

Research Article

Effect of Various Improved Technology in Wheat Production Zone of Nepal

Mahara Ganesh^{1,*} , Karki Anju² 

¹Department of Agricultural Economics and Agribusiness Management, Agriculture and Forestry University, Chitwan, Nepal

²Department of Agriculture, Tribhuvan University, Kritipur, Nepal

Abstract

The study was held in 2024 in the Kailari, Gauriganga, Godawari, and Dhangadhi local level of Kailali District of Nepal to evaluate and identify the factors impacting the adoption of a number of sophisticated technologies in the research area. Data were collected from 200 respondents utilizing a semi-structured interview form, using simple random selection. The factors influencing the adoption of better technologies in wheat production were identified using a logistic regression model. Age, gender, ethnicity, and area of cultivation are socioeconomic elements that have been linked to the adoption of appropriate agricultural practices, as well as training, technical advice, and membership. The adoption of seed replacement was positively significant ($P < 0.1$) as a result of the training. The adoption of seed varieties was positively significant ($P < 0.05$) for cultivated area. The farmers who were involved in farmer groups or Cooperative had 2.209 times higher odds for the adoption of improved seed compared to the odds for farmers who were not involved in farmer groups. Advice from the technician had a positively significant ($P < 0.05$) impact on the date of sowing. The use of more frequent irrigation was positively significant ($P < 0.05$) in relation to the age of the household head. The split nitrogen application was positively significantly influenced by super zone membership ($P < 0.05$).

Keywords

Adoption, Innovation, Technology, Wheat, Super-Zone

1. Introduction

Farmers adopt innovations after they encounter, consider, and eventually reject or practice them [1, 34]. Aggregate adoption across all farms will be a gradual process. An individual may stop using innovation due to personal, institutional, or social reasons, such as finding an idea or practice more suitable for their needs [2].

It has been assumed that the cumulative rate of adoption of agricultural technology over time follows an S-shaped lo-

gistic function with a slow start, followed by a progressive adoption phase, and finally a convergence towards the maximum level asymptotic to the maximum level [3]. Ban and Hawkins [35] found that innovation adoption patterns differed by crop type, location, and innovation type.

This crop is the most widely cultivated cereal crop in the world. According to Sharma [4], wheat is cultivated on 21% of land and accounts for 17% of total cereal production in the

*Corresponding author: ganeshmahara.ag@gmail.com (Mahara Ganesh)

Received: 11 May 2024; Accepted: 27 May 2024; Published: 6 June 2024



world. For agriculture commercialization and mechanization in the country, the MoALD has proposed pockets, blocks, zones and super-zones to address fragmented arable land [5]. Wheat super-zone not as it were assists in mechanization and commercialization, but it also provides a few specialized assist to the farmers through its technicians and specialists. It moreover points to upgrade the livelihood and economy of the locale through a change in wheat cultivation and production technology [6].

Nepalese wheat productivity is still in subsistence level. So, for the increased farm production and productivity, adoption of new suitable farming practices is the fundamental need for the country today. However, the process of dissemination of improved farming technology is being hampered severely by

various obstacles. Thus, this study was deemed necessary and was undertaken. This study attempts to determine the factors associated with improved wheat cultivation adoption by beneficiaries of super-zone compared to non-beneficiaries.

2. Methodology

2.1. Site of Study

The Kailali district was selected as a super zone for wheat production established under Prime Minister Agriculture Modernization Project (PMAMP).




Figure 1. Map of Nepal showing research sites.

2.2. Sample Size

The size of the sample, and amount of variation, usually affect the quantity and quality of information obtained from the survey. Utilizing suitable inspecting methods, both factors can be controlled [7].

Kinnear and Tayler [8] suggested that a good survey sample should have both a small sampling error and minimum standard error. The minimal sample size for a bigger population that offers a suitable level of assurance for decision-making is typically thought to be 60 [9].

Taking 50 growers each from Kailari, Gauriganga, Godawari and Dhangadhi. 100 samples were from household under the membership of PM-AMP (Kailari and Gauriganga) while remaining samples were from non-member house-

holds.

2.3. Sample Selection Procedure

During the process of sample selection simple random sampling is the best way to avoid bias in which each unit of the population has an equal chance for selection [7].

Thus by using sampling frame, a simple random sampling procedure was used to collect necessary information from wheat growers. The procedure was comprehensive and representative of the whole population.

2.4. Methods of Data Collection

Household survey is used for collection of necessary information. In this study, both the primary and secondary data were

collected. The methodologies consisted of field survey, review of previous studies, and interviews with key informants.

