
A Local Search for Solving the Multi-item Capacitated Lot Sizing Problem and Vehicle Routing: A Case Study

Ridha Erromdhani, Abdelwaheb Rebaï

Laboratory of Modeling and Optimization for Decisional, Industrial and Logistic Systems, Faculty of Economics and Management, University of Sfax, Sfax, Tunisia

Email address:

erromdhaniridha@yahoo.fr (R. Erromdhani), abdrebai1953@gmail.com (A. Rebaï)

To cite this article:

Ridha Erromdhani, Abdelwaheb Rebaï. A Local Search for Solving the Multi-item Capacitated Lot Sizing Problem and Vehicle Routing: A Case Study. *International Journal of Management and Fuzzy Systems*. Vol. 7, No. 1, 2021, pp. 1-10. doi: 10.11648/j.ijmfs.20210701.11

Received: February 20, 2021; **Accepted:** March 17, 2021; **Published:** March 30, 2021

Abstract: Our research focuses on the development of two algorithms based on the mathematical programming and local search procedures for resolution the Multi-Item Capacitated Lot Sizing Problem and Vehicle Routing Problem (MICLSP-VRP). It concerns so a particularly important and difficult problem in the strong sense for solving. In particular, our study is motivated by a real case study in a butcher's shop firm whose meat processing. Several production lines are considered of (turkey and chicken) items and a fleet of vehicles that is used to deliver. In this study, an effective approach based on the local search and accurate mixed integer programming model is presented to solve multi-item capacitated lot sizing problem and vehicle routing problem with delivery time windows. This consists of determining the production quantities at each site by minimizing the cost of transport and solving the sub problem. By considering an integrated approach, the computational results of a case study show a 25.33% percent decrease in the total cost production.

Keywords: Production Routing Problem, Lot Sizing Problem, Agro Alimentary Industry, Local Search

1. Introduction

Production planning is an area rich in complex problems of operations research and combinatorial optimization.

In this study, we introduce the capacitated multi-item lot-sizing with vehicle routing problem to make deliveries of products manufactured between sites. In which we begin to present the main features of lot-sizing problems over a planning horizon and their distribution needs.

Our study integrates the problem of production planning in the context of distribution to make deliveries of products manufactured between sites. The problems of lot-sizing are very studied in the literature.

Many works deal these problems for the case of a single type of product, i.e. each product is manufactured at a single production site and each site manufactures a single type of product, to reduce complexity.

Moreover, there are a few articles that deal with batch-sizing problems that integrate the distribution. Indeed Chen and Thizy, showed that the multi item capacitated lot-sizing problem is NP-hard in the strong sense [6].

My research focuses on production planning on several

sites with transport of products between these sites. The goal is to synchronize the two problems (planning and transport) and apply in real case.

For which, we have developed focuses on mathematical models and algorithms to optimize production planning in the domain of vehicle routing problem with delivery time windows.

We propose two algorithms based on the mathematical programming and local search procedures for resolution the multi-item capacitated lot sizing and vehicle routing problem. The aim of this work is to deal with this coupling between the production problem and the transport problem in a logistics chain. We seek simultaneously to minimize all production costs, preparation and storage and satisfaction of the request and also to minimize transport costs, respecting the constraints of capacity of the vehicles, of transport duration and flow of entry / exit of the products for each site.

We present several basic notions for describing the domain that combines lot-sizing problems with the vehicle routing problems based, partially, on: the transport of products i to site j , the transformation of raw-materials into a finished or semi-finished product, where we treat it separately on each

site, the final product demands imposes time and quantity limits for the overall production, the duration of production and delivery, the component stocks on a site, the products that are consumed by the manufacturing of other types of products and also other components, setup times, bill of materials, capabilities are presents in the model for the both problems (production and transport). For the production it associated with each site and it is renewable at each periods. For transportation, it is associated with each vehicle.

Thomas; Pochet and Wolsey integrated a lot-sizing and vehicle routing problem considers machine setup times that result in additional capacity consumption at the beginning of each type of production, such as [20, 17]. For a more detailed explanation on the use of vehicle routing problem with lot-sizing problem in different forms, several researchers are interested:

Glover developed a system that integrates the problem of production and vehicle tours [9].

Chandra and Fisher developed a well-detailed system for analyzing the coordination of distribution and planning production [4].

Lei consider a single product and a set of sites. The method consists in removing the less interesting routes and assigning the best ones to the carriers [12]. This approach tries to optimize the problem of production and transportation simultaneously.

Bard and Nananukul use a similar approach that combines neighborhood-based methods with the advantages of greedy heuristics [2]. To make the delivery, the model has a fleet of vehicles.

