
Impacts of Land-Use Changes on Soil Properties, Organic Carbon Stock, and Soil Quality in Ethiopia

Workina Geleta*, Fanuel Laekemariam

Department of Soil Resource and Watershed Management, Wollega University, Nekemte, Ethiopia

Email address:

geletaworkina2011@gmail.com (Workina Geleta)

*Corresponding author

To cite this article:

Workina Geleta, Fanuel Laekemariam. Impacts of Land-Use Changes on Soil Properties, Organic Carbon Stock, and Soil Quality in Ethiopia. *International Journal of Energy and Environmental Science*. Vol. 7, No. 4, 2022, pp. 61-73. doi: 10.11648/j.ijeess.20220704.12

Received: June 23, 2022; **Accepted:** July 19, 2022; **Published:** September 27, 2022

Abstract: One of the major causes of soil quality degradation and carbon stock degradation is land-use changes (LUC), which are predominantly caused by deforestation and soil disturbance. Thus, the objective of the present study was to assess how land-use changes impacted soil properties, soil organic carbon stock and quality in Ethiopia. Relevant information was gathered from secondary sources and systematically reviewed. The physical and chemical qualities of soil were modified by changes in land use change. Physical soil properties such as bulk density (0.3g/cm^3) was found to be higher in cultivated land and BD (0.2g/cm^3) lowest in the forest lands. The forest lands (8%) have recorded higher soil organic carbon content than grassing land (5.16%) and cultivated land (2.31%). The moisture content and soil texture altered according to land-use change, with clay contents (percent) and silt contents (percent) being higher in forest land (60.7, 5.74%) and lower in cultivated land (33.8, 5.74%). Chemical soil qualities such as exchangeable CEC (percent), CaCO_3 (percent), exchangeable K and Na^+ (Cmol/kg), and AV. P (mg/kg) were higher in forest land (16mg/kg) lower in grass land (14.27 mg/kg) land and cultivated land (9.13 mg/kg) and TN were higher in forest land (0.24mg/kg) lower in cultivated land (0.14 mg/kg). CEC were higher in forest land (25.5 cmo/kg) and grazing land (24.02 cmol/kg) and lower in agricultural land (15.5cmol/kg). Chemical soil qualities such as exchangeable calcium (Ca^{2+}), magnesium (Mg^{2+}), and potassium (K^+). Organic carbon, exchangeable potassium (K^+), and exchangeable cation capacity (CEC) were all higher in forest lands and lowest in cultivated lands. The highest soil SOCst (9.99 Mg ha^{-1}) value was recorded in forest-to-forest land use changes, and low value of SOCst (5.78 Mg ha^{-1}) was obtained in agriculture land. The lowest SOCst value was in land use changes from agriculture to agriculture. To save Ethiopia's soil, workable land use policy has to be developed. In addition, restoration methods, such as reducing the intensity of cultivation, integrated soil water conservation, integrated soil fertility management, and adequate land use management practices must be implemented.

Keywords: Deforestation, Land Degradation, Land-Use Change, Soil Quality, Soil Carbon Stocks

1. Introduction

One of the main driving forces on global and local environmental changes is land use change, which is produced by the interaction of demographic and socioeconomic changes, as well as biophysical conditions [9, 13, 58]. At local, regional, and global stages, it has a multidimensional impact on essential Earth ecosystem processes and services [13, 58]. Land-use change has been identified as a global issue because it is one of the primary causes of environmental change [51]. The dominant type of land use

change is the conversion of forest to agricultural systems with continuously high rates of 13 million hectare being deforested per year [25]. Various studies have been conducted to assess the effect of land use changes on soil physical and chemical properties compared crop lands, forest lands, and grazing lands and found that soil organic carbon decreased in crop lands as compared to forest lands. Land use change has a significant impact on soil carbon stocks and their distribution in ecosystems, and so play an important role in global carbon dynamics [51]. Soils have become one of the world's most vulnerable resources due to climate change, land degradation, and biodiversity loss [25].

Soil degradation and deterioration of physical and chemical qualities can be exacerbated by changes in land use change. Changes could result in a loss of vegetation cover and a disruption of the natural ecosystem, as well as a fall in soil organic matter (SOM) and plant nutrients. SOC may be higher in natural forests and protected forestland than in other land uses. Overpopulation, deforestation, and urbanization have all resulted in the depletion of natural resources. Deforestation has historically resulted in considerable losses of soil organic carbon (SOC) and inorganic carbon (SIC) around the world. Small changes in soil carbon stocks can have a big impact on the amount of carbon in the atmosphere and how it affects climate change. SOC is an energy source for microorganisms and has a significant impact on soil physical, chemical, and biological features. Changes in soil indicators and other characteristics can be used to measure improvements in soil quality due to alternative land-use types or crop rotation [39]. SOC is important for soil fertility and is a good indicator of a soil's biological health, as well as its chemical, biological, and physical processes [16]. "On the other hand, claims that [46"] fallow land has the highest SOC content, while "[63] claims that paddy rice has the highest SOC" content. TN in croplands was much lower than in wooded land, however, stated that TN did not demonstrate any significant difference across all land-use types [16]. Different land-use types also alter soil pH [29].

In general, many parts of the Ethiopia region are characterized by severe environmental degradation caused by decades of mismanagement of land resources [21, 54]. On degraded areas, soil water conservation and area enclosure have been applied [54]. However, little is known about how different land use change and management scenarios affect Ethiopia's carbon and nutrient status. As a result, Ethiopian regions are a typical and interesting area for integrated assessment of soil properties and soil quality changes related to land-use changes and soil management due to increasing human disturbance and past deforestation on the one hand, and restoration measures underway on the other. Thus, the present study was aimed to assess the impacts of land-use changes on soil properties, soil carbon stock, and soil quality.

2. Review Methodology

Land is the stage on which all human action takes place, as well as the source of the materials required to carry it out. Human use of land resources results in "land use," which varies depending on the goals it serves, such as food production, shelter, recreation, material extraction and processing, and so on, as well as the bio-physical properties of the land itself. Land use change can take two forms: (a) conversion from one type of use to another, which alters the mix and pattern of land uses in an area, or (b) alteration of a specific land use.

The impact of land use changes on soil carbon stock and

soil quality in Ethiopia is examined in this review paper. The review was divided into three sections with subtopics for ease of understanding. As a result, all the data for this paper was gathered from secondary sources using the narrative synthesis method, which is a systematic review and synthesis of findings from different studies focusing on land use changes in Ethiopia. The search was based on the exact sentences 'impacts of and use changes on soil organic carbon stock and soil quality in Ethiopia' in the Web of Google Scholars, which searches each article's title, abstract, keywords, years of publication, and 'keywords plus,' a set of additional relevant keywords selected by well-known databases such as Google Scholars, within the title, abstract, keywords, and years of publication. Journals, articles, and research papers were found to be relevant to the broad topic of land use change impacts on soil carbon stock and soil quality.

3. Review Results and Discussion

3.1. Land Use Change: Concept

Land use change simply refers to the conversion of a piece of land's use by humans, from one purpose to another. Land may be converted from cropland to grassland, or from wild land (tropical forests) to human-specific land uses (palm oil plantations). Certain types of land use change have well known words associated with them, such as deforestation, afforestation [41]. Direct land use change refers to a specific piece of land, whose use has been converted by humans from one purpose to another and indirect land use change takes place when a direct change in land use in one location, is causally connected to a corresponding change in land use in another location [41]. Land-use changes are frequently indicated as one of the most significant human-induced influences on the hydrological system [19]. Land-use conversions are complicated processes that result from changes in the land-cover conversion process [56]. Despite this complexity, little is understood about how humans and the environment interact to influence land-use patterns and hydrological processes [63]. The land-use category specified (Forest Land, Cropland, Grassland, and Wetland) is divided into sub-categories based on the plant type. The vegetation type of the given land-use category (forest land, farmland, grassland, and wetland) is divided into sub-categories based on vegetation features and composition [44]. Decomposition and carbon removal are accelerated when forestland is converted to other land uses, such as agriculture [40, 45]. When steeper slopes are cultivated in high erosivity circumstances, the situation gets worse, especially in the absence of appropriate soil conservation measures [10]. Causes of land-use change: Steep slopes used for cultivation, making the soil susceptible to erosion/degradation (Figure 1 below).