2.5. Techniques of Data Collection

Primary data were gathered through a schedule of interviews. A variety of facts about wheat production were gathered. Face-to-face interviews were used to gather information about the features of the farm and home, as well as production and management factors.

2.6. Pre-testing of Interview Schedule

The major goals of this activity are to organize a fieldwork plan, assess the reliability of the questionnaire, and estimate various cost components such as financial costs, travel costs, interview time, etc. before the main survey. The interview schedule was pre-tested in nearby villages with 10 farmers from Mahara village before the questionnaire was given to the actual respondents. The schedule was amended where necessary, edited, and given its final shape. Methods and techniques of data analysis.

After collection of required data, it was coded and entered into a computer for analysis. Statistical programs for the Social Sciences (SPSS) and Stata were used to input the data and conduct the analysis. For multiple regression, the required inference was derived using the mean, standard deviations, frequency, percentage, and Ordinary Least Square Technique.

2.7. Quantitative Data Analysis

We used both descriptive and analytical statistics to ana-

lyze quantitative data. Simple descriptive statistics like frequency count, percentage, mean, standard deviation, etc. were used to describe the respondents' socioeconomic and farm characteristics such as family size, age, gender, occupational pattern, land holding size, and population of economically active people.

2.8. The Logit Model

It is employed in the discrete model, which yields outcomes similar to those of the probit model [10]. It is a multivariate statistical method that enables the prediction of dichotomous dependent variables from dependent variables [11].

Hosmer and Lemshew [36] noted that a logistic distribution (logit) has an advantage over the others in the study of dichotomous outcome variables since it is a flexible and simple model to utilize from a mathematical perspective and produces an insightful interpretation.

Logistic equation is given by;

$$p/(1-p) = e^{b_0 + b_1x_1 + b_2x_2 + \dots + b_nx_n}$$

Where, $p/(1-p)$ is odds of an event

p is the probability

e is base of natural logarithm

$b_0 \dots b_n$ are coefficients

$x_1 \dots x_n$ are independent variables.

Logit form of equation can be obtained by taking natural log both sides,

$$\ln(p/1-p) = b_0 + b_1X_1 + b_2X_2 + \dots + b_nX_n$$

Table 1. Description of variables used in the logistic regression model.

Variables	Description of variables	Types of variables	Unit
Dependent variables in Logistic regression:			
Split nitrogen	Split dose of nitrogen apply by farmers	Dummy	(1- two time, 0- one time)
Crop residual	Crop residual management by farmers	Dummy	(1- incorporate in soil, 0-stubble burning)
Irrigation Frequencies	Number of irrigation applied by farmer	Dummy	(1- two times, 0- single time)
Date of sowing	Appropriate date of sowing of wheat by farmers	Dummy	(1- November 10, 0- otherwise)
Seed variety	Seed variety used by farmers	Dummy	(1-Improved, 0- local)
Seed replacement	time of seed replacement by farmers	Dummy	(1- within 3 years, 0- otherwise)
Independent variables in Logistic regression:			
Age	Age of household head	Continuous	Years
Amount of land	Land amount used for wheat production	Continuous	kattha
Purpose of crop	Purpose of wheat grain by farmers	Dummy	(1- Commercial, 0- home con-

Variables	Description of variables	Types of variables	Unit
Dependent variables in Logistic regression:			
			sumption)
Gender	Gender of household head	Dummy	(1- male, 0- female)
Ethnicity	Ethnicity of household head	Dummy	(1- janajati, 0- otherwise)
Training	Training on wheat cultivation	Dummy	(1- Yes, 0- No)
Advice from technicians	Advice from technicians for wheat production	Dummy	(1- Yes, 0- No)
Super zone	Respondents under the super zone	Dummy	(1- member, 0- non member)
Involvement of extension worker	Involvement of extension worker for provision of information	Dummy	(1- Yes, 0- No)
Involvement in group	Involvement of respondent in farmer group	Dummy	(1- Yes, 0- No)
Active population	Active age group in family member	Dummy	(1- Yes, 0- No)
Personal contact to extension worker	Personal contact of respondents to extension worker	Dummy	(1- Yes, 0- No)
Land rented in	Land rented in by respondent	Continuous	Kattha
Occupation	Occupation of household head	Dummy	(1- Agriculture, 0-otherwise)
Year of schooling	Year of schooling of household head	Continuous	Years

Source: Authors illustrations.

3. Results

3.1. Package of Practices/Technologies

3.1.1. Seed Replacement

The majority of farmers replaced their wheat seeds within three years, according to [table 2](#). Farmers were not replenishing seeds on average in 19% of cases. Farmers who didn't replace their seeds accounted for 12% of the total in the super zone, compared to 7% in the non-super zone.

Table 2. Status of seed replacement in study area.