Several approaches, resolution methods and models have been studied; Nezhad proposed the lagrangian relaxation approach [16]. It consists of using a production / distribution network with installation costs to study the problem of localization to several products.

To solve this problematic, several researchers have proposed exact methods and other authors are interested in the approaches methods. We can mention the works of Eppen and Martin proposes a transport network based on the shortest path problem for uncapacitated lot-sizing problem [7].

Sambasivan and Yahya, deal with production planning with several sites over several periods in an environment with capacity and transfer between sites [18].

Hwang and Jaruphonga; Lee; and Wolsey proposed a classification by type of lot-sizing problems by adding time windows [10, 22, 21]. Wolsey imposed than production be produced inboard of time windows, where instead of having time limit to make a delivery [21].

There are many impressive researches for solving the problems between production and distribution. Boudia have proposed randomized adaptive search procedure [3]. Is a relatively young metaheuristics that simultaneously tackle production and routing decisions. Lejeune proposed a solution algorithm based on the variable neighborhood decomposition search for solving both production planning and distribution over a multi-period horizon [13]. The

proposed methodology based on the exploration of the successive neighborhoods is performed using a branch-and-bound algorithm and the variable search neighborhood metaheuristics. Chern and Hsieh have proposed a multi-objective master planning algorithm to solve a master planning problem for a supply chain network with multiple final products [5]. That considers more than one objective and includes seeks to minimize delay penalties, transportation, production, processing, due date constraints and inventory holding costs within capacity. A periodic review inventory–distribution model have been developed in the studies of Kanchanasuntorn and Techanisawad to solve the case of a fixed-life perishable product and lost sales at retail outlets [11].

Some authors have already given hints about the importance of a production and distribution planning problem. Amorim propose a solution approach for a real world capacitated lot sizing and distribution planning [1]. The problem has been formulated as a vehicle routing problem with time windows and with time-dependent travel times.

Fábio Neves develop an integrated production-routing problem model for solving a multi-product production-routing problem with delivery time windows [15]. Solyali and Süral tackle the single-vehicle, single-product [19]. The authors present a mathematical formulation and a Lagrangian relaxation based approach for the production-routing problem.

Here we consider a multi-item production system for which several components are considered. The problem also incorporates the delivery of components in addition to the production planning. The developed algorithm is tested in the real case study of a butcher's shop firm whose meat processing. We present a variable neighborhood search metaheuristic for the multi-item capacitated lot sizing problem and vehicle routing problem with delivery time windows.

The main contributions of this paper are: proposing a new mathematical programming formulation with multi-item, multiple vehicles performing routes with delivery time windows, and multi-plant. Specifically, we use the mixed integer programming and efficient metaheuristics based on the variable neighborhood search procedures to obtain high quality initial solutions. We are also interested in we present a decomposition approach for solving the multi-item capacitated lot sizing problem and vehicle routing problem with delivery time windows. For this problem, we consider a production distributed on several sites and the transportation of items between those sites. Furthermore, this analysis and discussion also allows us to point out interesting for integrated problems areas for future research.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, the multi-item capacitated lot sizing and vehicle routing problem is formulated as a mixed integer linear programming. Details of the proposed hybrid metaheuristic algorithm are presented in Section 3. Section 4 details the case of agro alimentary distribution. Finally, Section 5 summarizes the main concluding remarks and directions for future research.

2. A New Mathematical Programming Formulation

In this section, we present a new mixed integer mathematical formulation of the multi-item capacitated lot-sizing problem with setup times and vehicle routing problem with delivery time windows. This problem consists in planning the production of N items over a horizon of T periods in order to be carried at plants M . Demands are given for each item i at plant j during period t .

We have a set V of producer sites j that have to produce products i to satisfy the demands D_{ijt} in a horizon of T time periods ($t=1, \dots, T$). Where V_0 is the deposition of vehicles and $V' = \{V_1, \dots, V_M\}$ is the set of sites.

We also have a set of P products, and each site $j \in V'$ manufactures products i that belong to a $P_j \subset P$ list of products manufactured on site j . Similarly, each product i has a list $V'_i \subset V'$ of sites that manufacture.

For this operation, we must take into account the production costs P_{ijt} , of setup V_{ijt} and storage H_{ijt} at each period t . At each site we impose an individual production limit per product M_{ijt} and a renewable production capacity M_{jt} for each period.

This capacity is consumed by the production of product i at the site j at a rate a_{ij} , and also by the setup times b_{ij} , in the case where several products are manufactured on the site j at the same time. Let i be a product, j and j' the manufacturing sites and t the current time period.

