

Knowledge-Based Dynamic Capabilities and Competitive Advantage: In Case of Commercial Bank of Ethiopia

Fanta Tariku Wadajo^{1,*}, Chalchisa Amentie Kero²

¹Department of Management, College of Business and Economics, Wollega University, Nekemte, Ethiopia

²Department of Management, College of Business and Economics, Jimma University, Jimma, Ethiopia

Email address:

ftariku@gmail.com (Fanta Tariku Wadajo), chalchissa@yahoo.com (Chalchisa Amentie Kero)

*Corresponding author

To cite this article:

Fanta Tariku Wadajo, Chalchisa Amentie Kero. Knowledge-Based Dynamic Capabilities and Competitive Advantage: In Case of Commercial Bank of Ethiopia. *International Journal of Science and Qualitative Analysis*. Vol. 9, No. 2, 2023, pp. 32-38.
doi: 10.11648/j.ijjsqa.20230902.11

Received: October 12, 2023; **Accepted:** October 27, 2023; **Published:** November 21, 2023

Abstract: The main goal of this study was to understand how knowledge-based dynamic capabilities contributed to Commercial Bank of Ethiopia's competitive advantage from the perspective of practitioners. The rational of this study is to explore the concepts of both Knowledge & dynamic capability views which have not yet been combined into a single framework. Consequently, a qualitative interpretive and cross sectional research design were used. Also semi-structured in-depth interview were made with nine district human resource directorate managers to collect data. Data obtained from interview were analyzed based on the thematic methods of the areas. The interview was completely converted into a record & the records were reviewed in detail and notes were taken. The findings of the study shows that knowledge based dynamic capability can enhance the competitive advantage of an organization by combining the dimensions of knowledge capability and dynamic capability. The knowledge process capability dimensions are crucial for enhancing an organization's competitive advantage, according to the interview's findings. The same is true for the dynamic capability dimensions, such as absorptive, innovative, and adaptive capabilities, which are crucial for competitive developments. Additionally, combining knowledge capabilities dimensions with dynamic capabilities dimensions can strengthen the competitive advantage. Lastly the study suggested that knowledge based dynamic capability has to be extensively researched to fill the evidence based literature gap.

Keywords: Knowledge Process Capability, Dynamic Capability, Knowledge Based Capability & Competitive Advantage

1. Introduction

In the today's competition, how firms create and sustain a competitive advantage has become an increasing field of interest for both scholars and practitioners. Firms are told to increase and improve their intangible-based elements to remain competitive, so they must pay particular attention to issues such as knowledge management, intellectual capital, core competences, dynamic capabilities or competitive intelligence. Meanwhile, the theoretical foundations of research on competitive advantages have covered a variety of approaches. Some of them have used the discourse of sustained competitive advantage based on a combination of resources and capabilities and others have approached from the knowledge based view [17]. In the fast changing business

environment, knowledge has become the mainstay of every organization in creating and sustaining competitive differentiation. In recent times a new branch of management has emerged as knowledge management [20]. It serves as the source and stock of knowledge and the flow of knowledge that knowledge creation, sharing and application to create and/or sustain organizational value and competitive advantage [24]. Knowledge management has also been treated as an essential strategic initiative of sustainable competitive advantage for firms [17]. Firms can gain a competitive advantage by possessing and managing unique, difficult to-imitate, and hard to substitute resources [7].

In addition to Knowledge management, dynamic capability interaction has contribution for firms performance; [38] Scholar argue that in order to create sustainable performance, firms have to build the ability to create new

forms of competitive advantage by appropriately adapting, integrating, and reconfiguring organizational skills, resources, and competencies to match the requirements of an everchanging environment.

In the quest for a competitive advantage, these schools of thought have been treated as distinct and applied in isolation, but they are actually interconnected. The idea of dynamic capabilities has its roots in the resource-view, but it also seems to be closely related to the knowledge-view of strategic management [2]. It has been suggested that a focus on knowledge processes alone is insufficient to generate a consistent flow of knowledge to and from the stocks of knowledge within a company. In contrast, an overemphasis on dynamic capabilities alone can cause issues in the absence of understanding of the intricate processes involved in managing knowledge effectively [3, 30].