Seed replacement	Total (n=200)	Super zone (n=100)	Non-super zone (n=100)
No change (Yes)	19 (9.5)	12 (12)	7 (7)
Change within 3 years (Yes)	181 (90.5)	88 (88)	93 (93)

Note: Figures in parentheses indicate percent.

Source: Field Survey, 2024.

3.1.2. Variety Used

The acceptance of the enhanced variety was deemed adequate. A little over 83% of the farmers utilized enhanced

wheat varieties. According to [Table 3](#), there were 84 percent of such farmers in the super zone and 82 percent in the non-super zone, respectively.

Table 3. Status of seed variety used at study area.

Variety	Total (n=200)	Super zone (n=100)	Non-super zone (n=100)	Chi-square value
Local	34 (17)	16 (16)	18 (18)	0.142
Improved	166 (83)	84 (84)	82 (82)	

Note: Figures in parentheses indicate percent.

Source: Field Survey, 2024.

3.1.3. Time of Irrigation Application

Nearly 80% of the respondents applied irrigation at the Crown Root Initiation (CRI) stage, it was discovered. Only 1% of all respondents were discovered applying irrigation at the node creation stage, compared to around 3.5% of all re-

spondents applying irrigation at the tillering stage. Similar to this, only 1 out of 200 respondents administered irrigation at the milking stage, but 4.5% of all respondents did so at the blossoming stage.

Table 4. Irrigation status in the study area.

Irrigation (Yes)	Total (n=200)	Super zone (n=100)	Non-super zone (n=100)	Chi-square value
CRI	198 (99)	99 (99)	99 (99)	
Tillering	7 (3.5)	6 (6)	1 (1)	3.701*
Node formation	2 (1)	0 (0)	2 (2)	2.020
Flowering	9 (4.5)	4 (4)	5 (5)	0.116
Milking	1 (0.5)	1 (1)	0 (0)	1.005
Grain filling	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	

Notes: Figures in parentheses indicate percent, * indicates significant difference at 10 percent level.

Source: Field Survey, 2024

3.1.4. Weeding

In the study area, it was shown that just 43% of the households really weeded their wheat fields. It was discovered that 50% of households in super zones and 36% of households in non-super zones practiced weeding, and it was determined that there was a substantially distinct pattern at the 5% level of significance, as shown in Table 5.

Table 5. Status and methods of weeding in the study areas.

Variables	Total (n=200)	Super zone (n=100)	Non-super zone (n=100)	Chi-square value
Weeding (Yes)	86 (43)	50 (50)	36 (36)	3.998**
Method of weeding				
a. Manual	52 (59.8)	24 (47.1)	28 (77.8)	8.282***
b. Chemical	35 (40.2)	27 (52.9)	8 (22.2)	

Notes: Figures in parentheses indicate percent. ***and ** indicate 1percent, and 5percent levels of significance, respectively.

Source: Field Survey, 2024

3.1.5. Insect Pest and Disease Management

The measures used by respondents to handle insects and diseases in their wheat fields are shown in Table 6. In the research area, just 11% of households used insect pest management techniques. When compared to non-super zones, the percentage of such homes in the super zone was much higher

(18%). (4 percent). Only 9% of respondents were found to have controlled the illness in wheat fields. Only 4% of non-super zone households and 14% of super zone households undertook illness management. At a 5% level of significance, the difference was determined to be statistically significant.

Table 6. Insect pest and Disease management system at the study area.

Insect and disease management	Total (n=200)	Super zone (n=100)	Non-super zone (n=100)	Chi-square value
Insect management (Yes)	22 (11)	18 (18.0)	4 (4)	10.010***
Methods of insect management				
Cultural (Yes)	2 (9.1)	2 (11.1)	0 (0)	1.086
Biological (Yes)	2 (9.1)	2 (11.1)	0 (0)	
Chemical (Yes)	18 (81.8)	14 (77.8)	4 (100)	
Disease Management (Yes)	18 (9)	14 (14)	4 (4)	6.105**
Methods of disease Management				
Cultural (Yes)	1 (5.6)	1 (7.1)	0 (0)	1.029
Biological (Yes)	2 (11.1)	2 (14.3)	0 (0)	
Chemical (Yes)	15 (83.3)	11 (78.6)	4 (100)	

Note: Figures in parentheses percent. **, *** indicates significant difference at 5 percent and 1percent level of significance.

Source: Field Survey, 2024

3.1.6. Residual Management

It was discovered from the survey area that 99.5% of all respondents were involved in residual management in wheat fields. 79% of the households burned their stubble, compared to 21% who integrated wastes into the soil. In the super zone and non-super zone, respectively, homes that had absorbed leftovers into the soil made up 28% and 14% of all households. As demonstrated in Table 7, the difference was substantial at a 5 percent level.