Figure 1. Causes of land-use change: Steep slopes used for cultivation, making the soil susceptible to erosion/degradation [11].

3.2. Dynamics of Land Use Changes in Ethiopia

Land use change dynamics signify the conversion of land use owing to human involvement for diverse reasons such as agriculture, settlement, mining, logging, and transportation in Ethiopia, according to numerous researches. In this graph, significant land use change dynamics from 1993 to 2003, 2003 to 2014, and 1993 to 2014 are shown, as well as major land use change dynamics in percentage [40]. Between 1993 and 2003, there were 14.14 percent and 6.84 percent changes in forest types, respectively [48].

According to a research published by “[71] natural forest cover has decreased from 27 percent in 1957 to 2 percent in 1982 and 0.3 percent in 1995”. During the years 1993–2003 and 2003–2014, changes from agricultural land to forest were 4.98 percent and 0.86 percent, respectively [40]. This finding is consistent with the fact that agricultural land use rose in all of the studies reviewed “The forest land usage dropped in all of the cases studied, with the exception of the rise mentioned [33].

Agricultural land, a body of water, a commercial farm, and bare/rock outcropping, accumulation, and settlement grew by 32 percent, 17 percent, 3%, 21%, and 191 percent, respectively [5]. 7 percent of forest area was converted to agricultural land in both the 1993–2003 and 2003–2014 eras, and roughly 15% of agricultural land changed [37]. Changes

in land use have a number of negative repercussions, including decreased soil fertility, carbon and nitrogen stocks [43]. From 39.54 percent in 1985 to 5.77 percent in 2015, forest cover has declined. According to a comparable study by natural forest cover decreased from 27 percent in 1957 to 2 percent in 1982 and 0.3 percent in 1995 [71]. Between 1957 and 1995, 7259.3 acres of natural forest were destroyed, accounting for nearly all of the forest cover that existed in 1957. [71]. Grazing land has declined from 48.88% in 1985 to 26.69% in 2015. Agricultural land, on the other hand, increased from 39% to 49%. Cultivated land, on the other hand, increased from 39% in 1957 to 70% in 1982 and 77 percent in 1995 [71]. Though farmed land expanded by 95% from 1957 to 1995, the most of the expansion happened between 1957 and 1982 (78 percent in nearly 2 1/2 decades), with only 10% occurring from 1982 to 1995 since there was almost no land remaining [71]. The findings were consistent with Abraham's analysis, which found that the proportion of cultivated land and settlement covers increased from 17 percent and 0.07 percent in 1972 to 62.84 percent and 2.98 percent in 2017. [65] Estimated a 72.7 percent increase in cultivated land in the eastern part of Lake Tana, northern Ethiopia, between 1985 and 2011. [23] documented consistent growth in settlement areas in the Koga Catchment, northern Ethiopia, spanning 40 years in their investigations.



Figure 2. Dynamics of land use change: a Forest, b Bush/Shrub land, c Grassland, d Water body, e Urban built-up, f Cultivated land, and g Bare land [66].




Figure 1. Land use land cover dynamics and Soil degradation [7].

3.3. Land Use Change and Soil Degradation in Ethiopia

The agriculture sector in Ethiopia is being challenged by increased population expansion and the steady depletion of natural resources [7]. As a result, the country's forest cover, which was thought to be over 40% before the turn of the twentieth century, has decreased to less than 5%, with an estimated annual rate of deforestation of 0.15 to 0.2 million hectares [39]. Reduced plant cover and natural ecosystem disturbance have resulted in extensive soil degradation, resulting in lower concentrations of soil organic matter (SOM) and accessible nitrogen (N) pools [40]. Although the impact of land use and management on soil characteristics varies by soil and Eco region, it is widely acknowledged that such changes have increased soil erosion and degradation [24]. Increased agricultural production and economic growth in Ethiopia have been restricted by land use change and consequent soil degradation due to soil erosion [6, 40]. Moreover, the removal of agricultural residue and animal dung for domestic use, either as household fuel or as animal feed, exacerbates the process of soil fertility depletion [6, 40]. Nutrient imbalances were found in a few investigations conducted around the country for example, found -102- and -

72-kg ha⁻¹ N budgets in soils of Ethiopia's Southern and Central highlands, respectively. Similarly,, using dung as fuel rather than organic fertilizer would diminish Ethiopia's agricultural GDP by 7% [71].

3.4. Land Use Change Impacts in Ethiopia

3.4.1. Soil Properties

(i). Impacts of Land Use Change on the Soil Physical Properties

Soil Particle Size Distribution and texture

In comparison to other land use change, the sand proportion was higher in natural and mixed forest land (60.7, 5.74) and open and bush land (59.3, 5.74). [67]. When cultivated land (33.8, 5.74) was compared to other land use types, the total mean sand fraction was lower (Wandimagne et al., 2018 [67]. This is in line with the average percentage of sand in cultivated land being 61 percent and forest land being 52 percent, with cultivated land having the highest percentage of sand and grazed land having the lowest (50 percent). While cultivated sand accounted for 58 percent, forestland for 45 percent and pasture lands for 54 percent. [25] (Table 2, Page 7). The soil textural fractions of sand, silt, and clay considerably altered with land use changes, and the interaction impact for the sand fraction was considerable [16]. Under agricultural, pasture, and forest fields, the mean values of silt were 33.5 percent, 38 percent, and 43.5 percent, respectively [25, 44]. Free grazing land had a higher amount of sand than enclosed land. Clay fractions are more likely to be lost to erosion and migration down the soil profile when plant cover is limited. The distribution of soil texture, on the other hand, was not significantly changed by LUC types [53]. Similar findings were observed in various sections of Ethiopia's southeast [34] and in northeast Wollega [6]. Plowing, clearing, and leveling of farming fields may be to blame for the lower proportion of sand and increased content of clay fractions in farmed land [6].

Soil Bulk Density

Soil bulk density varied from 1.10 g/cm³ to 1.14 g/cm³ in agricultural land, from 0.09 g/cm³ to 1.57 g/cm³ in open grazing land, and from 0.78 g/cm³ to 1.45 g/cm³ [62]. The increase of bulk density in open grazing land as compared to the forest and agricultural land was attributed to the reduction of soil organic matter and from livestock trampling effect. Low value of bulk density improves soil structure, water and solute movement, and soil aeration [22]. In comparison to other land use types, bulk density in soil was found to be highest under cultivated land (0.97), followed by open bush land (0.95) [61]. The soil bulk density was 0.71 g/cm³ and 0.64 g/cm³ on cultivated and forest land, respectively, and that soil bulk density was increased from FL, B L, CL (0.64, 0.69, 0.71) in g/cm³. [26] The mean value of BD under forest, agricultural, and grazing land was 1.08 g/cm³, 1.62 g/cm³, and 1.63 g/cm³ [18, 33]. The increased bulk density of grazing land is owing to the grazing's higher compaction effect and erosion of the topsoil due to the lack of vegetation

cover [2].

Soil Moisture

Soil moisture content was higher under natural and mixed forest land use (21.72 1.4) than other land use types, while it was lowest in soil under cultivated land (15.37 0.9) [67]. There was a difference between the soil moisture contents among land use changes, according to “[25], with the mean value at soils 20.6 percent, 10.84 percent, and 14.76 percent” respectively, and the mean value of moisture in forest land, cultivated land, and grazing land being 25.85 percent, 10.86 percent, and 15.12 percent respectively. According to “[3], farmland had the highest average soil water content value (4%) compared to abandoned farmland, natural grassland, artificial lemon woodland, and poplar woodland, which had average soil water content values of 1%, 0.9 percent, 0.7 percent, and 0.8 percent”, respectively.