Knowledge management (KM) and dynamic capabilities (DCs) have emerged as the twin touchstones in scholarly discussions on how to steer organizations towards success in dynamic environments [14]. The genesis of these pivotal concepts lies in the knowledge-based view (KBV) and the dynamic capability view (DCV) respectively [17, 38]. Recently, the two fields have started acknowledging the importance of each other [14]. Researchers have recognized that KM capabilities are dynamic in nature while DCs are inherently knowledge-based [22]. Researchers with an interest in both fields have begun to combine resources from each and propose "knowledge-based dynamic capabilities" (KBDCs) as a way to bridge the two together [42, 13, 22]. Thus, this study focuses on how the joint applications of the dyad of KM and DCs enhance organizational competitiveness.

As a result, finding ways for organization to outperform competitors has become the ultimate aim of strategic management research [19]. Gradually, the focus shifted to the emergence of newer paradigms, including the Resource-Based View, Knowledge-Based View, and Dynamic Capability View.

According to the resource-based perspective, the main sources of competitive advantage are resources that are simultaneously valuable, rare, imperfectly imitable, and non-substitutable (VRIN) [7, 36]. However, further research revealed that having resources is an essential but not sufficient requirement for competitiveness [4]. Additionally, it was found that the Resource-Based View was a static view which is insufficient for framing corporate strategy in the current dynamic environment [16, 8]. Two schools of the economizing approach—Dynamic Capability View and Knowledge-Based View—emerged as a result of the potential to treat Resource-Based View as a process-oriented dynamic approach [34, 40]. The ability of the company to combine, develop, and reconfigure internal and external competencies to address rapidly changing environments is referred to as a firm's "dynamic capabilities" [38]. Although the idea of dynamic capabilities was introduced in the 1990s, there is still disagreement among researchers regarding the precise nature of the relationship between dynamic capabilities and a

firm's competitive advantage. According to some authors [23, 25, 39] dynamic capabilities are the key to achieving competitive advantage. However, according to others dynamic capabilities cannot be a source of competitive advantage because they do not exhibit the characteristics of heterogeneity [14, 32, 31].

In a similar fashion, a more systematic modelling approach for the Knowledge Management Process Capabilities framework is required [33]. Also the method by which knowledge management techniques result in competitiveness has remained a totally unclear and understudied area [1, 18, 26, 29, 35, 37]. Furthermore, the study of knowledge management process capabilities has not yet combined knowledge acquisition, knowledge combination, and knowledge protection into a single framework.

The Dynamic Capability View and Knowledge Based View, which were previously treated separately, can now be used together to further the ultimate goal and increase the likelihood of outperforming competitors. To truly create the KBDC, higher order dynamic capabilities and knowledge process capabilities should be combined.

The focus of majority of studies has been on explanation of factors contributing to sustainability of Competitive Advantage thereby ignoring how Competitive Advantages can actually be gained at the first place [3]. For instance, the domain of Knowledge Management Process Capabilities in general and the mechanism through which Knowledge Management practices yield Competitiveness in particular have been termed as vague and understudied areas in strategic management research [37]. Furthermore, literature has still not adequately addressed the specific impact of each Knowledge Management Process independently on Competitiveness of a firm [18]. This gap in research has translated into lack of proper understanding on the part of managers with regards to the ways in which knowledge, as a resource, can be leveraged [15, 22]. The specific gap in literature when addressed through the present study can lead to better comprehension of component parts and processes of knowledge which can thereby facilitate management of corporations in gaining Competitive Advantages.

Similar to this, dynamic capabilities research is still in its infancy [11, 41]. As a result, there are a number of issues that are limiting the Dynamic Capability Approach's potential contribution, including an inadequate theoretical foundation, a lack of empirical evidence, an unclear value-added in comparison to existing concepts, [10, 16, 27, 28, 43]. There is no consensus among researchers regarding the role of Dynamic Capabilities in achieving Competitive Advantage by firms which presses upon the need for such empirical research to establish the correct nature of this role [12]. Researchers argue that if a theoretical and empirical foundation for the Dynamic Capability View is not developed soon, the focus of strategic management may soon shift away from the promising concept [6, 10].