The type of the vehicle routing problem is an extension of traveling salesman problem in which we consider a set of cities to be visited in full by a traveler who wants to sell his goods. This problem consists to minimize the total distance traveled by a fleet of homogeneous trucks to ensure delivery. From a mathematical point of view, we can define the vehicle routing problem as a complete directed graph $G=(V, E)$, where $V=\{V_1, V_2, \dots, V_n\}$ is the set of N vertices and the set of arcs. Each arc (i, j) as an associated cost $C_{ij} > 0$.

The following notations are used in the model formulation. Additional notations will be introduced when needed throughout the paper:

Sets:

i indiquant the product, $i=1, \dots, N$,

j indiquant the plant, $j=1, \dots, M$,

t indiquant the period, $t=1, \dots, T$,

K indiquant set of vehicles

Decision Variables:

X_{ijt} quantity of item i to be produced at plant j during period t ,

$Y_{ijt} \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if there is a setup for item } i \text{ at plant } j, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

S_{ijt} quantity to be stored of item i to be produced at plant j during period t ,

$Z_{ij't}$ quantity of item i transferred from plant j to plant j' in period t ,

$X_{ijkt} \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if arc } (i, j) \text{ is traversed by vehicle } k \text{ in shipping period } t, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

$A_{jkt} \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if plant } j \text{ is visited by vehicle } k \text{ in shipping period } t, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

B_{jkt} arrival time of vehicle k at location j in period t ,

D_{ijkl} quantity of product i to be delivered to location j by vehicle k in shipping period t to be consumed in period l .

Parameters:

D_{ijt} demand for item i at plant j during period t ,

V_{ijt} cost of setup for item i at plant j during period t ,

P_{ijt} unit production cost of item i at plant j in period t ,

H_{ijt} cost of carrying inventory for item i at plant j during period t ,

$J_{ij't}$ unit minimum transfer cost of item i from plant j to j' in period t ,

M_{jt} available capacity of production at plant j in period t ,

a_{ijt} time to produce a unit of item i at plant j in period t ;

b_{ijt} setup time to produce item i at plant j in period t ;

λ_{ijt} unit storage consumption at plant j for period t ,

N_{jt} storage capacity at plant j at period t ,

M a very large number,

H_j capacity of the warehouse location j ,

f_{ij} holding cost of product i location j ,

d_{ijl} demand for product i location j in consumption period l ,

V_k capacity of vehicle k ,

t_{ij} travel time between i and j ,

C_{ij} travel cost between i and j ,

$[a_j, b_j]$ service time window of location j repeated in every period.

Objective Function:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Min} \quad & \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^M \sum_{t=1}^T [V_{ijt} Y_{ijt} + P_{ijt} X_{ijt} + H_{ijt} S_{ijt}] \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j, j' \in M} \sum_{t=1}^T J_{ij't} Z_{ij't} + \\ & \sum_{(i,j) \in V} \sum_{k \in K} \sum_{t=1}^T C_{ij} X_{ijkt} + \sum_{i \in V'} \sum_{p \in P} \sum_{k \in K} \sum_{h=0}^t \sum_{l=t+1}^T f_{ij} D_{ijkhl} \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Subjet to:

$$\begin{aligned} S_{ij,t-1} + X_{ijt} + \sum_{j' \in M} Z_{ij',jt} &= S_{ijt} + D_{ijt} + \sum_{j' \in M} Z_{ij,j't} \\ \forall i \in N; \forall j \in M; \forall t &= 1, \dots, T \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_{ijt} S_{ijt} \leq N_{jt} \quad \forall j \in M; \forall t = 1, \dots, T \quad (3)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^M (\sigma_{ijj't} Z_{ijj't}) \leq W_{jj't} \quad \forall j' \in M; \forall t = 1, \dots, T \quad (4)$$

$$X_{ijt} \leq M_{ijt} Y_{ijt} \quad \forall i \in N; \forall j \in M; \forall t = 1, \dots, T \quad (5)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^N (a_{ijt} X_{ijt} + b_{ijt} Y_{ijt}) \leq M_{jt} \quad \forall j \in M; \forall t = 1, \dots, T \quad (6)$$

$$X_{ijt} \leq \sum_{l=1}^L \sum_{r=t}^T D_{lri} Y_{ijt} \quad \forall i \in N; \forall j \in M; \forall t = 1, \dots, T \quad (7)$$

$$X_{ijt} \geq Y_{ijt} \quad \forall i \in N; \forall j \in M; \forall t = 1, \dots, T \quad (8)$$

$$\sum_{i \in V'} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{l=t}^T D_{ijkhl} \leq V_k \quad \forall k \in K; \forall t = 1, \dots, T \quad (9)$$

