heights, rooting depth etc.), nutrient requirement and thereby reducing cost of production [18].

Thus, this research was conducted to evaluate the actual effect of manure and inorganic fertilizer on maize and groundnut in a relay intercropping with the view of determining the effects of NPK and Poultry manure on the

performance of maize and groundnut in a relay-intercropping system and identify the appropriate time of introducing maize into groundnut fields in relay intercropping.

## 2. Materials and Methods

### 2.1. Location of the Study Area

The field experiment was conducted at the Teaching and Research Farm of the Federal University of Technology, Akure ( $7^{\circ} 30' 0''$  N,  $5^{\circ} 14' 0''$  E) in the southwest Nigeria. The soil at the site of the experiment was an alfisol derived from the basement complex rock and it was sandy loam. The average annual rainfall range was about 1,613 mm per annum and the annual mean temperature was  $27^{\circ}\text{C}$ . The vegetation is tropical rain forest with an average relative humidity of between 56 and 59% during the dry season and 51 - 82% during the wet season.

### 2.2. Agronomy Practices

#### 2.2.1. Land Preparation

Clearing of farm land was carried out using manual or cultural methods by slashing of grasses from the plot and cultivation of farm land was done using mechanical method.

#### 2.2.2. Planting

In the relay-intercropped plots, maize seeds were sown after 3 weeks between the rows of groundnut spaced at 75 x 30 cm. Spacing for maize was 75 x 50 cm at two seeds per hill.

#### 2.2.3. Weeding

Weeding of the farm plot was done manually at 4 and 8 WAP.

#### 2.2.4. Application of NPK and Poultry Manure

Application of poultry manure was carried out 2 weeks before sowing while NPK was applied 2 weeks after planting.

### 2.3. Experimental Design

The experiment was a 3 x 3 factorial laid out in a randomized complete block design (RCBD) with 3 replications. There were two factors involved in the study. Factor A consisted of control (no amendment), N.P.K at 200 kg/ha and Poultry manure at 5t/ha. Factor B relay-intercropping system which consisted of groundnut and maize planted at 3 WAP groundnut, sole maize and sole groundnut.

### 2.4. Data Collection and Statistical Analysis

Data were collected on the basis of growth parameter using height, number of leaves (for maize and groundnut) and number of branches (for groundnuts). Yield data for maize were collected based on number of grains per cob, weight of 100 and 1000 grains; while, yield data of groundnut was collected on number of pods, seed/pod and weight of 100 grains. Data collected were subjected to

analysis of variance (ANOVA) using Minitab software version 19 and means were separated using Turkey's test at 5% probability.

### 3. Results and Discussion

Results displayed on table 1 indicated that maize height was significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) increased by NPK and poultry manure treatments from the beginning of the experiment till the end. Comparing the effect of NPK and poultry manure treatments, there was no significant difference in their effect on maize

height, although, this statistical insignificance was consistent all through the weeks of sampling, NPK treatments had taller plants compared to poultry manure treatments.

The results further indicated that relay-intercropping significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) affected the height of maize plant in this study. At 3 WAP, GO/M3W maize were significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) taller than maize on GO/M3W and sole maize (MO). GO/M3W came first with 129.71 cm while the lowest 109.13 cm was observed in sole maize (MO). This trend was also noticed at the termination of the experiment where maize height ranked GO/M3W > MO.

**Table 1.** Main effect of manure and relay-intercropping on Maize height.

| Treatments          | Weeks after planting |         |         |
|---------------------|----------------------|---------|---------|
|                     | 2                    | 6       | 12      |
| Manure              |                      |         |         |
| Control             | 13.57b               | 119.50b | 172.51b |
| NPK                 | 32.389a              | 152.71a | 240.71a |
| Poultry manure      | 31.60a               | 141.33a | 224.53a |
| Relay-intercropping |                      |         |         |
| MO                  | 24.51a               | 109.13b | 169.68b |
| GO/M3W              | 24.59a               | 129.71a | 214.87a |

Means that do not share a letter are significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) different  
GO/M3W- maize relayed 3 weeks of planting groundnut  
MO- Sole Maize.

The interaction between manure and relay-intercropping on table 2 showed inconsistent significant effect on the height of maize plants in this study. No significant difference was observed till the 12 WAP, where GO/M3W had the highest value of this parameter under.