According to the literature, traditional conceptions of strategic management and their variations are no longer relevant in the current new economy [41]. As a result, new

paradigms are required to explain competitive advantage in the current environment. Researchers have suggested integrating the Dynamic Capabilities Approach with the Knowledge Based View of the Firm as a future area of research to move in that direction [21]. Scholar put the direction for future research and testing on the idea of knowledge-based dynamic capabilities will be necessary [42].

Prior studies in the area have mainly concentrated on companies that operate in developed countries [25]. There are many differences between businesses in developed and developing countries, which raises concerns about the strategy theory's theoretical completeness and exposes a significant gap in the literature. Thus this study focused in Ethiopia particularly concentrating on Commercial bank of Ethiopia to explore the concepts of both Knowledge & dynamic capability views which have not yet been combined into a single framework. By addressing the following research question, the current study aims to close the gaps that have been found.

1. How Knowledge Process Capabilities affect firm's Competitive Advantage?
2. How Higher-Order Dynamic Capabilities (Adaptive Capability, Absorptive Capability and Innovative Capability) affect firm's Competitive Advantage?
3. How Knowledge-Based Dynamic Capabilities achieve Competitive Advantage for CBE?

The study's primary goal is to assist organizations in achieving competitive advantage for CBE by emphasizing on knowledge-based dynamic capabilities; that combine two distinct perspectives on superior capabilities—dynamic capabilities and knowledge-based approach—into one. Specifically, to explore how Knowledge Processes capabilities (Acquisition, Combination and Protection of Knowledge), and Higher-Order Dynamic Capabilities (Adaptive Capability, Absorptive Capability) affect firm's Competitive Advantage. Also it discovers how Knowledge-Based Dynamic Capabilities achieve Competitive Advantage for CBE.

2. Method

The main goal of this study was to understand how knowledge-based dynamic capabilities contributed to Commercial Bank of Ethiopia's competitive advantage from the perspective of practitioners. Consequently, a qualitative interpretive strategy was used. An inductive or theory-building approach is the qualitative interpretive approach. It is one in which the researcher is considered a part of the research process and makes an effort to uncover significance and comprehend broad interrelationships in the context they study.

By revealing meaning, you can better understand how and why things happen [44]. In-depth interviews with CBE managers were conducted for the survey, and analytical techniques were used. Managers were chosen because they have a thorough understanding of the competitive and knowledge-based dynamic capability dimensions of the

banks. A single case study and a cross-sectional field study were also used to accomplish the study's goal. Because using just one administration research tool, cross-sectional and specifically sample survey studies are particularly helpful for obtaining a representation of the reality of a social structure.

Miles, M. B. et al. [45] emphasized that sampling for qualitative research should be "purposive" rather than random. Therefore, purposively the samples of a nine district Human resource directorate (Knowledge management team) managers of CBE located in Addis Ababa were taken. As a method of data collection, in-depth interviews are recommended if the purpose of the study is to understand an event, activity, process, or one or more individuals [44]. This suggests the suitability of in-depth interviews for this study. Thus, primary sources of in-depth semi-structured interview were made with these nine managers to get data from them.

The data analysis in this study was done using thematic methods. For qualitative research in social studies, thematic analysis is a well-liked technique. It is described as "the method for identifying, analysing, and reporting patterns (themes) within data" [9]. To be more precise, identifying themes and codes will be applied to the raw data from the interviews before being processed and interpreted. The interview was completely converted into a record & the records were reviewed in detail and notes were taken. The comparison the thematic results were made by the author was with the theory framework of the literature review. The author examined whether or not these themes were associated positively or negatively with the theory and whether they did or did not support it. Finally, the author provided a formal written summary and presentation of the findings.

3. Finding and Discussion

Knowledge Acquisition Themes: Companies must have these processes in place that draw on the existing knowledge base to produce new knowledge if they want to build their knowledge acquisition capability. The acquisition of new knowledge within the confines of the companies can also be assisted by practices like job rotation, reviewing client feedback from prior projects, looking for ideas, and documenting employee knowledge. New knowledge can be acquired outside of organizations by interacting more with clients, vendors, and other business partners, working with companies with extensive knowledge bases, using competitive intelligence to gather market data, buying patented processes and databases, hiring new staff, and investing in the training and development of current staff. Companies can also focus on learning everything they can about their clients' business processes and IT infrastructure to boost their organization's competitiveness.