(ii). Impacts of Land Use Change on Soil Chemical Properties

Soil pH (H₂O)

Soil pH was found to be significantly ($p < 0.05$) affected by land-use change and soil depth. Forest soil has the highest mean value of pH (6.20), whereas it was significantly lower (4.63) in cultivated lands [67]. The land use change had a considerable impact on soil pH, with a mean of 5.4 and a range of 5.83 to 5.22 across land use change [67]. In comparison to other land uses, the total mean soil pH in wild and mixed forests was significantly higher (5.83 0.1) and lower (5.32 0.1) in Eucalyptus plantations. However, when compared to other land uses, the mean pH value was higher in wild and mixed forest (5.83 0.1) and lower in Eucalyptus plantation (5.22 0.1), respectively [45]. This finding is consistent with that of “[20], who found that the majority of Eucalyptus species have an acidifying influence” on soil characteristics. This is in line with the study of Rajeev *et al* (2022) LUC showed positive effects on soil pH for LUs like BL, CL, GL, HL, and PL when compared to FL and soil pH increased significantly for BL (5.0%), CL (6.0%), and HL (5.0%) but found non-significant changes for GL (1.1%) and PL (4.1%) over the FL. The higher acidity (lower pH) in cultivated land compared to forest land was most likely due to continuous removal of basic cations by crops, increased leaching of basic actions by crops' harvest and washed away of exchangeable bases by soil erosion [70]. The Organic matter accumulation might reduce soil erosion resulting in higher soluble base cations (Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺), which reduce H⁺ responsible for acidity, which, in turn, increases soil pH in the grassland soils [54]. The pH of soils in the natural forestlands (mean = 6.93) was slightly higher than that of cultivated (mean = 5.71) and grazing lands (mean = 5.12)[71]. The conversion of forestland to cultivated land has resulted in a decrease in organic matter, which has resulted in a dip in pH. [49]. The findings of this study corroborated those of earlier studies, indicating that soil acidity is becoming a serious problem in Ethiopia's Northwestern highlands [41].

Soil Organic Carbon

The average OC for natural forest, pasture, and cultivated

lands soils was 8.00 percent, 5.16 percent, and 2.31 percent, respectively [71]. When compared to other land uses, the overall mean SOC concentration was greater in natural and mixed forest (3.62, 0.22) and lower in cultivated land (1.97, 0.16). SOC concentrations were greater in soil under natural and mixed forest land use (4.58, 0.31) and lower in soil under cultivated land (1.6, 0.22) than in other land uses [67]. This conclusion is consistent with the fact that SOC content in forest land (2.88%) is higher than in cultivated and grazing land, and SOC content declines significantly as soil depth increases [20]. This research supports findings that indicated substantial differences in soil organic carbon (SOC) content (g/kg) for both land-use changes [62]. The study coincided with [22, 40, 61], who found that land-use change impacted soil organic carbon (SOC).

Total Nitrogen (TN)

The total nitrogen content of land use varies, with greater mean values (0.24 percent) in forest land and lower mean values (0.14 percent) in intensively cultivated outfields, whereas grazing land and homestead garden fields have similar mean values [27]. However, total nitrogen content was higher (0.24) in forest land and lower (0.14) in agriculture [27]. Its return to the soil is high in forests and grazing pastures with a healthy cover of natural flora, which raises the SOM content, which in turn increases the total nitrogen content of these soils [27]. The ideal C/N ratio is between 10:1 and 12:1, which delivers nitrogen in excess of microbial requirements [71]. This discovery is consistent with the findings of [1], who found that total nitrogen in free grazing pasture was lower than in the enclosure. The lowest mean value of TN concentration was found on cultivated soils (mean = 0.17 percent) compared to natural forest soils (mean = 0.47 percent) and grazing pastures (mean = 0.36 percent) as a result of land use/cover changes [71].

Available Phosphorous (Av.P)

Land use change had an impact on available phosphorus, with the greatest mean values (16 mg/kg) under forest land, followed by grazing land (14.27 mg/kg), and intensively cultivated outfields (9.13 mg/kg) [27]. The mean value of available Phosphorous for free grazing area is higher than that of the enclosure in a similar study [1]. The mean Av. P in the topsoil layer was much greater (5120 mg/kg) in farming than in other land use types, which could be attributed to the usage of animal manure, compost, and household wastes such ashes to improve soil quality [11]. Phosphorus fixation may be linked to P content in the protected forest [67].

Available Potassium (Av.K)

The overall mean of Av.P for cultivated, grazed, and natural forest lands was 1.07 ppm, 5.42 ppm, and 3.88 ppm, respectively [71]. In comparable findings, cultivated lands had higher Av.P concentration than rainforests [14, 48]. Available potassium varied considerably with land-use types, with higher levels in protected-forest soil (0.26) and farmlands soil (0.24) than in other land-use categories, with little fluctuation [11]. The interaction impacts of both LULC types greatly altered exchangeable K. [43]. K. content was

also found to be lower in intensively cultivated soils [28]. As a result of the difference in exchangeable K content between farmland (0.15) and forest land (0.19) cmol+/kg soil, the lowest level for K has decreased [1].

Electrical Conductivity (EC)

The highest electrical conductivity (CE) was highest in forest land (mean 0.26 ± 0.17 ds/m) the middle in open grazing land (0.20 ± 0.09 ds/m) and the lowest in Agriculture land (0.16 ± 0.03 ds/m) [64]. The highest (210 Scm^{-1}) but also (50 Scm^{-1}) occurs in unirrigated Vertisols, and the highest EC value under unirrigated (Luvisols) land may be due to its highest exchangeable, no content, whereas the lowest soil EC value under irrigated (Vertisols) land may be due to cation loss (Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+}) after deforestation and intensive cultivation [36]. The mean electrical conductivity values for free grazing fields and area enclosures were 0.52 and 0.04 units, respectively [1].

(iii). Impacts of Land Use Change on Soil Biological Properties

Soil microbial respiration (SMR) decreased significantly from $101.40 \text{ mg C day}^{-1} \text{ kg}^{-1}$ for grazing soils to $88.40 \text{ mg C day}^{-1} \text{ kg}^{-1}$ for cultivated soils, with the highest SMR in the TS position and the lowest in the BS position. The average soil microbial biomass carbon (MBC) in cropland and forest ecosystems ($43570 \text{ g C g soil}^{-1}$) was more than double that in other land use systems ($14337\text{-}18051 \text{ g C g soil}^{-1}$) [27]. Similarly, MBC levels decreased significantly for BL (-61.3%) and CL (-25.7%) over the FL but changes in GL (-29.5%) and HL (-10.3%) were non-significant. The highest SOC concentration was found in AF (6.4 g kg^{-1}), followed by IR (5.9 g kg^{-1}), and the lowest was reported in RF (3.2 g kg^{-1}). As a consequence, SOC in AF (Agroforestry) land use was substantially greater ($P < 0.05$) than in RF (Dryland agricultural production) land use [12]. It did not, however, differ significantly between AF (Agroforestry) and (irrigation-based fruit production) IR, or between IR and RF land uses [11]. SOC and MBC are two major soil characteristics that have an impact on biological processes and soil quality [17]. MBC was somewhat greater in IR-treated soils (100.1 mg kg^{-1}) than in AF and RF-treated soils, but the differences were not statistically significant [12]. Higher MBC values under IR compared to AF and RF could be explained by reduced soil disturbance under IR compared to other intensively tilled land uses [12].