The results of this study demonstrated that NPK fertilizer and poultry manure didn't differ. The results of this study demonstrated that NPK fertilizer and poultry manure didn't

differ significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) on their effects on plant height. This similar effect on plant height could be as a result of poultry manure being able to provide balanced nutrients to compete with NPK's ability to release nutrients faster. This was in agreement with the findings of Uwah *et al.* who reported similar occurrence in the plant height on poultry manure amendment compared to NPK [19].

**Table 2.** Interaction effect of manure and relay-intercropping on Maize height.

| Manure         | Relay-intercropping | Weeks after planting |         |         |
|----------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------|---------|
|                |                     | 2                    | 6       | 12      |
| Control        | GO/M3W              | 12.35b               | 126.88b | 174.49c |
|                | MO                  | 13.85b               | 96.89b  | 164.29c |
| NPK            | GO/M3W              | 30.62a               | 129.62b | 234.87b |
|                | MO                  | 30.04a               | 123.12b | 178.75c |
| Poultry manure | GO/M3W              | 30.56a               | 132.62b | 235.25b |
|                | MO                  | 29.88a               | 107.37b | 166.00c |

Means that do not share a letter are significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) different.  
GO/M3W- maize relayed after 3 weeks of planting groundnut  
MO- Sole Maize

From the results, number of leaves (for both groundnut and maize plants), and number of branches in groundnut on plots treated with NPK and poultry manure were not statistically different but higher than the control. Plots treated with poultry manure producing higher values of these parameters in both crops, could be explained by the ability of organic amendment to provide necessary nutrients for plant growth over a longer period compared to NPK. Similar occurrence was reported by Tungos who observed higher leaf number and leaf area index in maize treated with organic amendments compared to inorganic amendments

[20].

In cereal-legume intercropping systems, it is possible that the cereal accumulated nitrogen that was released by legume root and root nodule-system, resulting from efficient utilization of nutrients [21]. Therefore, the increase in growth parameters (height and number of leaves) observed in relay-intercropping system and GO-M3W compared to MO may be attributed to the entry of N from groundnut which is taken up by the maize plant. This was corroborated by Mattuso *et al.* who explained grains intercropped with legumes especially groundnuts would be able to utilize N from synthesized by

the legumes [22].

Height, number of leaves and number of branches of groundnuts intercropped with maize were higher than in sole groundnut. This increase in growth parameters correlated with findings of Addo – Quaye *et al.*, who

reported increased growth in legumes sowed weeks before planting maize. They explained further, that legume sowed 28 days before maize had higher growth indices compared to sole soyabean [23].

**Table 3.** Main effect of manure and relay-intercropping on number of leaves of maize.

| Treatments          | Weeks after planting |        |         |
|---------------------|----------------------|--------|---------|
|                     | 2                    | 6      | 12      |
| Manure              |                      |        |         |
| Control             | 7.01a                | 10.89b | 12.67b  |
| NPK                 | 7.03a                | 12.67a | 14.43a  |
| Poultry manure      | 7.13a                | 12.38a | 14.03a  |
| Relay-intercropping |                      |        |         |
| GO/M3W              | 7.33b                | 12.29a | 13.78ab |
| MO                  | 5.23c                | 10.74b | 13.01b  |

Means that do not share a letter are significantly ( $p<0.05$ ) different.

GO/M3W- maize relayed 3 weeks of planting groundnut

MO- Sole Maize

**Table 4.** Interaction effect of manure and relay-intercropping on number of leaves of maize.

| Manure         | Relay-intercropping | Weeks after planting |          |          |
|----------------|---------------------|----------------------|----------|----------|
|                |                     | 2                    | 6        | 12       |
| Control        | GO/M3W              | 5.375b               | 11.00cd  | 12.89cd  |
|                | MO                  | 5.25b                | 10.33d   | 12.11d   |
| NPK            | GO/M3W              | 7.38ab               | 13.13ab  | 14.125bc |
|                | MO                  | 5.083b               | 11.00cd  | 13.5bc   |
| Poultry manure | GO/M3W              | 7.88ab               | 12.75abc | 14.33b   |
|                | MO                  | 7.89ab               | 10.88cd  | 13.42bcd |

Means that do not share a letter are significantly ( $p<0.05$ ) different.