Knowledge Combination Themes: After acquiring knowledge, businesses must put it to use and convert it, or combine it, because doing so helps to increase organizational competitiveness. Participants noted that Knowledge Combination plays a significant role in fostering organizations' adaptability, absorptiveness, and

innovativeness, which further strengthens their competitive advantage. Companies must effectively combine newly acquired knowledge with the existing body of knowledge in order to increase their Combination Capability. Additionally, businesses must integrate disparate, fragmented knowledge from across the organization into a cohesive knowledge base that can be used to launch new goods and services, address operational issues, support decision-making, automate routine tasks, and boost organizational effectiveness. Increased interactions between workers with different managerial/technical backgrounds can also make it easier to combine new ideas. Additionally, creating cross-functional teams can give businesses a platform for combining organizational knowledge. To gain access to these organizations' innovation and research facilities, businesses must also partner with other organizations. By collaborating with professionals from other companies, the companies can receive additional valuable inputs, ideas, and experiences. Collaborations with customers can be profitable for businesses as well. Additionally, more frequent interactions with industry specialists can assist businesses in obtaining industry-specific advice and persuade them in the direction of competitiveness. Frequent visits to businesses with cutting-edge technologies and expertise can also add to their knowledge bases and help them to be more valuable.

Knowledge Protection Themes: After successfully acquiring and combining knowledge, businesses must safeguard this information to preserve its specialized nature. Companies can assign employees to serve as knowledge gatekeepers to make sure Knowledge Capital is properly protected in order to strengthen Protection Capability. Companies must protect their intellectual property through the use of patents, trademarks, or copyrights. Strong daily procedures are also necessary to prevent unauthorized access to the confidential knowledge base by outsiders.

Additionally, businesses need to improve their internal controls to prevent knowledge theft and unauthorized use inside the company. Improved trade secret protection policies and practices must also receive special attention. Additionally, businesses must stress the value of knowledge protection to staff members and make it clear which information must remain internal only.

Adaptive capability Themes: Participants were questioned about how quickly their companies responded to opportunities and threats in their industry during the interview process. The majority of participants claimed that their companies responded quickly to market opportunities in response to the first part of the question. A large majority of participants claimed that their proactive approach to management, technology, and the market allowed them to respond quickly to business opportunities. Participants also reported that while they were quick to recognize threats to their companies, they did not immediately take action. Instead, they stated that they are happy to analyse and monitor threats so they can respond later, after they have had more time to gather data on their effects.

The majority of participants stated that they empower,

actively encourage, and provide their employees with the operational guidelines necessary to be successful in being adaptive. Employees of participant firms are urged to implement significant change whenever the chance presents itself. Many participants claimed that they gave their staff members the freedom and autonomy to take responsibility for their work, including direct customer contact. Due to the numerous market opportunities present in their industry, the majority of participants found it challenging to identify threats to their organization. Because their companies could quickly adapt and turn perceived threats into opportunities for their companies, some participants said they were generally tolerant of industry threats.

Absorptive Capability Themes: These themes demonstrate how participant companies improved their capacity to acquire, understand, and apply new information. The importance of learning new information to the success of their company was emphasized by the participants. Due to the fact that the majority of participants received information from informal learning communities, learning takes place gradually within their organizations through team meetings, practical training, top-down information dissemination, and internal communications. Participants likewise stated that their companies create goods and services to address the needs of their clients in order to take advantage of new information. Participants continuously search their business environment for information on new knowledge because change is a constant in the service industry.

Participants mentioned the ability to acquire, use, and profit from new knowledge and information as a crucial component of competitiveness in the banking sector. When the company acquired useful knowledge, it quickly turned it into an opportunity to assist current customers in solving their problems or used it to gradually improve their products.

Innovative Capability Themes: Many participants stated that they continuously seek to produce new knowledge, services, and products in order to remain competitive in their industry. By consistently seeking out novel ways to influence how technology is used now and in the future for the benefit of the bank, participants expressed that they are strategic in their use of technology. By developing services and products that can serve as the basis for later special services and products, participant firms' innovative capability is shown to create value for customers. Participants claimed that by meeting their customers' immediate needs today (meets customers' immediate needs), they are attempting to predict what their customers will want in the future. Participants used their capacity for innovation by looking into new ways to fulfil customers' future wants while also utilizing their internal systems to meet customers' immediate needs.