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{j \in V'} X_{ijkt} = A_{ikt} & \forall i \in V; \forall k \in K; \forall t = 1, \dots, T \\ \sum_{j \in V'} X_{jikl} = A_{ikt} & \forall i \in V; \forall k \in K; \forall t = 1, \dots, T \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

$$B_{jkt} + t_{ij} \leq B_{jkt} + M(1 - X_{ijkt}) \quad \forall i \in V'; \forall j \in V'; \forall k \in K; \forall t = 1, \dots, T \quad (11)$$

$$a_j \leq B_{jkt} \leq b_j \quad \forall j \in V; \forall k \in K; \forall t = 1, \dots, T \quad (12)$$

$$X_{ijt}, S_{ijt}, Z_{ijj't}, B_{jkt}, D_{ijklt} \geq 0 \quad \forall i \in N; \forall j \in M; \forall t = 1, \dots, T \quad (13)$$

$$Y_{ijt}, X_{ijkt}, A_{jkt} \in \{0, 1\} \quad \forall i \in N; \forall j \in M; \forall t = 1, \dots, T \quad (14)$$

The objective function aims to minimize the production, setup, inventory and transportation costs. Constraints (2) ensure that the demand is satisfied by production at plant j in period t or by inventory from the previous period in the same demanded plant or by production transfers from another plant. The production storage capacity constraint at plant j for period t is given by (3). The transfer capacity constraint when transferring items from plant j to plant j' at period t is given by (4). Constraint (5) defines that the production limit for each product.

Constraints (6) restrict the production capacity of each plant j and each period t ; the constraints (7) represent the respect of the upper limit of production for this request. Constraint (8) ensures the obligation to manufacture if there is a setup operation. Constraints (9) are added to the formulation to impose vehicle capacities. Constraints (10) are the so called vehicle flow conservation constraints. In order to define arrival times at each location for each vehicle,

constraints (11) are added. The time window of each retail site, which is repeated in every period, is imposed by constraints (12).

(13) and (14) characterize the variable's domain: X_{ijt} , S_{ijt} , $Z_{ijj't}$, B_{jkt} and D_{ijklt} are non-negative for $i=1, \dots, N$; $j=1, \dots, M$ and $t=1, \dots, T$ and Y_{ijt} , X_{ijkt} and A_{jkt} is a binary variable for $i=1, \dots, N$; $j=1, \dots, M$ and $t=1, \dots, T$.

Our idea for the proposed approach is to combine the two problems (production and transport) in one common model. This optimization problem is NP-hard, the use of exact methods are usually effective for the problems of small, but for large size problems, these methods require a lot of computing time.

Various decomposition mechanisms are adapted to ensure that multi-item capacitated lot sizing and the vehicle routing aspects of the problem can be solved, regardless of its size.

In this case, the use of the heuristics where the metaheuristics allows to obtained solutions very close to optimality, good quality solutions in the reasonable time. We work essentially on the notions of neighborhood in the local search and disturbances. Thus, the variable neighborhoods search method that exploits the neighborhood structure change.

3. LSVNS Approach for Solving the MICLSP-VRP-DTW

In this section, we propose a hybrid algorithm combining variable neighborhood decomposition search with mixed integer programming (MIP), which is based on the basic variable neighborhood search. Thus, the metaheuristics are an efficient tool for solving the multi-item capacitated lot-sizing with vehicle routing problem. Due to the difficulty encountered by exact methods for solving the NP-hard problems.

3.1. An Effective Local Search Method

We can strengthen the variable neighborhood structure within a disturbance phase and a local search phase that allows for efficient exploration. The local search that we use is a variable neighborhood search that uses two neighborhood structures; the process consists to move from one solution to another by applying a perturbation.

This combinatorial optimization problem based on the concept of neighborhood among the possible solutions that can be expressed in the form of a research permutations.