Table 7. Residual management status of respondents in the study area.

Residual management	Total (n=200)	Super zone (n=100)	Non-super zone (n=100)	Chi-square value
Residual Management (Yes)	199 (99.5)	100	99 (99)	1.005
Method of residual management				
Incorporate in soil	42 (21)	28 (28)	14 (14)	5.907**
Stubble burning	158 (79)	72 (72)	86 (86)	

Note: Figures in parentheses indicates percent. * indicates significant different at 5 percent level.

Source: Field Survey, 2024

3.1.7. Nitrogen Application

12.5 percent of households just used nitrogen when preparing the land. The remaining 87.5 percent of families used split

dosages of nitrogen, top dressing one month after sowing and basal during field preparation. In super zones, 92 percent of families applied split doses, compared to 83 percent in non-super zones (Table 8).

Table 8. Nitrogen application in the study area.

Nitrogen application	Total (n=200)	Super zone (n=100)	Non-super zone (n=100)	Chi-square value
Nitrogen Doses				
a. one time	25 (12.5)	8 (8)	17 (17)	3.703*
b. two time	175 (87.5)	92 (92)	83 (83)	

Note: Figures in parentheses indicates percent. * indicates significant different at 10 percent level.

Source: Field Survey, 2024

3.2. Factors Affecting Adoption of Good Agriculture Practices

3.2.1. Factors Affecting the of Split Nitrogen Application

According to the findings of a binary logistic regression analysis of the factors influencing the application of split nitrogen, five of the eight explanatory variables—including the household head's age, membership in a super zone, advice from a technician, training, and gender—were found to be significant at the 1%, 5%, or 10% level of significance.

According to the study, split nitrogen application was negatively and significantly impacted by household head age ($p < 0.01$). It means that the probability of split nitrogen application were 0.93 times higher if the age of the household head was raised by one year. The calculation of the marginal effect revealed that a one-year increase in household head age resulted in an average 0.6% drop in adoption likelihood. Similar to this, super zone membership had an effect on the split nitrogen application that was highly substantial ($P < 0.05$). It means that the probabilities of adopting split nitrogen for a member of a super zone are 3.56 times higher than for a non-member of a super zone. The probabilities of adoption were 4.38 times higher for farmers who had taken part in wheat farming instruction than they were for farmers who had not. The technician's advice had a positive, statistically significant ($P < 0.1$), influence on the split nitrogen application. With odds ratio of 3.785, gender (male) had a positive significant ($P < 0.1$) impact on the split nitrogen application, while odds for farmers who routinely sought technical assistance were 4.40 times higher than odds for farmers who did not.

3.2.2. Factors Affecting the Crop Residual Management

The outcome displays the binary logistic regression analysis of the variables influencing the management of crop re-

siduals. As recommended by conservation agriculture, stubble assimilation in soil is considered to be beneficial practice. The odds ratio results indicate that three factors—Super Zone, Amount of Land, and Ethnicity—were significant at a 1 percent and 5 percent level for each of the six explanatory variables. According to the findings, super zone farmers had a 3.96-times greater chance of incorporating stubble than non-super zone farmers did. The amount of land had a substantial favorable ($P < 0.01$) impact on how crop residues were managed. This indicates that the likelihood of adopting stubble inclusion as a crop residue management strategy increased by 1.04 times for every kattha of additional land. The management of agricultural residue was favorably significant ($P < 0.05$) in relation to ethnicity. This indicates that the likelihood of adopting stubble for Janajatis was 3.575 times higher than the likelihood of adopting stubble for non-Janajatis.

3.2.3. Factors Affecting Adoption of Practice of Irrigating Twice

Table 9 displays the findings of a binary logistic regression study of the variables influencing the adoption of twice-daily irrigation. According to odds ratio results, two variables—household head's age and the crop's intended use—were shown to be significant at 5% and 10% levels of significance among nine different explanatory variables. The study found that the household head's age was positively significant ($P < 0.05$) in relation to applying irrigation more frequently. With each additional year of household head age, the likelihood of using irrigation twice increased by 1.047 times. The decision to use irrigation more frequently was favorably significant ($P < 0.1$) in relation to the goal of crop production. The outcome demonstrates that the probability of twice irrigation for a farmer cultivating for sale is 1.67 times greater than the probability of twice irrigation for a farmer cultivating for consumption.