4. Conclusions

Organizations' capacity to acquire new knowledge, transform that knowledge, and apply that knowledge to the accomplishment of organizational goals determines their ability to gain a competitive advantage. When knowledge is

employed to produce uniqueness, it must be kept out of the hands of unauthorized users. As a result, the knowledge process capability dimensions are crucial for enhancing an organization's competitive advantage, according to the interview's findings.

The same is true for the dynamic capability dimensions, such as absorptive, innovative, and adaptive capabilities, which are crucial for competitive developments. Additionally, combining knowledge capabilities dimensions with dynamic capabilities dimensions can strengthen the competitive advantage.

5. Limitations and Suggestions for Future of Research

The study has its own limitations and calls for additional

research into the subject of knowledge based dynamic capabilities in the banking industry. First, there is still a lack of evidence-based research on the research topic. There is a clear gap in the literature currently available in this area of study. The knowledge based dynamic capability has not been extensively researched. Additionally, a single case study raises the issue of generalization, so it is advised that future researchers take into account multi-case studies.

Besides this, if another company proposes to compare or conduct this study on other sectors, the case company's findings might not be appropriate. Furthermore, because the study was cross-sectional and did not take into account gathering data at various points in time to see how it varied, a longitudinal study is advised. Finally, because this study is qualitative, it recommends that future researchers conduct mixed-methods studies.

Table 1. Summary of interview topic and interview responses.

Interview topic	Summary of interview response
Absorptive Capacity: How is your organization's ability to gain and use new knowledge? (Acquisition)	1. internal communications are the methods used 2. experiential learning, top down communication, and team meetings. 3. Communities with customers, working groups
How learning takes place within your organization? (Transformation)	4. continuously searching for new information within industry stakeholder groups
Adaptive Capacity:	
How quickly your organization instigates and/or responds to change within your industry?	1. Employees encouraged to try new ways of doing things 2. Empower employee, Flexible process 3. Reacting wisely to opportunities/threats. 4. quickly take advantage of opportunities & minimize threats within their industry. 5. changing internal processes and serving customers by feeling accountability.
Innovation Capability: How is your organization's ability to innovate or be innovative - Leveraging technological and intellectual assets in a new way to satisfy the needs and wants of the customer? How does your organization manage their innovative process including finding new opportunities and managing the ones they already have? How important is innovation to your company?	Innovation is continuous process it isn't one-time event scan their business environment for new innovations searching and exploit innovative opportunities simultaneously creating customer value
KM Process: Knowledge Acquisition:	
Does your organization have a strategy for capturing a new knowledge/ Acquisition Knowledge?	1. Gathering valuable information from the env't. 2. job rotation, evaluation of feedback of previous work, 3. brainstorming and documentation of knowledge of employees. 4. increased interaction with customers, suppliers and other business partners 5. recruiting new employees and investing in training and development of existing employees 6. -collaborating with organizations having rich knowledge base,
How does your organization apply and convert knowledge i e. Combine Knowledge	1. increased interactions with experts in the field 2. collaborate with other organizations to gain access to innovation and research 3. working with other companies to get inputs, ideas and experiences 4. hiring employees with the role of knowledge gatekeepers 5. use patents, trademarks or copyrights 6. legal protection of knowledge
How your organization does is working on protecting knowledge?	1. prevent unauthorized access to confidential knowledge base by outsiders. 2. strong policies and procedures for protecting trade secrets 3. communicate employees on its importance of 4. aware employees about the knowledge that must not be shared with outsiders.