Our idea for the proposed approach is consists of breaking down the problem into two sub-problems with a general structure divided into three blocks: a production block, a transport block and a block of delivery time windows. The first consists of the binary variables that will be solved by an approximate method for determining the production days for each product at each site over the time horizon. The second comprises continuous variables that will be solved by an exact method based on mathematical programming to

determine the production and storage quantities at each site for each item during each period. For the production part on each site, we seek to minimize manufacturing and storage costs in the planning horizon of a site, while respecting the constraints of consumption of production capacity. The goal is to introduce a link between the production of components and their transport between different sites. To find compatible points between the Multi-Item Capacitated Lot Sizing Problem and Vehicle Routing Problem with Delivery Time Windows (MICLSP-VRP-DTW), which have distinct characteristics, we have carried out several tests. For which we have decomposed the problem into several subsets that correspond to intervals of periods and we choose the variables of decision of setup Y_{ijt} and of flow of vehicle A_{ijt} to fix. So, we work with the possible combinations. We get the initial solutions by sequentially solving the production planning, production-delivery and the vehicle routing subproblems. We begin by describing the way how to determine the initial solution of the problem. First, all the elements of the matrix Y_{ijt} , are initialized to 1 for all the items i produced on the site j and during all the periods t and the mathematical program will be solved. In order to eliminate these cases, for each $X_{ijt} = 0$ we have set $Y_{ijt} = 0$ for item i and site j for the period considered. On the basis of this modification within matrix Y , the problem will be reformulated and resolved. These steps will be repeated until there is no quantity which corresponds to a preparation Y_{ijt} different from zero.

We propose an approximate procedure based on the local search for the improvement of this solution. Performing movements in the preparation sequence, the neighborhood of such a solution can be defined by the solution obtained by changing one or more components simultaneously.

Local search uses the operator defined by the neighborhood relationship to converge less rapidly to a local optimum. Indeed, this study pushes us to design a local search simple to use and very effective that we based on two structures of neighborhood. This local search is considered fast, it is directly related to the choice of the neighborhood relation used by the value of the objective function which will be calculated after each movement. Subsequently, a new local best optimum will be found and the second neighborhood structure will be realized. Thus, a local search getting a local optimum can continue to iteratively improve a current solution by exploring the movement based on two changes. For this problem, whose solutions can be represented by all possible permutation movements, for each item i manufactures at site j during each period t . This operator consists of swapping the values of two distinct periods for a single item i produced at site j in matrix Y . The improvement phase is based on a variable neighborhood algorithm in which we use a decomposition scheme that transforms the problem of a multi-product problem into a single-product problem.

3.2. Variable Neighborhood Search

In this section, we propose another method, based on the

variable neighborhood search algorithm, to solve our problem. This algorithm is a relatively metaheuristic concept developed by Mladenovic, which involves a systematic change in neighborhood structures of the current best solution within randomized local search [14].

The idea was to use the variable neighborhood search and got better results. Using mathematical programming formulations of the problem in different steps of variable neighborhood search has formulation space ingredient.

The main advantage of VNS algorithm, it's capable of finding the optimal solution the routing and lot sizing aspects of the problem, regardless of its size.

The variable neighborhood search algorithm is the most widely used approach to solve various optimization problems. We first identify the decomposition procedure and a local search scheme. For this new formulation and for the transport block we are interested in determining the tours of fleet in the vehicles who must deliver whose goal is to minimize the cost associated with these tours. In this case, we seek to build for each site and each period a set of touring to pick up exactly the quantity of items needed. For this, we proposed to solve the capacitated vehicle routing problem with binary variables using a local search algorithm that will allow us to generate solutions following two neighborhood structures. The first is to introduce or eliminate a manufacturing site while the second will allow us to change a manufacturing site by another.

This algorithm builds a set of the rounds touring for satisfying exact the quantity of product i to be delivered to location j by vehicle k in shipping period t to be consumed in consumption period l , this for each service time window of location j repeated in every period.

We propose two neighborhood structures within one or a more local search method allows setup an effective exploration. These two approaches are broken down into two phases who consisting in constructing a routing scheme in order to minimize the use of the vehicles, that is to say to determine the minimum number of vehicles needed, to identify them touring whose transport cost is also minimal.

This consists of determining the production quantities at each site by minimizing the cost of transport and solving the sub problem. Then, we seek to improve this initial solution by taking into account all the costs defined in the objective function of the problem. The algorithm will stop when the local optimum of the second procedure and the first procedure is the same.

4. Solving a Real Case in the Agro-alimentary Sector

This section provides computational experiments which are used to evaluate the performance of our algorithm.

Our algorithm of the mathematical programming and metaheuristics were implemented in C++ and computational tests were run on a Pentium IV 3.2GHz PC with 1GB RAM microprocessor-based personal computer. Here, the

mathematical model proposed to organize the delivery rounds so as to minimize the total cost function on each product and on each site, while satisfying the demand and constraints of transport and storage capacity. Erromdhani performed tests on a series of instances from a real situation, initially described in [22]. The acquisition of the data is done starting from a textual file which contains following information: the number of vehicles available for this period and their capacities, the number of planning periods, the demand per type per period, the availability of production resources and the unit production period per type.