GO/M3W- maize relayed 3 weeks of planting groundnut

MO- Sole Maize.

**Table 5.** Main effect of manure and relay-intercropping on height of groundnut.

| Treatments          | Weeks after planting |         |        |
|---------------------|----------------------|---------|--------|
|                     | 2                    | 6       | 12     |
| Manure              |                      |         |        |
| Control             | 11.31c               | 41.32b  | 50.51b |
| NPK                 | 16.96a               | 51.57a  | 61.10a |
| Poultry manure      | 15.15b               | 49.42a  | 57.93a |
| Relay-intercropping |                      |         |        |
| GO-M3               | 14.29ab              | 47.66ab | 56.67a |
| GO                  | 14.02b               | 45.62b  | 55.96a |

Means that do not share a letter are significantly ( $p<0.05$ ) ( $p<0.05$ ) differ.

GO-M3- Groundnut planted 3 weeks before Maize

GO- Sole groundnut

**Table 6.** Interaction of manure and relay-intercropping on height of groundnut.

| Manure         | Relay-intercropping | Weeks after planting |         |        |
|----------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------|--------|
|                |                     | 2                    | 6       | 12     |
| Control        | GO                  | 10.44e               | 40.44c  | 49.64a |
|                | GO-M3               | 11.69e               | 41.69c  | 50.89a |
| NPK            | GO                  | 13.81cd              | 50.17ab | 56.37a |
|                | GO-M3               | 16.69ab              | 51.00ab | 64.67a |
| Poultry manure | GO                  | 14.94bc              | 44.5bc  | 61.88a |
|                | GO-M3               | 16.25ab              | 51.92ab | 55.17a |

Means that do not share a letter are significantly ( $p<0.05$ ) ( $p<0.05$ ) different.

GO-M3- Groundnut planted 3 weeks before Maize

GO- Sole groundnut

**Table 7.** Main effect of manure and relay-intercropping on number of groundnut leaves.

| Treatments          | Weeks after planting |         |         |
|---------------------|----------------------|---------|---------|
|                     | 2                    | 6       | 12      |
| Manure              |                      |         |         |
| Control             | 9.25c                | 18.54a  | 20.89b  |
| Fertilizer          | 11.50b               | 19.29a  | 22.42ab |
| Poultry manure      | 11.92a               | 20.25a  | 24.60a  |
| Relay-intercropping |                      |         |         |
| GO-M6               | 11.67a               | 20.58a  | 24.68a  |
| GO-M3               | 10.75b               | 19.38ab | 22.00a  |
| GO                  | 10.25c               | 18.13b  | 21.22b  |

Means that do not share a letter are significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) ( $p < 0.05$ ) different.

GO-M3- Groundnut planted 3 weeks before Maize

GO- Sole groundnut

**Table 8.** Interaction effect of manure and relay-intercropping on number of groundnut leaves.

| Manure         | Relay-intercropping | Weeks after planting |         |        |
|----------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------|--------|
|                |                     | 2                    | 6       | 12     |
| Control        | GO                  | 8.75e                | 16.88b  | 19.50a |
|                | GO-M3               | 9.00e                | 18.00ab | 20.25a |
| NPK            | GO                  | 11.00c               | 19.00ab | 21.25a |
|                | GO-M3               | 12.00ab              | 19.50ab | 23.92a |
| Poultry manure | GO                  | 10.75cd              | 19.50ab | 21.50a |
|                | GO-M3               | 11.50bc              | 19.75ab | 23.25a |

Means that do not share a letter are significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) ( $p < 0.05$ ) different

GO-M3- Groundnut planted 3 weeks before Maize

GO- Sole groundnut.

**Table 9.** Main effect of manure and relay-intercropping on Maize yield.

| Treatments          | Grains/Cob | Weight of 100 grains (g) | Weight of 1000 grains (g) |
|---------------------|------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| Manure              |            |                          |                           |
| Control             | 429.92b    | 19.62b                   | 192.35b                   |
| NPK                 | 535.36a    | 31.58a                   | 315.65a                   |
| Poultry Manure      | 529.85a    | 30.31a                   | 305.85a                   |
| Relay intercropping |            |                          |                           |
| GO/M3W              | 512.35a    | 28.02b                   | 281.27a                   |
| MO                  | 457.17b    | 24.51c                   | 247.76b                   |

Means that do not share a letter are significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) ( $p < 0.05$ ) different.