3.4.2. Soil Carbon Stock

(i). Impact of Land Use Change on SOC Stock

Reforestation of degraded grazing area with eucalyptus plantings, on the other hand, raised SOC stock by 24% at a rate of $0.3 \text{ mg C g soil}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ [22]. Highest carbon stock was recorded in agriculture as compared to forestlands changes of SOC (8.99 Mg ha^{-1}) [62]. The second largest SOC (8.69 Mg ha^{-1}) was observed in land use changes from agriculture to open grazing land, and the least value of SOCst was obtained in agriculture-to-agriculture land use changes (5.78 Mg ha^{-1}) [62]. The lowest carbon stock content in agricultural land

might be due to low TOC and loss of soil structure by continues mono cropping and removal of crop residues [62]. The total SOC stock stored (up to 80cm) by all land-use types at Maileba and 172, 615 Mg C at Gum Selassa was estimated to be $159, 516 \text{ Mg C}$ at Maileba and 172, 615 Mg C at Gum Selassa, with 48 percent found in soil at both sites [38]. The mean SOC and SOCS in the grazing land (18.5 g kg^{-1} , 42.9 t/ha) was significantly higher than cultivated (13 g kg^{-1} , 32.6 t/ha) and was the lowest in fallow land (9.7 g kg^{-1} , 23.0 t/ha) respectively [57]. The highest SOCS in the grazing land use could be related to the high amount roots of grass and high grass root biomass turnover rate, which is important as protection from erosion and lack of tillage [57]. Various studies in Ethiopia have indicated losses in soil C stocks ranging from 2.3 mg ha^{-1} to 8.0 mg ha^{-1} per year owing to deforestation [8, 9]. In south western Ethiopia, higher above ground carbon was found in coffee agroforestry than in woodland, grassland, and cropland, but somewhat less than in natural forests [21]. Cultivated lands and grazing land, on the other hand, cover the most acreage in the catchments, accounting for around 80% and 16% of SOC stock, respectively, at Maileba and 86 percent and 13%, respectively [30]. Though there is little information on carbon stock grazing land in Ethiopia's highlands, communally managed semi-arid rangelands in southern Ethiopia reported 128.39 t/ha below ground (soil and root) and 13.11 t/ha above ground organic carbon [15]. LU conversions of natural forests to farmland resulted in annual losses of SOC ranging from 3.3 to 8.0 mg ha^{-1} at several areas" in Southwest Ethiopia [9]. Using the ecosystem model Biome BGC, anticipated a 40% loss of soil carbon, mostly organic, after 40 to 50 years of converting forests to agricultural land in Ethiopia's [13].

The mean SOC Stock in grazing land (42.9 t/ha) was significantly greater than farmed (32.6 t/ha) and was the lowest in fallow land (23.0 t/ha) in surface soils [52]. The highest carbon stock was found in agriculture compared to forestland fluctuations of SOCst (8.99 Mg/ha), according to [62]. The highest soil SOCst (9.99 Mg ha^{-1}) value was recorded in forest-to-forest land use changes, and agriculture to open grazing land had the second-largest SOCst (8.69 Mg/ha), while agricultural-to-agriculture land-use changes had the smallest SOCst (5.78 Mg/ha) [57].

(ii) Conversion Forest to Cultivated/Crop Land

Natural and mixed forest conversion to farmed land reduced the SOC stock by 36.12%. [67]. This could be due to a lack of organic materials applied to the soil, as well as reduced physical protection of SOC as a result of intensive cultivation, increased oxidation of soil organic matter, and complete removal of biomass from the field, as well as severe deforestation, steep relief, and high erosion hazards [67]. Conversion of natural forests to croplands resulted in a significant loss of organic carbon in the soil, according to similar findings [22]. The SOC stock at Kattasi and Gelawdios was reduced by 87 percent and 50 percent, respectively, implying that the average rate of SOC loss over

the 50-year period is $0.42 \text{ kg m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ at Kattasi and $0.23 \text{ kg m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ at Gelawdios [22]. Another study found that when forests were converted to agriculture, SOC stocks dropped rapidly at first, then gradually declined [55]. Similar findings have been reported for other sites in Northern Ethiopia, where cultivation land in Northwest Tigray had a 58 percent lower SOC level than forest land, and cropland in the southern highlands of Ethiopia had a 63 percent lower SOC level than forest after 30 years of cultivation [32]. Another study found that when dry deciduous forest was replaced with other land types, such as cropland (15.33 t/ha), scrubland (12.87 t/ha), fallow land (11.8 t/ha), and thorn forest (9.37 t/ha), the rate of decline in SOC was higher between 1993 and [64]. This is consistent with the fact that SOC levels decreased at 98 percent of the locations after forests were converted to agricultural land; the average reduction in SOC stocks was 44.5 \pm 1.0 percent [66].

After the land-use change, SOC stocks grew at the remaining 2% of the locations. The average increase at these locations was 23.6 \pm 8.9%. [66]. SOC stocks declined by 34.7 \pm 1.6 percent on average across all forest types at sites in the early stage (10 years), 45.3 \pm 1.4 percent at sites in the intermediate stage (11–50 years). Soil carbon storage was reduced by up to 88 percent when land was converted from forest to farmland or grazing area. Using the SOC storage of existing remaining forests and assuming a 40% forest cover, the Amhara region's SOC store was roughly 1.5Gt C before 50 years ago [64].

(iii). Conversion of Forest to Grazing Land

The conversion of natural forest to grazing area also resulted in a 53% decrease in SOC stock in the soil [9]. On other highlands sites, “[14] found that grazing land had 48 percent lower levels of SOM than natural forest”. Because fine root biomass in grasslands is only 10% of that in natural forests at the highland sites studied, neuromas inputs must have decreased significantly [14]. Furthermore, overgrazing has degraded the majority of the grasslands. The grazing land is frequently depleted. According to the stocking density (23 livestock unit (LU)/ha) in grasslands is ten times the carrying capability (2–3 LU/ha). Soils under forest sites were well protected, with little disturbance, but that soils on open bush lands were poorly managed, extensively overgrazed, and prone to surface erosion and water logging.

(iv). Conversion of Grazing to Exclosure Land

After an 8-year exclosure period, the conversion of badly degraded grazing area to exclosure in Ambober boosted SOC stock the soil layer by 42 percent compared to cropland [40]. Exclosure has also been demonstrated to improve carbon stock in other research [40, 52, 54]. For example, “[52] observed that SOC stock in the top layer rose from 93 to 638 g m^{-2} over a 26-year exclosure period at a rate of $31 \text{ g C m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ on rangelands in Inner Mongolia, while no significant variation was found after an 8-year exclosure period (107 g m^{-2})”. The mean SOCS in the grazing land (42.9 t/h) was significantly higher than cultivated (32.6 t/h) and was the

lowest in fallow land (9.7 g kg^{-1} , 23.0 t/ha) respectively. The rise in SOC stock in the exclosure region suggests that increased vegetation growth and carbon input can begin to rebuild SOC stocks, although it will take a long time to recover to near-original SOC stock levels.

3.4.3. Soil Quality

(i). Impacts of Land Use Changes on Soil Quality

The average sand percentage of natural forestland soils was high (40.6%), while it was low on cultivated and grazing land soils 20.1 percent and 31.3 percent respectively [71]. On the soils of cultivated land, the reverse clay fraction was $>$ grazing land $>$ natural forests [71]. According to “[63], the mean value of clay was highest on cultivated land (41.67 percent), followed by forest land (24.33 percent), and grassland (23.33 percent) (19 percent)”. The different land use types had statistically different bulk density, porosity, and aggregate stability. This result agrees with [52], who found that FL 1.4 g/cm^3 have the highest bulk density, followed by GL 1.33 g/cm^3 . CL 1.16 g/cm^3 had the lowest BD, while [62] found that bulk density was much higher in fallow land than in grazing and cultivated lands. [30] found that the mean bulk density of grazing and cultivated land soils increased by 27.1 percent and 19.62 percent, respectively, when compared to nearby forest land soils ([30]. In comparison to the natural forest, found that the bulk density in grazing and cultivated fields increased by 15.5 and 10.7%, respectively [34].

A reduction in pore size distribution was attributed to a decline in total porosity in grazing and cultivated land soils as compared to forest land soils, and it is also directly related to the quantity of SOM loss, which depends on the intensity of soil management methods [2]. Soil pH varied significantly depending on the influence of land-use changes on forest conversion with the lowest pH (5.7) in degraded soils and the greatest pH (7.1) in horticulture soils [26]. FL had the highest soil pH (6.54), followed by GL (6.51). CL 96.31 had the lowest soil pH, which is slightly below the recommended pH range for plant growth [47]. Land use change had a similar impact on soil pH, which ranged from 6.37 to 6.89, with only the soil from the eucalyptus stand being much lower than the other land use types. In comparison to other soils, degraded soils have significantly lower pH due to the repercussions of basic cation leaching and the dominance of exchangeable H^+ and Al^{+3} [60]. Acidity in degraded soils was also caused by accelerated leaching of basic cations (Ca, Mg, and K) due to increased rainfall and erosion [60]. In agreement to the above statement CEC was highest on forestland 25.5c mol (+)/kg and followed by open grazing land (24.02c mol (+)/kg), whereas it was the lowest on agricultural land 15.5c mol (+)/kg which is closely related to high organic matter content of the forest soil [62]. As the soil carbon decreases the CEC decreases too, and the role it plays as a source of energy for microorganisms diminishes.