The decomposition strategy is too common to find approaches that decompose the problems into sets of periods or products and retail sites requires.

The data for these instances is collected from a company in Tunisia. For each of the problem instances, the information on customer demands as well as their locations is obtained from the company. In order to validate our proposed approach, we consider a real case study a meat processing center where several meat products are processed and delivered to several meat stores. The characteristics of the problem include two families: chicken and turkey. Its activities consist in raising, slaughtering and selling of poultries. The planning horizon (T) is set to a week subdivided into 7 periods.

In this paper, we have analyzed one such a real-life multi-product lot-sizing problem multi-site with a transportation capacity. Consider the case study following with eleven items and four sites. Numerical values are given as follows: (Table 1 and Table 2).

The experimental results of delivery and stock quantities are summarized in Table 3 and Table 4.

Table 1 and table 2 shows partial data for the products with

different sites of some finished items for chicken's case. In these problems, the number of items varies between 1 and 40 and the planning horizon is set to a week subdivided into 7 periods.

In this first set of experiments, we test different values of the parameter that determines the values of the production cost and of the production inventory cost. Results are presented in tables 3 and 4. Each lines in these tables provide aggregated results for the four sites. The results suggest that our metaheuristic provides better results are very efficient with regards to the minimization of the number of vehicles.

In order to evaluate the efficiency of our algorithms, we test a large volume database from a real case of the food industry is used for a product-transport combination (multi-plant, multi-item, multi -period). Our approach is compared based on the value of the objective function. We calculated the difference between the value of the objective function of the initial solution and that of the solution of our method.

The cost of the solutions of these instances decreases on average by 24.07% (Table 5) compared to the initial solutions. Indeed, the manufacturer has several items and several alternative production lines, the references are classified by product families and by sites. Table 4 gives a summary of the results obtained on these instances. In this table, we report for each product site the average of the optimal values obtained by compared to our approach.

To evaluate the quality of the solutions obtained by our variable neighborhood search algorithms, we use the total cost of the objective function and the number of vehicles. The approach based on the variable neighborhood search algorithm and mixed integer programming model, which we have developed, shows that for this real case, we get on average very good quality solutions.

Table 1. The prediction of the data for the 11products-4sites of some finished items for chicken's case.

[illegible]

Table 1. Continue.

[illegible]

Table 2. The prediction of the data for the 11products-4sites of some finished items for chicken's case (continued).

[illegible]

Table 2. Continue.

[illegible]

Table 3. Delivery and stock quantities in our finished item.

Finished Item			t=1	t=2	t=3	t=4	t=5	t=6	t=7
Site1	Chicken	X _{ijt}	1800	1550	965	1300	1800	1088	1700
		S _{ijt}	0	50	35	12	26	12	21
		D _{ijktl}	1650	1410	895	1120	1710	1050	1480
	Turkey	X _{ijt}	1000	1200	2300	1800	1300	2100	1600
		S _{ijt}	0	14	31	14	34	15	45
		D _{ijktl}	965	1100	2100	1800	1205	1845	1480
	Chicken in the small boot	X _{ijt}	402	350	320	240	316	280	300
		S _{ijt}	42	68	72	80	63	15	40
		D _{ijktl}	362	340	290	190	310	255	295
	Scallop selling	X _{ijt}	380	300	240	300	489	189	280
		S _{ijt}	33	62	71	35	80	32	90
		D _{ijktl}	362	269	189	239	447	171	251
Number of vehicles			9	7	3	4	4	4	3
Site2	Chicken	X _{ijt}	1750	1460	945	1072	924	1062	1325
		S _{ijt}	0	60	55	28	26	0	25
		D _{ijktl}	1600	1400	900	1012	866	1050	1289
	Turkey	X _{ijt}	1030	1510	1980	1600	1285	1483	1530
		S _{ijt}	70	10	27	0	85	20	32
		D _{ijktl}	930	1310	1740	1500	1215	1373	1410
	Chicken in the small boot	X _{ijt}	570	231	389	285	319	375	420
		S _{ijt}	20	42	57	15	10	25	0
		D _{ijktl}	542	220	375	265	302	355	415
	Scallop selling	X _{ijt}	360	379	282	465	247	355	300
		S _{ijt}	5	4	15	42	55	40	59
		D _{ijktl}	535	200	370	259	300	352	410
Number of vehicles			8	7	4	4	5	5	4

Table 4. Delivery and stock quantities in our finished item (continued).