Source: Summarized by researchers 2023

References

- [1] Abas, Z., & Jali, M. wN. (2015). Understanding Knowledge Management in Developing Emerging Concept of Innovation and Technology into Business: Conceptual Review and Empirical Evidence. *International Academic Research Journal of Business and Technology*, 1 (2), 149–164.
- [2] Acedo, F. J., Barroso, C., & Galan, J. L. (2006). The resourcebased theory: dissemination and main trends. *Strategic Management Journal*, 27 (7), 621– 636.
- [3] Adeniran, T. V., & Johnston, K. A. (2012). Investigating the dynamic capabilities and competitive advantage of South African SMEs. *African Journal of Business Management*, 6 (11), 4088–4099.
- [4] Allred, C. R., Fawcett, S. E., Wallin, C., & Magnan, G. M. (2011). A Dynamic Collaboration Capability as a Source of Competitive Advantage. *Decision Sciences*, 42 (1), 129–162.
- [5] Andersén, J. (2012). Protective capacity and absorptive capacity: Managing the balance between retention and creation of knowledge-based resources. *The Learning Organization*, 19 (5), 440–452.
- [6] Arend, R. J., & Bromiley, P. (2009). Assessing the dynamic capabilities view: spare change, everyone? *Strategic Organization*, 7 (1), 75–90.
- [7] Barney, J. (1991). Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. *Journal of Management*, 17 (1), 99–120.
- [8] Barrales-molina, V., Martínez-lópez, F. J., & Gázquez-abad, J. C. (2014). Dynamic Marketing Capabilities: Toward an Integrative Framework. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 16, 397–416.
- [9] Braun, V. a. C. V., 2006. Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative research in psychology*, 3 (2), pp. 77–101.
- [10] Breznik, L., & Lahovnik, M. (2014). Renewing the resource base in line with the dynamic capabilities view: A key to sustained competitive advantage in the IT industry. *Journal for East European Management Studies*, 19 (4), 453–485.
- [11] Castiaux, A. (2012). Developing dynamic capabilities to meet sustainable development challenges. *International Journal of Innovation Management*, 16 (6), 1–17.
- [12] Chaharmahali, S. M., & Siadat, S. A. (2010). Achieving Organizational Ambidexterity: Understanding and explaining ambidextrous organisation.
- [13] Denford, J. S. (2013), “Building knowledge: developing a knowledge-based dynamic capabilities typology”, *Journal of Knowledge Management*, Vol. 17 No. 2, pp. 175–194.
- [14] Easterby-Smith, M. and Prieto, I. M. (2008), “Dynamic capabilities and knowledge management: an integrative role for learning?”, *British Journal of Management*, Vol. 19 No. 3, pp. 235–249.
- [15] Foss, N. J., & Pedersen, T. (2004). Organizing knowledge processes in the multinational corporation: an introduction. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 35 (5), 340–349.
- [16] Garg, R., & De, K. (2012). Impact of dynamic capabilities on the export orientation and export performance of small and medium sized enterprises in emerging markets: A conceptual model. *African Journal of Business Management*, 6 (29), 8464–8474.
- [17] Grant, R. M. (1996). Toward a knowledge-based theory of the firm. *Strategic Management Journal*, 17 (S2), 109–122.
- [18] Hegazy, F. M., & Ghorab, K. E. (2014). The Influence of Knowledge Management on Organizational Business Processes' and Employees' Benefits. *International Journal of Business and Social Science*, 5 (1), 148–172.
- [19] Helfat, C. E., & Peteraf, M. A. (2009). Understanding dynamic capabilities: progress along a developmental path. *Strategic Organization*, 7 (1), 91–102.
- [20] Hick, R. C. (2006). The Five Tier Knowledge Management Hierarchy. *Journal of Knowledge Management Practice*, X, NO. 1, 19–27.
- [21] Hong, J., Kianto, A., & Kyla, K. (2008). Moving Cultures and the Creation of New Knowledge and Dynamic Capabilities in Emerging Markets. *Knowledge and Process Management*, 15 (3), 196–202.
- [22] Kaur, V., & Mehta, V. (2016). Knowledge-Based Dynamic Capabilities: A New Perspective for Achieving Global Competitiveness in IT Sector. *Pacific Business Review International*, 1 (3), 95–106.
- [23] Lee, P., Wu, M., Kuo, C., & Li, C. S. (2016). How to deploy multiunit organizations' dynamic capabilities? *Management Decision*, 54 (4), 965–980.
- [24] Liew, A. (2007), Understanding Data, Information, Knowledge and Their Inter Relationships. *Journal of Knowledge Management Practice*, VII, No. 2, 102–122.
- [25] Li, D. Y., & Liu, J. (2014). Dynamic capabilities, environmental dynamism, and competitive advantage: Evidence from China. *Journal of Business Research*, 67 (1), 2793–2799.
- [26] Liu, S., & Deng, Z. (2015). Understanding knowledge management capability in business process outsourcing: A cluster analysis. *Management Decision*, 53 (1), 1–11.
- [27] Ljungquist, U. (2014). Unbalanced dynamic capabilities as obstacles of organisational efficiency: Implementation issues in innovative technology adoption. *Innovation: Management, Policy & Practice*, 16 (1), 82–95.
- [28] Michailova, S., & Zhan, W. (2014). Dynamic capabilities and innovation in MNC subsidiaries. *Journal of World Business*, 1–9.
- [29] Mohammad, H. H., Mohammad, F. H., Ali, S., & Ali, N. B. (2014). Investigating the Influence of Knowledge Management Practices on Organizational Performance: An Empirical Study. *International Journal of Science and Research*, 3 (10), 355– 360.
- [30] Nielsen, A. P. (2006). Understanding dynamic capabilities through knowledge management. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 10 (4), 59–71.
- [31] Nieves, J., & Haller, S. (2014). Building dynamic capabilities through knowledge resources. *Tourism Management*, 40 (February), 224–232.
- [32] Ogunkoya, A., Hassan, B. A., & Shobayo, P. A. (2014). Dynamic Capabilities And Competitive Advantage: An Analysis Of The Nigerian Banking Sector. *Journal of Accounting and Management*, 4 (2), 29–36.