SOC concentration varies with land use, ranging from 1.42 percent to 2.02 percent, with GL (2.02 percent) having the greatest SOC, followed by FL (1.85 percent) and CL (1.42

percent) [47]. Agriculture soil had the lowest SOC and TSN. Because N is stoichiometrically connected to C in SOM, increased litter intake, C: N stoichiometry, and biological N fixing by naturally occurring leguminous vegetation resulted in higher TN content in forest soils than in horticultural and agricultural soils [69]. These findings are also consistent with “[64], who found that plant cover in land use change, can alter soil P”. Nonetheless, the current findings were in contradiction to those of “[6] who found that cultivated land soils had more accessible P than grassland soils”.

This study's findings are consistent with those of [38], who suggested that low accessible phosphorus could be linked to continuous cropping, surface erosion, and a lack of biomass addition to soils”. The lowest TN content was found in deteriorated soils due to C: N stoichiometry, soil erosion, and a lack of N fertilization continuity. Similarly, in degraded soils, a much reduced amount of AP was likely linked to a lack of P fertilization and increased P fixation by ferruginous minerals. While P fixation is a concern in ferrallitic-ferruginous soils, horticulture soils' higher TOC content may have reduced P fixation and enhanced AP [45]. Reduced K⁺ fixation and a release of K⁺ due to the interaction of TOC with clay minerals may have contributed to the enhanced availability of K⁺ in horticultural soils. In soils used for horticulture and agriculture, the Ca²⁺: (Mg²⁺, K⁺, Na⁺) ratio, which evaluates soil resistance to aggregate dispersion in response to rainfall impacts, erosion, and flooding, was higher. This could be linked to the usage of domestic biomass ashes, liming, and vegetation recycling of basic cations from the subsurface and returning them to the topsoil.

SOC and MBC are two important soil variables that influence biological processes and soil quality [12]. SOC concentrations were found to be highest in AF (6.4 g kg⁻¹), followed by IR (5.9 g kg⁻¹), and lowest in RF (3.2 g kg⁻¹) [19]. As a result, SOC in AF (Agroforestry) land use was substantially greater (P 0.05) than in RF (Dryland crop production). However, no statistically significant differences were found between AF and IR, or between IR (irrigation-based fruit production) and RF land uses [12]. MBC was somewhat greater in IR-treated soils (100.1 mg kg⁻¹) than in AF and RF-treated soils, but the differences were not statistically significant [14]. Higher MBC values in IR than in AF and RF could be explained by less soil disturbance in IR than in other intensively tilled land uses [14]. Our findings revealed that SMR fell dramatically from 101.40 mg C day⁻¹ kg⁻¹ in pasture soils to 88.40 mg C day⁻¹ kg⁻¹ in cultivated soils.

(ii). *Impact of Land-Use Change on Soil Quality Index (SQI)*

The calculated SQI ranged from 0.27 to 0.79 at Maileba and 0.22 to 0.72 at Gum Selassa. Cultivated land at Maileba and plantation area at Gum Selassa scored the lowest SQI and were classified as 'degraded,' implying that these land-use types are in jeopardy and require immediate soil restoration and conservation measures for long-term productivity (2012) [38]. A degraded soils had lower SQ by

5 and 16 percent, respectively, indicating a significant SQ degradation over time when compared to the forest, and SQ values of degraded lands were also significantly lower by 9 to 11 percent than agriculture and fallow lands. On the other hand, the SQ in horticulture was much higher by 5%, implying a similar or even better SQ than in the forest. Clay, sand, bulk density, aggregate stability, soil pH, cation exchange capacity, SOC, and AvP were all included in the SQI [68]. According to “[68], the integrated soil quality index values for land uses were 0.69 for grazing land, 0.62 for cultivated land, and 0.59 for fallow land, all of which are classed as intermediate soil quality (0.55 SQI 0.70) and statistically significant”. This indicates that soils in the Kersa watershed that are used for grazing have improved soil functioning and soil quality. Higher SQI in area enclosure at Maileba and cultivated land at Gum Selassa, on the other hand, indicates that soils under these land-use types are better off in terms of soil functioning and soil health [7]. More physical, chemical, and biological soil variables, such as soil structure, infiltration, water-holding capacity, soil respiration, microbial biomass C and N, possibly mineralizable N, and soil aggregate stability, might be measured and included to improve the SQI result [38]. Area enclosures, on the other hand, have been shown in this and other Tigray research to be effective in improving the soil quality of deteriorated soils.

3.4.4. *Implications of Land Use Change*

(i). *Implications of the Land Use Change on Soil Erosion*

The removal of vegetation exposes the land to the effects of rains. When steeper slopes are cultivated in high erosivity circumstances, the situation gets worse, especially in the absence of appropriate soil conservation measures [15]. In the watershed, conversion of forest and shrubland to cultivated land has raised mean sediment yield from 6.79 tha⁻¹ year⁻¹ in 1973 to 8.65 tha⁻¹ year⁻¹ in 1995 and 9.44 tha⁻¹ year⁻¹ in 2015 [37]. Total soil loss and sediment export rose by 176.35 and 3.85 thousand tonnes, respectively, between 1988 and 2018, due to changes in land use [11]. Another study in Ethiopia's Upper Blue Nile Basin found that rapid expansions of cultivated land and built-up area at the expense of forest, shrubland, and grasslands increased the watershed's average soil erosion rate from 35.5 tha⁻¹ year⁻¹ in 1985 to 55 tha⁻¹ year⁻¹ in 2015, as well as the sediment yield from 14.8 tha⁻¹ year⁻¹ in 1985 to 22.1 tha⁻¹ year⁻¹ in 2015. [50]. In the Gelda Catchment, Northwestern Highlands of Ethiopia, [25] observed that expansion of farming techniques raised the mean annual soil loss rate by 16.3 tha⁻¹ year⁻¹ and the amount of mean sediment carried at the outlet increased by 16 percent between 2004 and 2014. As a result, the percentage of land subject to soil erosion has risen from 79.31 percent in 1965 to 85.56 percent in 1996 and 87.32 percent in 2007. ([10]. Where grassland, forest land, and aquatic vegetation LUC were converted to cultivated and rural settlement area, the situation might become serious [10]. As a result, soil erosion affected over 26 ha of land (or 0.23 percent of the land prone to erosion in 1965, or 0.19 percent of the entire

watershed area) [10]. This means that in Ethiopia, cultivated land, particularly steep slope farming, is the primary source of soil erosion. As a result, in the research region, the presence of rocky and steep topography in the eastern and northeastern parts of the watershed is the most important element in gully formation. [12].

(ii). Implications of the Land Use Change on Land Degradation

Soil degradation is one of the symptoms of land use change, which increases the risk of land degradation [2]. For all land uses and research sites, organic carbon (OC), nitrogen (N), accessible P, K, CEC, and porosity result in a negative degradation index [39]. The conversion of natural forest to Eucalyptus plantations and farmed land hastens soil degradation [39]. “[59] reported a negative degradation index for porosity, soil C, total N, and CEC in a study on soil-fertility decline in the tropics following deforestation and conversion to agricultural fields” in southern Ethiopia, which was similar to our results. The perceived effects of soil degradation as a result of land use change were ranked highest by farmers. Soil degradation caused a decrease in crop output due to its negative effects on plant growth. Poor land use/cover management in the catchment, according to “[8] could result in excessive soil erosion” and gullies [2]. The loss of biodiversity is another sign of land degradation caused by LULC change [2]. The data demonstrate that the area covered by natural vegetation in the Mida Woremo watershed has decreased during the last four and a half decades. In addition to the dangers of soil erosion and deterioration of soil quality, a decrease in vegetation cover may alter the natural ecosystem, resulting in biodiversity loss [2].