3.2.4. Factors Affecting the Adoption of Date of Sowing

Table 9 displays the findings of the binary logistic regres-

sion analysis of the variables influencing the choice of sowing date. According to the odds ratio results, two variables—Super Zone and Advice from technician—had significant results at the 1% and 5% levels of significance among the twelve different explanatory factors. According to the study, Super Zone was favorably significant (P 0.01) on the sowing date. For farmers in super zones, the chances of sowing at the right time are 5.685 times higher than for farmers in non-super zones. The odds ratio for the impact of the technician's advice on the date of sowing was 9.035, which was favorably significant (P 0.05).

3.2.5. Factors Affecting the Adoption of Improved Seed Variety

The outcome of the binary logistic analysis of the variables influencing the adoption of Seed variety is shown in Table 9. According to the odds ratio results, two factors—Land and Involvement in the Farmer Group—had significant results at the 5% and 10% levels of significance among the seven explanatory variables. The study found that the adoption of seed variety was favorably significant (P 0.05) for land. It implies that the likelihood of using better seed increased by 3.502 times for every unit increase in the area of wheat that is cultivated. Participation in farmer groups posi-

tively significantly affected the adoption of better seed varieties (P 0.1). When compared to farmers who weren't active in farmer organizations, farmers who were in groups had probabilities of adopting enhanced seed that were 2.209 times greater.

3.2.6. Factors Affecting the Adoption of Seed Replacement

The findings from a binary logistic regression analysis of the variables influencing the adoption of seed replacement are presented in Table 9. According to the odds ratio results, two variables—ethnicity and training—were identified as significant at the 1% and 10% levels of significance among the six explanatory variables. The study found that ethnicity had a substantial detrimental impact on the adoption of seed replacement (p 0.01). According to the findings, Janajati farmers had a 0.41-times greater chance of adopting seed replacement within three years than non-Janajati farmers. The adoption of seed replacement was positively significantly impacted by the training (P 0.1). The findings indicate that compared to farmers who did not receive any training, those who did had 2.77 times the likelihood of adopting seed replacement within three years.

Table 9. Factors affecting adoption of different packages of practices for wheat production.

Variables	Odds Ratio					
	Split nitrogen	Residual man- agement	Frequencies of irrigation	Date of sowing	Improved seed variety	Seed replacement
Age	0.933***	0.979	1.047**	0.978	0.995	1.004
Amount of land	1.037	1.036***	0.948	0.989	3.502**	
Purpose of crop	1.569		1.666*	0.772	1.326	
Gender	3.785*		0.807			
Ethnicity	0.801	3.575**		0.401		0.411***
Training	4.386*		1.731	3.784		2.775*
Advice from technicians	4.404*			9.035**		0.987
Super zone	3.556**	3.963***	2.406	5.685***	1.100	0.755
Involvement of extension worker		0.950				
Involvement in group		1.412		1.047	2.209*	1.605
Active population			1.031	1.192		
Personal contact to extension worker			2.129			
Land rented in				1.038		
Occupation					3.978	
Year of schooling					1.043	
Summary Statistics						

Variables	Odds Ratio					
	Split nitrogen	Residual man- agement	Frequencies of irrigation	Date of sowing	Improved seed variety	Seed replacement
Number of observation	200	200	200	200	200	200
Log Likelihood	-59.056	-85.91	-50.314	-54.04	-82.11	-128.67
LR Chi ²	32.60***	33.76***	15.70*	21.95**	18.12**	11.85*
Prob>Chi ²	0.000	0.000	0.073	0.024	0.011	0.065
Pseudo R ²	0.216	0.164	0.137	0.168	0.099	0.044

Note: ***, ** and * indicate significant at 1percent, 5percent and 10percent levels, respectively.

Source: Field survey 2024.

4. Discussion

4.1. Packages of Practices/Technologies in Wheat

4.1.1. Seed Characteristics

The largest amount of wheat could be produced in Ethiopia from a seed rate of 125 kg, and the least amount could be produced from a seed rate of 200 kg [12]. Although 100 kg/ha of seed generated the most grains per spike, it was discovered that 160 kg/ha of seed produced the maximum grain yield [13].

Quality seed is thought to be the most fundamental, important, and affordable input for increasing productivity (Rana, 1997). Presently, there are two major constraints for seed system development in Nepal – (i) limited choices of wider range of preferred varieties available to farmers and (ii) easy access and availability of research developed varieties to farmers at right time and right place in affordable prices. High adoption lags of varieties in farmers' fields due to inefficient seed systems' inability to supply seeds quickly at the farm level are the main obstacles and problems in research.

And support services for effective operation of Nepal's present seed system [14]. According to Harris et al. (2007), seed priming improved maize establishment, growth, and flowering as well as increased seed tolerance to unfavorable environmental conditions and increased yield. The typical priming period for wheat seeds was 12 hours, which improved germination, plant growth and development, and yield [15]. Ethiopian wheat growers should adopt the good practice of replacing their seeds every year to boost grain yield [16].