Finished Item			t=1	t=2	t=3	t=4	t=5	t=6	t=7
Site3	Chicken	X _{ijt}	1650	1775	1050	1685	1920	1150	1710
		S _{ijt}	0	75	20	79	55	0	10
		D _{ijktl}	1600	1725	1000	1625	1910	1140	1650
	Turkey	X _{ijt}	1100	1260	2445	1980	1512	2010	1405
		S _{ijt}	0	10	35	48	10	10	5
		D _{ijktl}	1110	1245	2410	1879	1500	2000	1400
	Chicken in the small boot	X _{ijt}	455	280	380	280	315	410	525
		S _{ijt}	5	5	0	12	3	8	5
		D _{ijktl}	435	275	365	267	312	401	522
	Scallop selling	X _{ijt}	440	390	295	389	526	279	360
		S _{ijt}	0	5	10	4	9	10	15
		D _{ijktl}	425	387	290	382	511	269	348
Number of vehicles			9	7	5	8	6	6	7
Site4	Chicken	X _{ijt}	2010	1450	1052	1105	1151	1259	1580
		S _{ijt}	45	5	2	7	3	7	5
		D _{ijktl}	2005	1380	1047	1100	1109	1250	1520
	Turkey	X _{ijt}	1000	1505	1950	1655	1402	1485	1659
		S _{ijt}	0	5	0	5	2	4	7
		D _{ijktl}	995	1500	1942	1635	1400	1482	1600
	Chicken in the small boot	X _{ijt}	455	285	370	298	312	415	519
		S _{ijt}	2	7	5	4	3	6	8
		D _{ijktl}	449	281	365	289	301	412	512
	Scallop selling	X _{ijt}	365	375	272	419	285	320	391
		S _{ijt}	3	1	0	7	5	12	10
		D _{ijktl}	362	372	268	412	267	310	387
Number of vehicles			9	7	6	5	7	6	5

Table 5. Computational experiments of the proposed approach.

Periods	Initial solution objective				Final solution objective			
	Site1	Site2	Site3	Site4	Site1	Site2	Site3	Site4
T=1	92727.87	89503.12	69217.76	82728.25	61167.62	58329.95	53834.98	59437.94
T=2	60248.84	97046.52	84746.20	82151.64	51638.74	47715.36	48495.46	50826.50
T=3	100160.73	66640.92	62165.50	86624.74	74513.64	58962.30	57473.90	62149.38
T=4	17684.72	15370.90	15342.20	15717.54	15185.92	15189.28	15087.90	15087.90
T=5	52039.36	66978.80	56825.44	58136.60	47607.90	49285.30	44848.46	45545.46
T=6	75955.70	60795.90	56907.27	56579.60	58907.80	50208.95	50597.95	50218.52
T=7	70998.92	77178.32	94616.46	93779.50	61672.67	55846.76	63595.56	64606.96
Global average	67116.59	67644.92	62831.54	67959.69	52956.32	47933.98	47704.88	49696.09

Table 6. Number of vehicles and percentage of improvement.

Periods	Number of vehicles				Number of vehicles after improvement				Improvement (%)			
	Site1	Site2	Site3	Site4	Site1	Site2	Site3	Site4	Site1	Site2	Site3	Site4
T=1	11	13	14	13	9	8	9	8	18.18	38.46	35.71	30.77
T=2	9	10	11	12	7	7	7	7	22.22	30.00	36.36	41.66
T=3	5	7	7	6	3	4	5	6	40.00	42.85	28.57	00.00
T=4	4	6	8	8	4	4	8	5	00.00	33.33	00.00	37.50
T=5	6	7	9	9	4	5	4	6	33.33	28.57	33.33	22.22
T=6	4	5	7	6	4	5	6	6	00.00	00.00	14.28	00.00
T=7	4	4	10	7	2	4	7	4	25.00	00.00	30.00	28.57
Global average									19.818	24.744	25.464	22.96

Table 6. Continued.

Sites	Number of vehicles		Percentage (%)
1	43		23.25
2	52		28.84
3	66		30.30
4	61		31.14
Total	222		158

Results in table 5 and table 6 show the difference in the improvement between initial solution objective and final solution objective cost after improvement. From the table we can also observe the amelioration on the total number of vehicle used during the seven periods. In fact, the number of vehicles was reduced from 222 in current solution to 158 in the heuristic solution.

5. Conclusion

In this paper, we have discussed the importance of integrating the analysis for production and transport for a meat store chain. A novel mathematical formulation was proposed to solve multi-period multi-product multi-site production planning problem and vehicle routing problem with delivery time windows.

Our computational results show the interest of mathematical programming and the effectiveness of the developed local search for the multi-item capacitated lot sizing problem and vehicle routing problem. A real-life case study based on these metaheuristic algorithms would make an interesting research problem. The approach presented might have potential applications to a variety of lot sizing problems and the transportation. This problem deserves a much more in-depth study, which is why we have decided to leave this work in perspective.