(iii). Implications of the Land Use Change on Hydrology and Water Ecology

Land use changes have a direct impact on the hydrological process, as well as the water ecology and quality of a given watershed [10]. The balance between rainfall, evaporation, and runoff response of a region is altered by land use and land cover change, which is primarily influenced by anthropogenic interference [17]. Within a watershed, changes in LUC affect infiltration, groundwater recharge, surface runoff, and river flow [37]. As a result, a better knowledge of land use change in Ethiopia and its impact on hydrological processes is critical for the country's water resource management. “[42] found that increasing cultivated land by 15.4 percent and settlements by 9.9 percent at the expense of shrubland and grazing lands increased annual surface runoff by 101 mm, reduced groundwater recharge by 39 mm, and reduced annual evapotranspiration by 91 mm between 1976 and 2003 in the Gilgel Tekeze Catchment,” Northern Highlands of Ethiopia. Similarly, “[31] found that between 1985 and 2015”, the continual development of cultivated land and built-up area, as well as the reducing of forestland, shrubland, and grassland, had increased the annual average temperature. Wet seasonal flow increased by 4.6 percent, surface runoff increased by 9.3 percent, and water yield

increased by 2.4 percent. In the Andassa Watershed, Ethiopia's Blue Nile Basin, the observed alterations lowered dry season flow by 2.8 percent, lateral flow by 5.7 percent, groundwater flow by 7.8 percent, and evaporation and transpiration (ET) by 0.3 percent [4]. According to “[17], land use and land cover changes in the Didessa River Catchment, Southwest Blue Nile Basin of Ethiopia, and increased average monthly river flow by 4.9 m³/s, 5.7 m³/s, and 10.6 m³/s”, respectively, between 1986 and 2001, 2001 and 2015, and 1986 and 2015. According to “[35], between 1985 and 2015,” the expansion of cultivated land by 29.6% and urban areas by 0.53 percent, as well as the reduction of shrubland by 11.9 percent and grassland by 18.1 percent, caused a decrease in the base flow (low flow) in the dry season by 0.73 m³/year at Suha and 0.37 m³/year at Muga Sub watersheds in the Choke Mountain Range of the Upper Blue Nile Basin.

4. Conclusion

Anthropogenic factors or human intervention in Ethiopia has accelerated land use changes for various purposes such as agriculture, settlement, mining, logging, and transportation, and the change has an impact on humans and other natural resources in general, as well as water and soil resources in particular. As a result, the primary objective of this present study was to assess the impacts of land use changes in Ethiopia on soil properties, soil carbon stocks, and soil quality processes.

Land-use change is one of the most important elements affecting soil parameters have the greatest impact on the soil. Land use change and management changes can have a big impact on the amount and dynamics of soil organic matter functions. Soil organic carbon stores, as well as the physical, chemical and Biological features of land use, have all been shown to be impacted by land use changes in previous studies. During the conversion of cultivated land at the expense of forest land, shrubland, and grassland in the Ethiopia, soil organic carbon stock and most physical and chemical properties, including N, P, K, and CEC, were much greater in the forest than grazing land and cultivated land. In forest land, however, pH and bulk density were lower.

Changes in SOCst in the soil resulted from changing from one land use to another land- use type/land use change. Land use change transitions from forest to agriculture had the lowest SOCst value. Forestland had a higher SOCst than agricultural and open grazing grasslands. When land use transitions from forest to cultivated or bare land to cultivated or forest to bare land, the soil bulk density increases. As one moves from cultivated fields to the forest, homesteads garden fields, and grazing regions and follows the pattern of organic matter levels, the nitrogen content of the soils is generally low to medium. The accessible phosphorus concentration of the soils was similarly low in cultivated fields and high in forest, pasture, and homestead garden fields. The soils' accessible potassium (Av.K) concentration was low under

cultivated land but high under forest, grazing, and homestead garden fields. From woodland to farmed land, soil CEC was dropping. represents the percentage of CEC and the percentage of SOM in FL, BL, and CL, respectively.

Land use modifications that impacted soil quality had a considerable impact on soil physical, chemical, and biological characteristics. When farmed or other land uses were converted, forest soils had a higher SQ than other land uses. TOC, Ca^{2+} : (Mg^{++} , K^{+} , Na^{+}), K, TOC: clay, CPC, BS, ASI, and clay all showed considerably lower values as a result of land-use changes when primary forest was converted to alternative land uses. The disparity in soil quality indices across land changes could be attributed to plentiful biomass, which influences all other soil quality parameters. Land use management is an important step in protecting existing soil carbon while also helping to increase soil carbon. In order to improve soil organic carbon stock and soil quality, Ethiopia must retain forest land, reduce agricultural intensity, and implement integrated soil fertility management. Overall, the evidence from various studies shows that, in order to mitigate the potential impact of land use change on SOC stocks, soil properties, and soil quality, there is an urgent need for sustainable management of current production systems and natural resources that increase soil carbon in Ethiopia's land use systems.

5. Recommendation / Prospects

Anthropogenic influences are hastening land use changes in Ethiopia, affecting humans and other natural resources in general, as well as soil and water resources in particular, which are vital to human survival. As a result of the review, it was determined that the expansion of cultivated land at the expense of forest, shrubland, and grassland in Ethiopia has increased the rate of soil erosion, organic matter loss, sediment yield, annual surface runoff, mean wet monthly flow, mean annual stream flow, and water yield in various regions of the country. This demonstrates that previous research on the effects of land use changes on soil resources and water adequately demonstrates the change in soil carbon stock and soil quality as a result of changing land use in the country for agricultural purposes. As a result, future study should:-

- 1) More emphasis should be placed on investigating the effects of land use changes on the country's soil resources, as well as conservation and rehabilitation of land use changes through reforestation.
- 2) Pay more attention to forecasting future soil loss and hydrological imbalances as the country's land use evolves backed up by soil water conservation studies.
- 3) As several study recommendations suggested, our people lack sufficient information and understanding about the implications of land use changes on product and productivity, necessitating much more research to better understand the impacts of land use changes on crop output and productivity.

References

- [1] Abiye, Astatke, Tekalign Mamo, D. O. N. Peden, and Mamadou Diedhiou (2008). "Participatory on-farm conservation tillage trial in the Ethiopian highland Vertisols: The impact of potassium application on crop yields." *Experimental Agriculture* 40, no. 3: 369-379.
- [2] Achalu Chimdi, Heluf Gebrekidan, Kibebew Kibret and Abi Tadesse (2012). Status of selected physicochemical properties of soils under different land use systems of Western Oromia, Ethiopia. *Journal of Biodiversity and Environmental Sciences* 2 (3): 57-71.
- [3] Adingo, Samuel (2021). "Land-Use Change Influence Soil Quality Parameters at an Ecologically Fragile Area of YongDeng County of Gansu Province," 1-24.
- [4] Ajanaw Negese (2021). *Impacts of Land Use and Land Cover Change on Soil Erosion and Hydrological Responses in Ethiopia. 2021*, 15-17.
- [5] Alemayehu, Tamiru, Wagari Furi, and Dagnachew Legesse. (2016). Impact of water overexploitation on highland lakes of eastern Ethiopia: *Environ Geol*: 52: 147-154.
- [6] Amare, Hailesslassie, Priess J, Veldkamp E, Demil T, Lesschen JP. (2005). Assessment of soil nutrient depletion and its spatial variability on smallholders' mixed farming systems in Ethiopia using partial versus full nutrient balances. *Agric. Ecosyst.*
- [7] Andrews, S. S., Flora, C. B., Mitchell, J. P., & Karlen, D. L. (2003). Growers' perceptions and acceptance of soil quality indices. *Geoderma*, 114, 187-213.
- [8] Asmamaw, Legese. & Mohammed, Ahamad. (2013). Effects of Slope Gradient and Changes in Land Use/ Cover on Selected Soil physic- Biochemical Properties of the Gerado Catchement, North-eastern Ethiopia. *International journal of environmental studies*, 70 (1), 111-125.
- [9] Assefa Abegaz., Winowiecki, A. A., Vågen, T.-G., Langan, S. and Smith, J. U. (2016) Spatial and Temporal Dynamics of Soil Organic Carbon in Landscapes of the Upper Blue Nile Basin of the Ethiopian Highlands. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 218, 190-208.
- [10] Assen, Mohammed. (2021). "Land Use / Cover Dynamics and Its Implications in the Dried Lake Alemaya Watershed, Eastern Ethiopia."
- [11] Awdenegest, Moges, Melku Dagnachew, and Fantaw Yimer. (2013). "Land use effects on soil quality indicators: a case study of Abo-Wonsho Southern Ethiopia." *Applied and Environmental Soil Science*.
- [12] Aweke Muluaem Gelaw (2014). Soil Quality and Carbon Footprint of Different Land Uses by Smallholder Farmers in Ethiopia. Department of Environmental Science Faculty of Environmental Science and Technology Norwegian University of Life Sciences. PhD Thesis, ISBN 978-82-575-1232-3.
- [13] Belay Zerga & Getaneh Gebeyehu. (2018). Climate Change in Ethiopia Variability, Impact, Mitigation, and Adaptation. *Journal of Social Science and Humanities Research*, 2, 66 84.