GO/M3W- maize relayed 3 weeks of planting groundnut

MO- Sole Maize

**Table 10.** Interaction effect of manuring and relay – intercropping on maize yield parameters.

| Manure         | Relay intercropping | Grains per cob | Weight of 100 grains (g) | Weight of 1000 grains (g) |
|----------------|---------------------|----------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| Control        | G0/M3W              | 459.56e        | 20.30d                   | 204.29c                   |
|                | MO                  | 365.61f        | 17.10e                   | 169.54c                   |
| NPK            | G0/M3W              | 541.17ab       | 32.26a                   | 319.94a                   |
|                | MO                  | 506.11bc       | 29.64b                   | 298.52ab                  |
| Poultry manure | G0/M3W              | 536.33ab       | 31.51ab                  | 319.6a                    |
|                | MO                  | 499.78cd       | 26.78c                   | 275.22b                   |

Means that do not share a letter are significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) ( $p < 0.05$ ) different.

GO/M3W- maize relayed 3 weeks of planting groundnut,

MO- Sole maize

Yield is the end results of many complex morphological and physiological processes occurring during the growth and development of crop [24]. In general, similar performances were observed between NPK and poultry manure on yield of maize and groundnut. This could be attributed to increase in organic carbon, nitrogen and essential nutrients due to addition of NPK and poultry manure to the soil. The results of this experiment is in conformity with the findings of]

Shuaibu *et al.* who reported that yield kg/ha, weight of 1000 grains of sorghum was significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) affected by poultry manure and NPK added to the soil [25].

Time of introduction in a relay-intercropping system involving legume-cereal combination has been proven to have effect on yield of components crop [22]. The increased grains per cob, weight of 100 and 1000 g grains of GO-M3W than in MO may be attributed to the availability of nutrients resulting

from N fixed and decomposition of harvested groundnut residue. According to [22] Mattuso *et al* soil may be replenished with N through decomposition of legume residues as legumes species commonly used for provision of grain and green manure have potential to fix between 100 and 300 kg-N/ha from the atmosphere. Abdel Aziz *et al* reported a similar increase in grains/ear, weight of 100 grains of maize planted 14 days and 28 days after a legume than sole maize [26].

Parameters considered for yield in groundnut (pods/plant, weight of 100 seeds and seeds/plant) were observed to be higher in GO-M3W than GO. This increase may be attributed to reduced competition in GO-M3W as a result of the time which maize was sowed after groundnut. This result was corroborated by Addo-Quaye *et al.* who reported that planting legumes earlier before cereal is sowed gave significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) higher yield than planting legumes at the same time or after the cereal and when sowed alone [23].

The interaction between manure and relay-intercropping

system improved the performance of both maize and groundnut. This improvement in both crops could be attributed to the availability of nutrient provided by the amendments and the lesser competition between both crops as due to the differences in the time they were sowed. According to Muoneke *et al.* reduced performance of legumes and increased performance of cereal in a relay-intercropping system was attributed to inter specific competition and depressive effect of cereals C4 species on legumes, a C3 crop [18]. Abdel Aziz *et al* further showed that performance of both grains and legumes were improved in plots where maize was planted 21 days before soyabean [26]. This result correlated with findings of Alejandro *et al.* who reported manuring improved performance of both maize and groundnut in an intercropping system [27] while Adeola *et al.* reported improved performance of pepper and cassava under NPK and poultry manure in a cassava-pepper relay-intercropping system [28].

**Table 11.** Main effect of manure and relay-intercropping on groundnut yield.

| Manure         | Relay intercropping | Weight of 100 seeds | Seeds/plant | No of pods |
|----------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------|------------|
| Control        | GO/M3               | 14.94a              | 25.00cd     | 16.33c     |
|                | GO                  | 13.41a              | 22.00d      | 11.67c     |
| NPK            | GO/M3               | 26.80a              | 54.00ab     | 24.00abc   |
|                | GO                  | 18.11a              | 40.33bcd    | 25.00abc   |
| Poultry manure | GO/M3               | 25.03a              | 48.67abc    | 33.00ab    |
|                | GO                  | 15.45a              | 34.33bcd    | 20.00bc    |

Means that do not share a letter in a column are significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) different.