References

- [1] Amorim, P., Gunther, H. O. and Almada-Lobo, B. Multi-objective integrated production and distribution planning of perishable products. *International Journal Production Economics*, (2012). 138, 89-101.
- [2] Bard, J. F. and Nananukul, N. The integrated production-inventory-distribution- routing problem. *Journal of Scheduling*, (2009). 3, 257-280.
- [3] Boudia, M., Louly, M. A. O. and Prins, C. A reactive GRASP and path relinking for a combined production-distribution problem. *Computers & Operations Research*, (2007). 34, 3402-3419.
- [4] Chandra, P. and Fisher, M. L. Coordination of production and distribution planning. *European Journal of Operational Research*, (1994). 72, 503-517.
- [5] Chern, C. C. and Hsieh, J. S. A heuristic algorithm for master planning that satisfies multiple objectives. *Computers & Operations Research*, (2007). 34, 3491-3513.
- [6] Chen, W. H. and Thizy, J. M. Analysis of relaxations for the multi-item capacitated lot-sizing problem. *Annals of Operations Research*, (1990). 26, 29-72.
- [7] Eppen, G. D. and Martin, R. K. Solving Multi-Item Capacitated Lot-Sizing Problems Using Variable Redefinition. *Operations Research*, (1987). 35, 832-848.

- [8] Erromdhani, R., Eddaly, M., and Rebaï, A. Hierarchical production planning with flexibility in agroalimentary environment: a case study. *Journal of Intelligent Manufacturing*, (2012). 23, 811–819.
- [9] Glover, F., Jones, G., Karney, D., Klingman, D. and Mote, J. An integrated production, distribution, and inventory planning system. *Interfaces*, (1979). 9, 21–35.
- [10] Hwang, H. C. and Jaruphongsa, W. Dynamic lot-sizing model with demand time windows and speculative cost structure. *Operations Research Letters*, (2006). 34, 251–256.
- [11] Kanchanasuntorn, K. and Techanitisawad, A. An approximate periodic model for fixed-life perishable products in a two-echelon inventory-distribution system. *International Journal of Production Economics*, (2006). 100, 101–115.
- [12] Lei, L., Liu, S., Park, S. and Ruszczynski, A. On the integrated production, inventory, and distribution routing problem. *IIE Transactions*, (2006). 38, 955–970.
- [13] Lejeune, M. A. A variable neighborhood decomposition search method for supply chain management planning problems. *European Journal of Operational Research*, (2006). 175, 959–976.
- [14] Mladenovic, N., Todosijevic, R., and Urošević, D. AN EFFICIENT GENERAL VARIABLE NEIGHBORHOOD SEARCH FOR LARGE TRAVELLING SALESMAN PROBLEM WITH TIME WINDOWS. *Yugoslav Journal of Operations Research*, (2013). 23, 19–30.
- [15] Neves-Moreira, F., Almada-Lobo, B., Cordeau, J. F., Guimarães, L. and Jans, R. Solving a large multi-product production-routing problem with delivery time windows. *Omega*, (2018). 1–19.
- [16] Nezhad, A. M., Manzour, H. and Salhi, S. Lagrangian relaxation heuristics for the uncapacitated single source multi-product facility location problem. *International Journal of Production Economics*, (2013). 145, 713–723.
- [17] Pochet, Y. and Wolsey, L. A. *Production Planning By Mixed Integer Programming*. Springer Series in Operations Research and Financial Engineering. (2006).
- [18] Sambasivan, M. and Yahya, S. A Lagrangean-based Heuristic for Multi-plant, Multi-item, Multi-period Capacitated Lot-sizing Problems with Inter-plant Transfers. *Computers & Operations Research*, (2005). 32, 537–555.
- [19] Solyali, Q., Süral, H. A Relaxation Based Solution Approach for the Inventory Control and Vehicle Routing Problem in Vendor Managed Systems. *Modeling Computation and Optimization*, (2009). 2000, 171–189.
- [20] Thomas, L. J., Trigeiro, W. W. and McClain, J. O. Capacitated Lot Sizing with Setup Times. *Management Science*, (1989). 35, 353–366.
- [21] Wolsey, L. A. Lot-sizing with production and delivery time windows. *Mathematical Programming*, (2006). 107, 471–489.
- [22] Yee Lee, C., Çetinkaya, S., and Wagelmans, A. P. M. A Dynamic Lot-Sizing Model with Demand Time Windows. *Management Science*, (2000). 47, 1384–1395.