- [14] Bewket, Woldeamlak, and Leo Stroosnijder. (2003) Effects of agro ecological land use succession on soil properties in Chemoga watershed, Blue Nile basin, Ethiopia, *Geoderma* 111 (1-2): 85-98.
- [15] Birhanu, Adugnaw, I. Masih, P. van der Zaag, Jan Nyssen, and X. Cai. (2019) "Impacts of land use and land cover changes on hydrology of the Gumara catchment, Ethiopia," *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth, Parts A/B/C*, vol. 112, pp. 165–174.
- [16] Chan KY, Oates A, Li GD, Conyers MK, IM (2010): Soil carbon stocks under different pastures and pasture management in the higher rainfall areas of south-eastern Australia. *Australian Journal of Soil Research*, 48, 7–15.
- [17] Chimdessa, Kenati. Qureshi, S Kebede, A. and Alamirew, T (2018). "Effect of land use land cover and climate change on river flow and soil loss in Didessa River Basin, South West Blue Nile, Ethiopia," *Hydrology*, vol. 6, no. 1.
- [18] Dagnachew, Melku, Awdenegest Moges, and Asfaw Kebede Kassa. (2019). "Effects of Land Uses on Soil Quality Indicators: The Case of Geshy Sub catchment, Gojeb River Catchment, Ethiopia."
- [19] Dams, J. (2007). Predicting Land-Use Change and Its Impact on the Groundwater System in the Grote-Net Catchment, Belgium, *Hydrogeol. J.*, 15, 891–901.
- [20] Deginet, Wako, and Getahun Kitila. (2021). "Effect of Land Use Change on Soil Carbon Stock and Selected Soil Properties in Gobu Sayyo, Western Ethiopia."
- [21] Dereje, Denu, Philip J. Platts, Ensermu Kelbessa, Tadesse W. Gole, and Rob Marchant. (2016): The role of traditional coffee management in forest conservation and carbon storage in the Jimma Highlands, Ethiopia. *Forests, Trees and Livelihoods*, 25, 226-38.
- [22] Dessie Assefa, Boris Rewald, Hans Sandén, Christoph Rosinger, Abrham Abiyu, Birru Yitafaru, Douglas L. Godbold (2017). Deforestation and land use strongly effect soil organic carbon and nitrogen stock in Northwest Ethiopia. Institute of Forest Ecology, University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences (BOKU), Peter Jordan-Strafe, 82 Vienna Austria.
- [23] Eleni, Wolfgang W., Michael, E. K., Dagnachew, L., and G. Bloschl (2013). Identifying Land Use/Cover Dynamics in the Koga Catchment, Ethiopia, from Multi-Scale Data and Implications for Environmental Change. *International Journal Geo-Information*, 2: 302-323.
- [24] Elias, Eyasu, Weldemariam Seifu, Bereket Tesfaye, and Wondwosen Girmayl, (2019) "Impact of land use/cover changes on lake ecosystem of Ethiopia central rift valley," *Cogent Food & Agriculture*, vol. 5, no. 1.
- [25] Elias, Eyasu. (2020). "Effects of Land Use / Land Cover Changes in Selected Soil Physical and Chemical Properties in Shenkolla Watershed."
- [26] Eshete, Abeje, Frank Sterck, and Frans Bongers (2011). Diversity and production of Ethiopian dry woodlands explained by climate- and soil-stress gradients. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 261, 1499–1509. doi: 10.1016/j.foreco.2011.01.021.
- [27] Eyasu Elias. (2002). Farmers' perceptions of change and management of soil fertility. SOS-Sahel and Institute of Development studies. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. 252pp.
- [28] Fantaw, Yimer, Ledin S, Abdu A. (2006). Soil organic carbon and total nitrogen stocks as affected by topographic aspect and vegetation in the Bale Mountains, Ethiopia. *Geoderma*, 135: 335-344.
- [29] Fayissa, A. Ababaew, A. Chimdi (2015). Effects of different land use on the fertility status of acidic soils of Dano district, West Shoa zone, Oromia region, Ethiopia. *American-Eurasian Journal of Scientific Research*, 10 (4), pp. 235-24.
- [30] Gashaw, Temesgen, Taffa Tulu, Mekuria Argaw, and Abeyou W. Worqlul. (2019) "Modeling the impacts of land use–land cover changes on soil erosion and sediment yield in the Andassa watershed, Upper Blue Nile Basin, Ethiopia," *Environmental Earth Sciences*, vol. 78, no. 24.
- [31] Gashaw, Temesgen, Taffa Tulu, Mekuria Argaw, and Abeyou W. Worqlul (2018) "Modeling the hydrological impacts of land use/land cover changes in the Andassa watershed, Blue Nile Basin, Ethiopia," *Science of 5e Total Environment*, vol. 619-620, pp. 1394–1408.
- [32] Gebremariam, Melese. Kebede, Feleke. (2010). Land use change effect on soil carbon stock, aboveground biomass, aggregate stability and soil crust: a case from Tahtay Adyabo, north western Tigray. *Northern Ethiopia. J. drylands* 2, 220–225.
- [33] Gebreselassie, Yihene (2014). "Selected chemical and physical characteristics of soils of audit research centre and it's testing sites in Northwestern Ethiopia." *Ethiopian Journal of Natural Resources*.
- [34] Gelaw, Aweke, Singh B R, Lal R. (2013). Organic carbon and nitrogen associated with soil aggregates and particle sizes under different land uses in Tigray, Northern Ethiopia. *Land Degrad. Develop.* DOI: 10.1002/ldr.2261.
- [35] Gessesse, Agenagne A., Assefa M. Melesse, and Anteneh Z. Abiy (2019) "Land use dynamics and base and peak flow responses in the Choke mountain range, Upper Blue Nile Basin, Ethiopia," *International Journal of River Basin Management*, vol. 17, pp. 1–13, 2019.
- [36] Getahun, Kitila, Heluf Gebrekidan, and Tena Alamrew (2016). "Soil quality attributes induced by land use changes in the Fincha'a watershed, Nile Basin of western Ethiopia." *Science, Technology and Arts Research Journal* 5.1 (2016): 16-26.
- [37] Getahun, Yitea Seneshaw, and H. A. J. Van Lanen. (2015) "Assessing the impacts of land use-cover change on hydrology of Melka Kuntrie subbasin in Ethiopia, using a conceptual hydrological model," *Journal of Waste Water Treatment & Analysis*, vol. 6, no. 3.
- [38] Girmay G, Singh B (2012) Changes in soil organic carbon stocks and soil quality: land-use system effects in northern Ethiopia. *Acta Agriculture Scandinavica and Section B-Soil and Plant Science*, 62: 519-530.
- [39] Girmay Gebresamuel. (2009). Land Use Change Effects in Northern Ethiopia: runoff, Soil and Nutrient Losses, Soil Quality, and Sediment as Nutrient Sources. A PhD Thesis at Norwegian University of Life Sciences, Norway.
- [40] Girmay Gebresamuel, Singh BR, Mitiku H, Borresen T & Lal R. (2008). Carbon Stocks in Ethiopian Soils in relation to land use and soil management. *Journal of Land Degradation and Development*, 19, 351-367.