4.1.2. Land Management

The no-till method produced considerably more organic matter overall [17]. In Ethiopia, conservation agriculture and

agroforestry are effective wheat adaptation techniques that raise wheat yield [16]. Repeated tillage (conventional) is thought to promote water and air flow, boost root growth, speed up germination, and lessen the chance of crop loss during an early rainy season [16].

4.1.3. Sowing Method

Following seed broadcasting and seed broadcasting in standing water, the drilling method of sowing had a beneficial impact on plant height, number of tillers per plant, number of spikes per plant, and number of grains per spike [18]. According to studies done in Ethiopia, row planting yielded more wheat than sowing using the spread approach [12].

It was determined that wheat sowing under bed planting produced better results, with the maximum plant height, number of tillers, number of grains per spike, 1000 grain weight, grain yield, and water productivity, whereas these parameters were seen as the lowest under broadcasting. Compared to wheat that was broadcast, wheat grown on beds yielded 13% more while using 35% less water [13].

4.1.4. Depth and Spacing

For irrigated normal seeded conditions, the row spacing should be 22–23 cm, whereas it should be 15–18 cm for late sown conditions. The most fertile soil zone should be sown at a depth of 5 cm [19]. In Nepal, the standard row-to-row spacing for wheat is 22 cm, and the depth of sowing is 5 to 6 cm [6].

4.1.5. Irrigation

When compared to other moisture levels and no irrigation, three irrigations administered at 25, 40, and 55 days after sowing (DAS) were the most successful [20]. The primary factor increasing wheat yield and productivity is irrigation, and enough irrigation increases production. In Shreepur VDC, Kanchanpur district of Nepal, irrigation enhanced wheat production by almost 193 percent [21].

If there is only one irrigation available, use it at the CRI

stage, which is 20–25 days after sowing. Every week when the first irrigation for the CRI stage is delayed, it has been discovered, the yield is reduced by 2-4 quintals per hectare [22].

4.1.6. Weeding

According to the study, weed infestation in wheat fields lowered yield by roughly 25.35 percent [23]. Although chemical methods appear to be profitable, manual and biological methods are found to be more environmentally friendly and improve the health of the soil. The chemical method of weed control was found to be more effective in weed control and per unit production of wheat was also higher than other methods of weed control [24].

4.1.7. Disease and Insect Pest Management

Rusts, blotches, and head blight/scab are prominent wheat diseases that now contribute to these losses. Wheat blast and spot blotch, two more recently discovered or comparatively unknown diseases, also pose a threat to grain output. The production of wheat is significantly hampered by pathogenic fungus. Since the crop was domesticated, rust infections have hampered global wheat production and continue to pose a threat to the global wheat supply [25]. Fluconazole, Tebuconazole, or Epoxyconazole + Carbendazim applied to plants twice during Zadoks development stages 31 and 45 resulted in disease severity reductions of 96.3 percent, 93.9 percent, and 91 percent, respectively [26]. According to [27], the annual average of real yield losses brought on by all wheat illnesses in both industrialized and developing nations was around 12.4%. Pucciniatriticina-caused leaf rust was by far the most significant disease, resulting in average yearly losses of 3.48 percent, followed by the wheat streak mosaic virus (1.88 percent) and the Septoria complex (1.6 percent) [28]. In general, disease-related yield loss in wheat production should not exceed 0.1 to 2 percent [29]. Insects that feed on wheat and chew it typically do not cause significant direct damage until population numbers are quite high [28].

4.1.8. Use of Fertilizers

In comparison to a wheat crop that was not fertilized, it was discovered that the application of fertilizer greatly decreased disease by 27.5% to 54.7%. Pre-sowing applications of calcium ammonium nitrate (26 percent N) or composite NPK (15 percent, 15 percent, 15 percent) on plants resulted in less disease symptoms than pre-sowing applications of NPK (20 percent, 20 percent, 0 percent) [26].

4.1.9. Soil Test

Farmers conduct soil tests to evaluate the soil's fertility and amount of nutrient availability. They conduct soil tests to check for the presence or absence of one or more nutrients as well as the pH level (Lukin). One of the finest techniques to evaluate the fertility of the land is through soil testing. This

evaluation assists in determining the type and quantity of fertilizer and/or limestone that must be used to achieve the highest yield. Soil testing can assist in resolving issues including low yields brought on by a lack of fertility, acidic or basic soils, identification of suitable fertilizer mixtures, and overuse of fertilizer [30].