- [33] Ouyang, Y. (2015). A Cyclic Model for Knowledge Management Capability-A Review Study. *Arabian Journal of Business and Management Review*, 5 (2), 1–9.
- [34] Ray, G., Barney, J. B., & Muhanna, W. A. (2004). Capabilities, business processes, and competitive advantage: Choosing the dependent variable in empirical tests of the resource-based view. *Strategic Management Journal*, 25, 23–37.
- [35] Sandhawalia, B. S., & Dalcher, D. (2011). Developing knowledge management capabilities: A structured approach. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 15 (2), 313–328.
- [36] Senaji, T., & Nyaboga, A. (2011). Knowledge Management Process Capability: Operations Strategy Perspective. *International Journal of Management & Information Systems*, 15 (3), 147–158.
- [37] Shahzad, K., Faisal, A., Farhan, S., Sami, A., Bajwa, U., & Sultani, R. (2016). Integrating knowledge management (KM) strategies and processes to enhance organizational creativity and performance: an empirical investigation. *Journal of Modelling in Management*, 11 (1), 1–34.
- [38] Teece, D. J., Pisano, G., & Shuen, A. (1997). Dynamic capabilities and strategic management. *Strategic Management Journal*, 18 (7), 509–533.
- [39] Wang, C. L., Senaratne, C., & Rafiq, M. (2015). Success Traps, Dynamic Capabilities and Firm Performance. *British Journal of Management*, 26, 26–44.
- [40] Wilkens, U., Menzel, D., & Pawlowsky, P. (2004). Inside the Black-box: Analysing the Generation of Core Competencies and Dynamic Capabilities by Exploring Collective Minds. An Organizational Learning Perspective. *Management Review*, 15 (1), 8–27.
- [41] Wójcik, P. (2015). Exploring Links Between Dynamic Capabilities Perspective and Resource-Based View: A Literature Overview. *International Journal of Management and Economics*, 45 (1), 83–107.
- [42] Zheng, S., Zhang, W., & Du, J. (2011). Knowledge-based dynamic capabilities and innovation in networked environments. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 15 (6), 1035–1051.
- [43] Zhan, W., & Chen, R. R. (2013). Dynamic capability and IJV performance: The effect of exploitation and exploration capabilities. *Asia Pacific Journal of Management*, 30, 601–632.
- [44] Creswell, J. (2002). *Educational research: Planning, conducting, and evaluating Quantitative and Qualitative research*. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Merrill Prentice Hall.
- [45] Miles, M. B., & Huberman, A. M. (1994). *Qualitative data analysis: An expanded sourcebook* (2nd ed.). Sage Publications, Inc.