- [41] Hailu, Zeleke. (2002). Ecological Impact Evaluation of Eucalyptus Plantations in Comparison With Agricultural and Grazing Land-Use Types in the Highlands of Ethiopia. Ph. Dissertation, Institute of Forest Ecology, Vienna University of Agricultural Sciences, Vienna.
- [42] Haregeweyn, N. Tesfaye, S. Tsunekawa A (2015). "Dynamics of land use and land cover and its effects on hydrologic responses: case study of the Gilgel Tekeze catchment in the highlands of Northern Ethiopia," *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, vol. 187, no. 1, p. 4090.
- [43] Hayicho, Hussein, Mersha Alemu, and Haji Kedir (2019). "Assessing the Effects of Land-Use and Land Cover Change and Topography on Soil Fertility in Melka Wakena Catchment of Sub-Upper Wabe-Shebelle Watershed, South Eastern Ethiopia." *Journal of Environmental Protection* 10.5 (2019): 672-693.
- [44] INEGI (2015). Guía para la interpretación de cartografía USO del suelo y vegetación escala 1:250000 Serie V, 5. Instituto Nacional de Estadística Geografía, Mexico.
- [45] IPCC. (2013). Climate change. The physical science basis. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press.
- [46] Jonczak, J (2013). Soil organic matter properties in Stagnic Luvisols under different land use types *Acta Agrophysica Journal*, 20 (4), pp. 565-576.
- [47] Kassaye Gurebiyaw., Melese Yigzaw. Hailu Kendie., Gebretsadik Melak, Mohammed Raff., & Alemtsehay Hagos. (2020). *Evaluation of soil physical and chemical quality indices under different land use scenario in North Ethiopia*. 9 (1), 38-47.
- [48] Kebede, Y., & Raju, S. A. J. (2011). Effect of Land Use/ Land Cover Change on Soil Properties in the Hara River Watershed, Ethiopia. *The Ecoscan, Anan International Quarterly Journal of Environmental Sciences*, 5, 69-74.
- [49] Khresat S, J. Al-Bakri, and R. Al-Tahnan, (2008) "Impacts of land use/cover change on soil properties in the Mediterranean region of northwestern Jordan," *Land Degradation and Development*, vol. 19, no. 4, pp. 397-407.
- [50] Kidane, Moges, Alemu Bezie, Nega Kesete, and Terefe Tolessa. (2019) "impact of land use and land cover (LULC) dynamics on soil erosion and sediment yield in Ethiopia," *Heliyon*, vol. 5, no. 12,
- [51] Lee-Gammage, S. (2018). What is land use and land use change? (Food source: building blocks). Food Climate Research Network, University of Oxford.
- [52] Li DJ, Niu SL & Luo YQ (2012). Global patterns of the dynamics of soil carbon and nitrogen stocks following afforestation: a meta-analysis. *New Phytologist*, 195, 172-181.
- [53] LUCID (2004). A Research Framework to Identify Root Causes of Land-Use Change Leading to Land Degradation and Changing Biodiversity. Nairobi: LUCID Project Working Paper 48.
- [54] Mekuria, Woldie, Veldkamp E, Haile M. (2009). Carbon stock changes with relation to land use conversion in the lowlands of Tigray, Ethiopia. A paper presented at a conference on International Research on Food Security, Natural Resource Management and Rural Development. University of Hamburg, October 6-8, 2009.
- [55] Melese, Asmare, and Markku Yli-Halla (2016). Effects of applications of lime, wood ash, manure and mineral P fertilizer on the inorganic P fractions and other selected soil chemical properties on acid soil of Farta District, Northwestern highland of Ethiopia. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*, 11 (2), 87-99.
- [56] Moges, Awdenegeest, Melku Dagnachew, and Fantaw Yimer. (2013) "Land use effects on soil quality indicators: a case study of Abo-Wonsho Southern Ethiopia." *Applied and Environmental Soil Science*.
- [57] Muktar, Mohammed, Bedadi Bobe, Kibret Kibebew, and Mulat Yared. (2018). "Soil Organic Carbon Stock under Different Land Use Types in Kersa Sub Watershed, Eastern Ethiopia." *African Journal of Agricultural Research* 13 (24): 1248-56.
- [58] Müller, D and Zeller, M (2002). Land use dynamics in the central highlands of Vietnam: A spatial model combining village survey data with satellite imagery interpretation. *Agricultural Economics Journal*, 27, pp. 333-35.
- [59] Mulugeta, Lemenih, Erik Karlton, and Mats Olsson. (2005). Soil organic matter dynamics after deforestation along a farm field chronosequence in southern highlands of Ethiopia. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ* 109: 9-19.
- [60] Neina, D., (2019). The role of soil pH in plant nutrition and soil remediation. *Applied and Environmental Soil Science* Article ID 5794869.
- [61] Noe, S. (2003). The Dynamics of Land-Use Change and their Impacts on Wildlife Corridor between Kilimanjaro National Park and Amboseli National Park, Tanzania. LUCID Working Paper 31: Nairobi: International Livestock Research Institute.
- [62] Seyum, Senait, Girma Taddese, and Tesfaye Mebrate. (2019). "Land Use Land Cover Changes on Soil Carbon Stock in the Weshem Watershed, Ethiopia." 3 (cm): 24-30.
- [63] Shi, L. J., Zheng, L. B., Mei, X. Y., Yu, L. Z., & Jia, Z. C. (2010). Characteristics of soil organic carbon and total nitrogen under different land use types in Shanghai. *The Chinese Journal of Applied Ecology*, 21 (9), 2279-2287.
- [64] Solomon Dawit, Lehmann M, Tekaligh M, Fritzsche F., Zech, W (2001) Sulfer fractions in particle size separation of the humid Ethiopia highland as influenced by land use changes. *Geoderma*, 102: 45-59.
- [65] Temesgen Gashaw and Fentahun, Tesfahun, and (2014). Evaluation of Land Use/ Land Cover Changes in East of Lake Tana, Ethiopia. *Journal of Environment and Earth Science*, 4: 49-53.
- [66] Wei, G. (2016). Long-term effects of tillage on soil aggregates and the distribution of soil organic carbon, total nitrogen, and other nutrients in aggregates on the semi-arid loess plateau, China. *Arid Land Research and Management* 28, 291-310.
- [67] Wondimagegn, Amanuel, Fantaw Yimer, and Erik Karlton. (2018). Variation of soil organic carbon related to land use land cover change: the case of birr watershed, upper Blue Nile basin, Ethiopia. Master thesis.
- [68] Yared, Mulat, Kibebew Kibret, Bobe Bedadi, and Muktar Mohammed (2021). Soil organic carbon stock under different land use types in Kersa Sub Watershed, Eastern Ethiopia. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*, 13, 1248-1256.

- [69] Yimer, Fantaw, Stig Ledin, and Abdu Abdelkadir. (2006). "Soil organic carbon and total nitrogen stocks affected by topographic aspect and vegetation in the Bale Mountains, Ethiopia." *Geoderma* 135 (2006): 335-344.
- [70] Yoseph, Delelegn Witoon Purahong, Amila Blazevic, Birru Yitaferu, Tesfaye Wubet, Hans Göransson, and Douglas L. Godbold. (2017). Changes in land use, alter soil quality and aggregate stability in the highlands of northern Ethiopia. *Scientific Reports*, 7, 13602.
- [71] Zenebe, Gebreegziabher (2007). Household fuel and resource use in rural-urban Ethiopia. Wageningen University, the Netherlands.