4.1.10. Crop Residual Management

Average plant output was found to be higher in the field with crop residue incorporated into the soil compared to crop residue plot treatments than that obtained in residue removal plots. Additionally, soil fertility was consistently higher in crop residue plots than it was in crop residue removal [37]. Crop residues are a rich source of plant nutrients since they include 25% of the nitrogen (N), phosphorous (P), sulfur (S), and potassium (K) that cereal crops absorb. This makes them a significant supply of nutrients (Singh & Singh, 2001). While applying crop residues increases inputs or reduces losses and so helps to maintain or increase soil organic matter content, burning crop leftovers reduces the organic matter inputs to the soil [38].

4.2. Factors Affecting Adoption of Proper Agriculture Practices

The use of technology and family age has a good relationship with wheat production [31]. Ethiopia's education system has benefited from the introduction of new technologies in the production of wheat, including variety, sowing techniques, mechanization, and row planting [32]. According to Lionberger's research from 1960, adopting suggested habits is favorably correlated with education. The number of years in education, particularly more than eight, was discovered to be virtually universally linked to greater adoption rates. Adoption of improved wheat varieties in Eastern Africa is negatively impacted by the household head's educational level [33]. If farmers had access to the right technology, adoption Training is a crucial component of the extension strategy used in all agricultural development initiatives, and as a result, farmers perform better (Mathur, 1996). The adoption rate of new technology would increase if farmers had access to the proper technologies [31]. The growers' revenue has a favorable impact on the adoption of wheat varieties [33]. If farmers had access to the right technology, adoption of new technologies would grow [31].

5. Conclusions

The most widely used production techniques among both beneficiaries and non-beneficiaries included seed replacement within three years, improved varietal use, broadcast seed sowing, irrigation at CRI stage, manual weeding, insect pest management using chemical methods, residual management through stubble burning, manual harvesting and use

of wheat threshers, use of nitrogen fertilizer in two split doses, and basal application of phosphorus and potassium. Conservation tillage, chemical weeding, biological and cultural insect pest management, residual management through soil integration, and seed treatment and priming were not used by either group. Conversely, both groups had the lowest adoption rates for these activities. Major determining factors for split nitrogen application included age, gender, training received, super-zone beneficiaries, and communication with an extension agent.

Major determining factors for residual management included crop area, ethnicity, and super-zone beneficiaries. Super-zone beneficiaries and interactions with extension personnel were key determinants of sowing date. The largest determinants of enhanced seed variety were crop area and involvement in groups or cooperatives. The two main determinants of seed replacement were ethnicity and training received.

Abbreviations

PMAMP	Prime Minister Agriculture Modernization Project
MoALD	Ministry of Agriculture and Livestock Development
GAP	Good Agricultural Practices
CRI	Crown Root Initiation