GO-M3- Groundnut planted 3 weeks before maize

GO-Sole groundnut.

## 4. Conclusion

The application of poultry manure at t/ha was able to boost production of groundnut and maize as sole crops and in a relay-intercropping system. Furthermore, Maize performed better when it was relayed into groundnut at 3 weeks and sole maize respectively. Groundnut's performance was also improved when maize was relayed at 3 weeks after sowing. Poultry manure at t/ha can sufficiently improve maize and groundnut production especially in a relay-intercropping system. If maize is to be sowed after legumes in a relay-intercropping system, I strongly recommend it is sown 3 weeks after groundnut must have been established. I also recommend further investigation into the effect of other organic manures on the relationship between legumes and cereals when sowed in a relay-intercropping system.

## References

- [1] Jaliya, A. M., A. M. Falaki, M. Mahmud and Y. A. Sani (2008). Effects of sowing date and NPK fertilizer rate on yield and yield components of quality protein maize (*Zea mays* L.). *ARPJ. Agric. Biological Sci.*, 2: 23-29.
- [2] Shiferaw B, Prasanna BM, Hellin J, Banziger M (2011) Crops that feed the world. 6. Past successes and future challenges to the role played by maize in global food security. *Food Sec* 3: 307–327.
- [3] FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization) production Yearbook, Vol. 60, Rome Italy 2006.
- [4] Okello, D. K., M. Biruma and C. M. Deom. (2010). Overview of groundnuts research in Uganda: Past, present and future. *African J. Biotechnol.* 9 (39): 6448-6459.
- [5] Shah Z, Shah SH, Peoples MB, Schwenke GD, Herridge DF (2003) Crop residue and fertilizer nitrogen effects on nitrogen fixation and yield of legume cereal rotations and soil organic fertility. *Field Crop Res* 83: 1–11.
- [6] Dimkpa, C., Adzawla, W., Pandey, R., Atakora, W. K., Kouame, A. K., Jemo, M., & Bindraban, P. S. (2023). Fertilizers for food and nutrition security in sub-Saharan Africa: an overview of soil health implications. *Frontiers in Soil Science*, 3, 1123931.
- [7] Weisany, W., Raei, Y., & Allahverdipoor, K. H. (2013). Role of some of mineral nutrients in biological nitrogen fixation. *Bulletin of Environment, Pharmacology and Life Sciences*, 2 (4), 77-84.
- [8] Risse, L. M.; Cabrera, M. L.; Franzluebbers, A. J.; Gaskin, J. W.; Gilley, J. E.; Killom, R.; Radcli\_e, D. E.; Tollner, W. E.; Zhang, H. Land Application of Manure for Beneficial Reuse. In *Animal Agriculture and the Environment: National Center for Manure and Animal Waste Management White Papers*; Pub. Number 913C0306;
- [9] Ayoola, O. T., & Makinde, E. A. (2014). Soil nutrient dynamics, growth and yield of green maize and vegetable cowpea with organic-based fertilization. *Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science*, 60 (2), 183-194.