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- [1] Mosher, A. T. (1978). An introduction to Agricultural Extension. New York: Agriculture Development Council.
- [2] Smit B, Skinner MW. (2002). Adaptation options in agriculture to climate change: a typology. *Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change* 7: 85–114.
- [3] CIMMYT. (1993). the adoption of agricultural technology: a guide for survey design. CIMMYT Economics Program and International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center, Mexico.
- [4] Sharma SN. (2018). Constraints and Prospects of Wheat Farming. PMAMP, Wheat Super zone, Kailali.
- [5] PMAMP. (2014). Block/ Zone Development Programme Conducting Material 2073. Ministry of Agriculture Development, Kathmandu, Nepal.
- [6] MoAD. (2018). Retrieved from Ministry of Agriculture Development: <http://www.moad.gov.np>
- [7] Scheaffer, R. (1979). *Elementary Survey Sampling*. Massachusetts, USA: Duxbury Press.
- [8] Kinnear, J., & Tayler, J (1987). *Marketing Research: An Applied Approach*. Mc Grow. Hill Book Co., Singapore.
- [9] Poate, C. D., & Daplyn, P. F (1993.) *Data for Agrarian Development*. Cambridge University Press.
- [10] Gujarati, D. (2004). *Essentials of Econometrics. 4rd ed.* Singapore: The McGraw–Hill/Irwin Companies.
- [11] Perneger, T. V., Courvoisier, D. S., Hudelson, P. M., & Gayet-Ageron, A. (2015). Sample size for pre-tests of questionnaires. *Quality of life Research*, 24, 147-151.
- [12] Awoke, T., Tekle, Y., & Misgana, M. (2017). Effect of sowing methods and seed rate on yield of bread wheat (*Triticumaestivum* L.) at South Ari District, South Omo Zone, Snnpr, Ethiopia. *International J. of Research-Granthaalayah*, 5(6), 175-180.
- [13] Chaudhary, J., Khan, U., & Shah, S. (2015). Effect of sowing methods and seed rates on wheat yield and water productivity. 267-272.
- [14] Gauchan, D., Magar, D. T., Gautam, S., Singh, S., & Singh, U. S. (2015). Strengthening seed system for rice seed production and supply in Nepal. *IRRI-NARC collaborative EC-IFAD funded project on Seed Net Development. Socioeconomics and Agricultural Research Policy Division, Nepal Agricultural Research Council, Nepal. 40p.*
- [15] Debbarma, M., & Das, S. (2017). Priming of Seed: Enhancing Growth and Development. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*. 6, 2390-2396.
- [16] Argaw, M. (2015). Good Agricultural Adaptation Practices in Ethiopia. *UNIQUE Forestry and Land Use and Kulima Integrated Development Solutions*.
- [17] Nurbekov, A., Suleimenov, M., Friedrich, T., & Taher, F. (2012). Effect of Tillage Methods on Productivity of Winter Wheat. *Journal of Arid Land Studies*, 255-258.
- [18] Mujeeb, R. U., Soomro, U. A., Odhano, E. A., & Gul, S. (2009). Effects of Sowing Method and Seed Rate on Growth. *World Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 159-163.
- [19] Kumar, R. (2009). Good agricultural practices for Wheat. G. B. Pant University of Agriculture & Technology, Pantnagar-Uttarakhand.
- [20] Khan, A., Islam, S., Hasan, M., & Haque, M. (2018). Effect of different irrigation levels on the performance of wheat. *Progressive Agriculture*, 99-106.
- [21] Paudyal, N. P. (2011). Role of Irrigation in Crop Production and Productivity: A Comparative Study of Tube Well and Canal Irrigation in Shreepur VDC of Kanchanpur District. *The Geographical Journal of Nepal*, 8, 53-62.
- [22] Kumar, V. (2012). *Irrigation in Wheat Cultivation*. agropedia.
- [23] Dangwa, L., Singh, A., Singh, T., & Sharma, C. (2010). Effect of Weeds on the Yield of Wheat Crop in Tehsil Nowshera. *Journal of American Science*.
- [24] Safdar, M. E., Ali, A., Aziz, A., & Yasin, M. (2011). Comparative efficacy of different weed management strategies in wheat. *Chilean journal of agricultural research*.

- [25] Roelfs, A. P. (1992). *Rust diseases of wheat: concepts and methods of disease management*. Cimmyt.
- [26] Soner, D., & Erkilic, A. (2016). Effect of wheat cultivars, fertilizers, and fungicides on Fusarium foot rot disease of wheat. *Turkish Journal of Agriculture and Forestry*, 101-108.
- [27] Oerke, E. C. (1994). Crop losses to pests. *The Journal of Agricultural Science*, 144(1), 31-43.
- [28] Singh, R. P., & Julie, N. M. (2007). The challenges of maintaining wheat productivity: pests, diseases, and potential epidemics. *Euphytica*, 417-430.
- [29] Bockus, W. W., Appel, J. A., Bowden, R. L., Fritz, A. K., Gill, B. S., Martin, T. J., & Eversmeyer, M. G. (2001). Success stories: Breeding for wheat disease resistance in Kansas. *Plant Disease*, 85(5), 453-461.
- [30] Anonymous. (2014). *the Why and How of Soil Testing*. Canada: Prime Edward Island.
- [31] Kebede, D., Ketemaa, M., & Dech, N. (2017). Disparity in adoption of wheat production technology packages in eastern ethiopia. *Review of Agricultural and Applied Economics*, 22-29.
- [32] Mulugeta, T., & Hundie, B. (2012). *Impacts of adoption of improved wheat technologies on households' food consumption in southeastern Ethiopia* (No. 1007-2016-79620).
- [33] Tesfaye, S., Bedada, B., & Mesay, Y. (2016). Impact of improved wheat technology adoption on productivity. *African Crop Science Journal*, 24, 127-135.
- [34] Rogers EM. (2003). *Diffusion of innovations* (5th Ed). Free Press, New York, USA.
- [35] Ban AW, Hawkins H. (1996). *Agricultural Extension*. Oxford, Malden, MA: Blackwell Science. Blackwell Science, Malden, MA.
- [36] Hosmer, D., & Lemeshow, S. (1989). *Applied logistic regression*. New York: A Wiley-Inter Science Publication.
- [37] Hassan Salih, O. N., Mubarak, A., & Hassabo, A. (2012). Effect of crop residues on soil fertility and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) - guar (*Cyamopsis tetragonoloba*) crops in dry tropics. *International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research* 3(10).
- [38] FAO/IAEA. (2003). *Management of crop residues for sustainable crop production*. *International atomic energy agency*.