- [10] Chen, J. H. (2006, October). The combined use of chemical and organic fertilizers and/or biofertilizer for crop growth and soil fertility. In *International workshop on sustained management of the soil-rhizosphere system for efficient crop production and fertilizer use* (Vol. 16, No. 20, pp. 1-11). Land Development Department Bangkok Thailand.
- [11] Adekiya, A. O., Ojeniyi, S. O. and Agbede, M. T. (2012). Poultry manure effects on soil properties, leaf nutrient status, growth and yield of cocoyam in a tropical Alfisol. *Nigerian Journal of Soil Science*. 22 (2) 30–39.
- [12] Philippini, R. R., Martiniano, S. E., Ingle, A. P., Franco Marcelino, P. R., Silva, G. M., Barbosa, F. G.,... & da Silva, S. S. (2020). Agroindustrial byproducts for the generation of biobased products: alternatives for sustainable biorefineries. *Frontiers in Energy Research*, 8, 152.
- [13] Sharma, N., & Singhvi, R. (2017). Effects of chemical fertilizers and pesticides on human health and environment: a review. *International journal of agriculture, environment and biotechnology*, 10 (6), 675-680.
- [14] Fan, T., Stewart, B. A., Yong, W., Junjie, L., & Guangye, Z. (2005). Long-term fertilization effects on grain yield, water-use efficiency and soil fertility in the dryland of Loess Plateau in China. *Agriculture, ecosystems & environment*, 106 (4), 313-329.
- [15] Tanveer, M., Anjum, S. A., Hussain, S., Cerdà, A., & Ashraf, U. (2017). Relay cropping as a sustainable approach: problems and opportunities for sustainable crop production. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 24, 6973-6988.
- [16] Jabbar A, Ahmad R, Ullah E, Nazir MS (2005) Agro-economic performance of diversified rice-based relay cropping systems at zero and conventional tillage under strip plantation. *Pak J Agric Sci*. 12: 18–21.
- [17] Andrews, D. J.; Kassam, A. H. (1976). The Importance of Multiple Cropping in Increasing World Food Supplies. In *Multiple Cropping*; Papendick, R. I., Sanchez, P. A., Triplett, G. B., Eds.; ASA: Madison, WI, USA, pp. 1-10.
- [18] Muoneke C. O. and J. E. Asiegbu, 1997. Effect of okra planting density and spatial arrangement in intercrop with maize on the growth and yield of the component species. *Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science*, 179: 201-207.
- [19] Uwah D. F., Eneji A. E., Eshiet U. J. (2011). Organic and Mineral Fertilizers Effects on the Performance of Sweet Maize (ZEA MAYS L. SACCHARATA STRUT.) In South Eastern Rainforest Zone of Nigeria. *International Journal of Agriculture Sciences* ISSN: 0975-3710 & E-ISSN: 0975-9107 3 (1): 54-61.
- [20] Tougous M. D. (2019). Effect of Organic and Inorganic Fertilizers on Yield of Maize in Mubi North Local Government Area, Adamawa State, Nigeria. *International Journal of Innovative Agriculture & Biology Research* 7 (2): 26-35.
- [21] Chen, Y. L., Dunbabin, V. M., Diggle, A. J., Siddique, K. H. & Rengel, Z. (2013). Phosphorus starvation boosts carboxylate secretion in P-deficient genotypes of *Lupinus angustifolius* with contrasting root structure. *Crop and Pasture Science* 64, 588–599.
- [22] Matusso J. M. M., Mugwe J. N., and Mucheru-Muna M. (2014). Review: Potential role of cereal-legume intercropping systems in integrated soil fertility management in smallholder farming systems of Sub-Saharan Africa. *Research Journal of Agriculture and Environmental Management*. 3 (3): 62-174.
- [23] Addo-Quaye A. A., Darkwa A. A and Ocloo G. K., (2011). Growth Analysis of Component Crops in A Maize-Soybean Intercropping System as Affected by Time of Planting and Spatial Arrangement. *ARP Journal of Agricultural and Biological Science*. 6 (6): 34-44.
- [24] Prasad, P. V. V., Staggenborg, S. A., & Ristic, Z. (2008). Impacts of drought and/or heat stress on physiological, developmental, growth, and yield processes of crop plants. *Response of crops to limited water: Understanding and modeling water stress effects on plant growth processes*, 1, 301-355.
- [25] Shuaibu, Y. M., Bala, R. A., Kawure, S., & Shuaibu, Z. (2018). Effect of organic and inorganic fertilizer on the growth and yield of sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench) in Bauchi state, Nigeria. *GSC Biological and Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 2 (1), 025-031.
- [26] Abdel Aziz M. A, Usama, A. A. and Khalil, H. E. (2012). Yield and its Components of Maize/Soybean Intercropping Systems as Affected by Planting Time and Distribution. *Aust. J. Basic & Appl. Sci.*, 6 (13): 238-245.
- [27] Alejandro A. Jalil, Algaib P. Taib, Jul A. Alalhali, Rachel L. Rodriguez, and Michael Dela Cuesta, (2021). Effect of Organic Fertilizers on Corn and Corn with Peanut Intercropped Grown at Santa Clara, Lamitan City, Basilan, Philippines. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Publications (IJMRAP)*. 4 (5): 22-27.
- [28] Adeola, R. G., Tijani-Eniola, H, Makinde, E. A. (2011). Ameliorate the Effects of Poultry Manure and NPK Fertilizer on the Performance of Pepper Relay Cropped with Two Cassava Varieties. *Global Journal of Science Frontier*. 11 (9): 1-7.